



e-ISSN: 2319-8753 | p-ISSN: 2320-6710

# IJRSET

International Journal of Innovative Research in  
**SCIENCE | ENGINEERING | TECHNOLOGY**



# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INNOVATIVE RESEARCH

IN SCIENCE | ENGINEERING | TECHNOLOGY

**Volume 9, Issue 9, September 2020**

**ISSN** INTERNATIONAL  
STANDARD  
SERIAL  
NUMBER  
INDIA

**Impact Factor: 7.512**

 9940 572 462

 6381 907 438

 [ijirset@gmail.com](mailto:ijirset@gmail.com)

 [www.ijirset.com](http://www.ijirset.com)

# Credit Card Fraud Detection using Machine Learning Framework

Tejas Darekar<sup>1</sup>, Kaustubh Kapadne<sup>1</sup>, Nilesh Ambesange<sup>1</sup>, Neeraj Joshi<sup>1</sup>, Dr. S. U. Kadam<sup>2</sup>

BE Student, Department of Computer Engineering, TSSM's Bhivrabai Sawant College of Engineering and Research,  
Pune, India<sup>1</sup>

Professor, Department of Computer Engineering, TSSM's Bhivrabai Sawant College of Engineering and Research,  
Pune, Pune, India<sup>2</sup>

**ABSTRACT:** Nowadays online payment gaining popularity because of easy and convenience use of ecommerce. It became very easy mode of payment. People choose online payment and e-shopping; because of time convenience, transport convenience, etc. As the result of huge amount of e-commerce use, there is a vast increment in credit card fraud also. Machine Learning has been successfully applied to finance databases to automate analysis of huge volumes of complex data. Machine Learning has also played a salient role in the detection of credit card fraud in online transactions. Fraud detection in credit card is a big problem, it becomes challenging due to two major reasons—first, the profiles of normal and fraudulent behaviors change frequently and secondly due to reason that credit card fraud data sets are highly skewed. This paper research and checks the performance of Random Forest on highly skewed credit card fraud data. Dataset of credit card transactions is sourced from European cardholders containing 1 lakh transactions. These techniques are applied on the raw and pre-processed data. The performance of the techniques is evaluated based on accuracy, sensitivity, and specificity, precision.

**KEYWORDS:** Data Analysis, Fraud in credit card, random forest, Machine Learning, Security.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Credit card fraud is a growing concern with far reaching consequences in the government, corporate organizations, finance industry, In Today's world high dependency on internet technology has enjoyed increased credit card transactions but credit card fraud had also accelerated as online and offline transaction. As credit card transactions become a widespread mode of payment, focus has been given to recent computational methodologies to handle the credit card fraud problem. There are many fraud detection solutions and software which prevent frauds in businesses such as credit card, retail, e-commerce, insurance, and industries. Machine Learning is one notable and popular methods used in solving credit fraud detection problem. It is impossible to be sheer certain about the true intention and rightfulness behind an application or transaction. In reality, to seek out possible evidences of fraud from the available data using mathematical algorithms is the best effective option. Fraud detection in credit card is the truly the process of identifying those transactions that are fraudulent into two classes of legit class and fraud class transactions, several techniques are designed and implemented to solve to credit card fraud detection such as genetic algorithm, artificial neural network frequent item set mining, migrating birds optimization algorithm, comparative analysis of decision tree and random forest is carried out. Credit card fraud detection is a very popular but also a difficult problem to solve. Firstly, due to issue of having only a limited amount of data, credit card makes it challenging to match a pattern for dataset. Secondly, there can be many entries in dataset with truncations of fraudsters which also will fit a pattern of legitimate behavior. Also the problem has many constraints.

Firstly, data sets are not easily accessible for public and the results of researches are often hidden and censored, making the results inaccessible and due to this it is challenging to benchmarking for the models built. Datasets in previous researches with real data in the literature is nowhere mentioned. Secondly, the improvement of methods is more difficult by the fact that the security concern imposes a limitation to exchange of ideas and methods in fraud detection, and especially in credit card fraud detection. Lastly, the data sets are continuously evolving and changing making the profiles of normal and fraudulent behaviors always different that is the legit transaction in the past may be a fraud in present or vice versa. This paper evaluates two advanced machine learning, Decision tree and random forests and then a collative comparison is made to evaluate that which model performed best. Credit card transaction datasets are rarely available, highly imbalanced and skewed. Optimal feature (variables) selection for the models, suitable metric is most important part of mining to evaluate performance of techniques on skewed credit card fraud data. A number of

challenges are associated with credit card detection, namely fraudulent behavior profile is dynamic, that is fraudulent transactions tend to look like legitimate ones, Credit card fraud detection performance is greatly affected by type of sampling approach used, selection of variables and detection technique used.

### 1.1 Motivation

The use of machine learning in fraud detection has been an interesting topic now days. A credit card fraud detection algorithm consists in identifying those transactions with a high probability of being fraud, based on historical fraud patterns. Machine learning, having three types, from that also the supervised and hybrid approach is more suitable for fraud detection.

### 1.2 Objectives

- ❖ To formalization of the fraud-detection problem that realistically describes the operating conditions of frauds that everyday analyze massive streams of credit card transactions.
- ❖ To design and assess a new technique that effectively addresses credit card frauds.
- ❖ To Timely identification of fraudulent transactions can prevent the fraudsters from further committing such illicit crimes.

### 1.3 Problem Statement

To build credit card fraud detection system using machine learning algorithms. The major aim of this project is to perform a comprehensive review of different fraud detection methods and some innovative machine learning techniques.

## II. LITERATURE REVIEW

In this section, we briefly review the related work on credit card fraud system and their different techniques. In [1] this document proposes a new comparative measure of the comparison rules that reasonably represents the profits and losses due to fraud detection. A cost-sensitive method based on the minimum Bayes risk is presented using the proposed cost measure. Improvements of up to 23% are obtained by comparing this method and other latest-generation algorithms. The data set for this document is based on the real-life transactional data of a large European company and personal data in the data is kept confidential. The accuracy of an algorithm is about 50%. The importance of this work was to find an algorithm and reduce the cost measurement. The result was 23% and the algorithm they found was the minimal risk of Bayes.

In [2] Several modern techniques based on sequence alignment, machine learning, artificial intelligence, genetic programming, data mining, etc. They have been developed and are still being developed to detect fraudulent credit card transactions. A solid and clear understanding of all these approaches is needed, which will undoubtedly lead to an efficient credit card fraud detection system. This document shows a survey of different techniques used in credit card fraud detection mechanisms and the evaluation of each methodology based on certain design criteria. An analysis of credit card fraud detection methods was performed. The survey in this document was based solely on detecting the efficiency and transparency of each method. The importance of this document was to conduct a survey to compare different credit card fraud detection algorithms to find the most appropriate algorithm to solve the problem.

In [3] A comparison was made between models based on artificial intelligence together with a general description of the fraud detection system developed in this document, such as the naive Bayesian classifier and the Bayesian network model, the clustering model. And finally, conclusions are drawn on the results of the model evaluation tests. The number of legal truncation was determined to be greater than or equal to 0.65, ie its accuracy was 65% using the Bayesian network. The importance of this document is to compare the models based on artificial intelligence together with a general description of the developed system and to establish the accuracy of each model together with the recommendation to create the best model.

In [4] Nutan and Suman on review on credit card fraud detection they have supported the theory of what is credit card fraud, types of fraud like telecommunication, bankruptcy fraud etc. and how to detect it, in addition to it they have explained numerous algorithms and methods on how to detect fraud using Glass Algorithm, Bayesian, networks, Hidden Markova model, Decision Tree and 4 more. They have explained in detail about each algorithm and how this algorithm works along with mathematical explanation. Types of machine learning along with classifications has been studied. Pros and cons of each method is listed.

III. PROPOSED APPROACH

In this system evidences from current as well as past Behaviour are combined. A fraud detection system is proposed that includes rule based filter, Dempster Shafer adder, transaction history database and Bayesian learner. In rule base the suspicion level of each incoming transaction is determined. Dumpster Shafer is used to combine multiple such evidences and an initial belief is computed. Based on this belief the transactions are classified as normal, abnormal or suspicious. The incoming transactions are initially handled by the rule base using probability values. After this the values are combined using Dumpster Shafer Adder. If the transaction is declared as fraudulent then it is handled by the card holder. If suppose the transaction is suspicious then it is fed in the suspicious table. The score of transaction is updated in the database with the help of machine learning classification. This architecture is flexible such that new kinds of fraud can be handled easily. With the help of learner the system can dynamically adapt to the changing needs.




Fig 1. Proposed System Architecture



**A. Algorithm**


**1. Random Forest Classifier**

- Step 1: Let the number of training cases be  $N$ , and the number of variables in the classifier be  $M$ .
- Step 2: The number  $m$  of input variables to be used to determine the decision at a node of the tree;  $m$  should be much less than  $M$ .
- Step 3: Choose a training set for this tree by choosing  $n$  times with replacement from all  $N$  available training cases (i.e. take a bootstrap sample). Use the rest of the cases to estimate the error of the tree, by predicting their classes.
- Step 4: For each node of the tree, randomly choose  $m$  variables on which to base the decision at that node. Calculate the best split based on these  $m$  variables in the training set.
- Step 5: Each tree is fully grown and not pruned (as may be done in constructing a normal tree classifier). For prediction a new sample is pushed down the tree. It is assigned the label of the training sample in the terminal node it ends up in. This procedure is iterated over all trees in the ensemble, and the average vote of all trees is reported as random forest prediction.

**IV. RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

In experimental results, we evaluate the proposed system with real time social networking posts dataset. A user study with no of peoples is conducted to evaluate the accuracy of system and analyze the detected mental disorder type's i.e. net compulsion users, cyber relationship users, information overload users using OSN features and personal features.

**B. Comparison Graph:**



**Fig2. Graph**

**C. Comparison Table:**

Sr.No	Existing Algorithm	Proposed Algorithm
1	65%	86%

**Table 1.comparative result**

**V. CONCLUSION**

Credit card fraud detection is a fascinating domain. From this survey, we analyze that machine learning is the best compared to forecasting and classification. Machine learning techniques are mainly preferred in fraud detection, due to their high accuracy and detection rate. Even so, researchers find it difficult to achieve greater accuracy and detection

speed. In addition, organizations are interested in finding ways to reduce costs and increase profits; you can find and select the method of previous studies.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Jain R., Gour B., Dubey S., A hybrid approach for credit card fraud detection using rough set and decision tree technique, International Journal of Computer Applications 139(10) (2016).
- [2] Dermalma N., Agrawal A.N., Credit card fraud detection using SVM and Reduction of false alarms, International Journal of Innovations in Engineering and Technology (IJET) 7(2) (2016).
- [3] Phua C., Lee V., Smith, Gayler K.R., A comprehensive survey of data mining-based fraud detection research. arXiv preprint arXiv:1009.6119 (2010).
- [4] Bahnsen A.C., Stojanovic A., Aouada D., Ottersten B., Cost sensitive credit card fraud detection using Bayes minimum risk. 12th International Conference on Machine Learning and Applications (ICMLA) (2013), 333-338.
- [5] Carneiro E.M., Dias L.A.V., Da Cunha A.M., Mialaret L.F.S., Cluster analysis and artificial neural networks: A case study in credit card fraud detection, 12th International Conference on Information Technology-New Generations (2015), 122-126.
- [6] Hafiz K.T., Aghili S., Zavarisky P., The use of predictive analytics technology to detect credit card fraud in Canada, 11th Iberian Conference on Information Systems and Technologies (CISTI) (2016), 1-6.
- [7] Sonapat H.C.E., Bansal M., Survey Paper on Credit Card Fraud Detection, International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer Engineering & Technology 3(3) (2014).VarrePerantalu K., BhargavKiran, Credit card Fraud Detection using Predictive Modeling (2014).
- [8] Stolfo S., Fan D.W., Lee W., Prodromidis A., Chan P., Credit card fraud detection using meta-learning: Issues and initial results, AAI-97 Workshop on Fraud Detection and Risk Management (1997).
- [9] Maes S., Tuyls K., Vanschoenwinkel B., Manderick, B., Credit card fraud detection using Bayesian and neural networks, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics Special Issue 836Proceedings of the 1st international nairo congress on neuro fuzzy technologies (2002), 261-270.
- [10] Chan P.K., Stolfo S.J., Toward Scalable Learning with Non- Uniform Class and Cost Distributions: A Case Study in Credit Card Fraud Detection, In KDD (1998), 164-168.



**INNO SPACE**  
SJIF Scientific Journal Impact Factor

**Impact Factor:  
7.512**

**ISSN** INTERNATIONAL  
STANDARD  
SERIAL  
NUMBER  
INDIA



# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INNOVATIVE RESEARCH

IN SCIENCE | ENGINEERING | TECHNOLOGY

 9940 572 462  6381 907 438  [ijirset@gmail.com](mailto:ijirset@gmail.com)



[www.ijirset.com](http://www.ijirset.com)

Scan to save the contact details