

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 5, Issue 12, December 2016

Hybridization of GFT with Life Cycle Models

P. Patchaiammal¹, R. Thirumalaiselvi²

Assistant Professor, Department of Computer Applications, Bharath University, Chennai, India¹

Assistant Professor, Department of Computer Science, Govt. Arts College (Men), (Autonomous), Chennai, India²

ABSTRACT: In software development there is objectivity between quantity, cost and time. Software methodologies are concerned with the process of creating software - not so much in the technical side, but the organizational aspects. Technological change of 21st century systems is intensive with exponential speed, so technology is obsolete before project completion. The main goal of every software developer and every development team is to deliver the highest possible value to employers and customers. A buyer must choose Software Development Company or Agency that fulfills the clients all requirements and develop Best, Robust and Errorless Software for the Client. This study enlightened various SDLC methodologies with the need for hybridization in in SDLC and proposed a new methodology GFT (Genetic Fault Taxonomy). By hybridizing Genetic Fault Taxonomy in life cycle models a Software Development Company can develop superlative and Errorless Software for its client and fulfill all the requirements of the user.

KEYWORDS: Genetic Fault Taxonomy(GFT),Software, Agile, Devops, Genetic Gain Algorithm(GGA), Hybridization.

I. INTRODUCTION

In this competitive Internet world, everyone wants to design their Software for its business. This software functionality must solve the user's need. Many users want new functionalities in their Software and Developer must provide this functionality in that software.

Numerous types of SDLC models like Waterfall, Agile, Spiral, Devops are available. Traditional methods are well-suited for predictability whereas Agile and Devops are well-suited for high uncertainty. It comes down to efficiency versus effectiveness. These entire SDLC models follow the steps like requirement gathering and analysis, System analysis, system Design, Coding, Testing and evolution for developing errorless software. All these steps are described in Fig 1.

1	F
	Entire system is defined in detail.
	Developer get a detailed blueprint of the various phases of the software.
ILITSIS	System is divided into smaller parts to make it essier, managable for the developers, designers, tester, project managers and other professionals.
	The system analyst design yhe logical design for the designers.
	The designer get the basic idea of designing the software design of FRONT end and BACK end both.
	 Logical part of the development process. Final sucessful result for the software. Work is subdivided under a sub-phase called Task Allocation , each task is assigned different coder.
	 Test cases formed to test for different errors in software created. Once testing department and Quality Analyt makes sure that the software is error-free then the testing rpocess is complete.
/	By users or buyers the software runs on various systems .
	 If the software runs smoothly without any flaw then the software is ready to be launched.

Fig. 1 Steps of SDLC models (Waterfall, Spiral, Agile, etc.)



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 5, Issue 12, December 2016

II. WORK–IN-PROCESS

Operational needs are assessed and the concept of operations is completed, the next step and typically the first task on development projects are to discover, elicit, collect, define and analyze requirements. Requirements cover various aspects of a capability or system like user needs, behavioral, quality, implementation, etc.



Fig. 2 Work-in-process Traditional models

The above Fig 2 shows the work in process of traditional models like water fall, V-model, incremental, spiral and iterative models. According to the chart, the work initiated at the month of October and is started in the end of October month. There is a bigtime lead between start and complete of the project. The final result to the customer is released them after 2 months. Total time period to complete the software is 5 months. Therefor the work process takes longest lead time to complete.



Fig. 3 Work -in-process Agile and Devops models

The above Fig 3 shows the work in process of agile methodologies like XP, Scrum, Kanban and Devops. According to the chart the work initiated at the month of October and simultaneously started. There is a very small time lead to start design and project completion. Total time period to complete is less compared to traditional models. It takes one-and-a-half month period to complete. At regular time interval these methodologies ensures that the capabilities are delivered at regular time intervals.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 5, Issue 12, December 2016

III. SDLC METHODOLOGIES

All the SDLC methodologies and it pros and cons are described in the following table 1.

Methodology	Table 1 SDLC Methodol Definition	Pros	Cons		
	The waterfall model is a				
1. Water fall	The waterfall model is a sequential (non-iterative) design process, used in software development processes, in which progress is seen as flowing steadily	 Simple and easy to understand and use. Easy to manage due. Phases are processed and 	 Once an application is in the testing stage, it is very difficult to go back and change. No working software is produced until late during the life cycle. 		
Analysis Design Coding Coding Deployment	downwards (like a waterfall) through the phases of conception, initiation, analysis, design, construction, testing, production/implementati on and maintenance	 completed one at a time. Works well for smaller projects where requirements are very well understood. 	 High amounts of risk and uncertainty. Not a good model for complex and object- oriented projects. Poor model for long and ongoing project 		
2. V model V-Model Reserved Reser	The V - model is SDLC model where execution of processes happens in a sequential manner in V- shape. It is also known as Verification and Validation model. V - Model is an extension of the waterfall model and is based on association of a testing phase for each corresponding development stage.	 Simple and easy to use. Saves a lot of time. Proactive defect tracking. Avoids downward flow of the defects. Work well for small projects. 	 Very rigid. Less flexible. No early prototype of software produced. 		

Table 1 SDLC Methodologies



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 5, Issue 12, December 2016

	1	1			
3. Spiral model	The spiral model is a	\succ	The high	\triangleright	Can be a costly model
	risk-driven process		amount of risk		to use.
Evaluate Identify	model generator for		analysis.	\triangleright	Risk analysis requires
	software projects. Based	\succ	Good for		highly specific
Dayloy Operation and	on the unique risk		largeand critical		expertise.
	patterns of a given		projects.	\triangleright	Project's success is
	project, the spiral model	\succ	Strong approval		highly dependent on the
Orshurenter Subsystem	guides a team to adopt		and		risk analysis phase.
testevativ System	elements of one or more		documentation		Doesn't work well for
And	process models, such as		control.	-	smaller projects.
	incremental, waterfall, or	\succ	Additional		sinuller projects.
Provi of Compatient Concept Design	evolutionary	ŕ	Functionality		
	prototyping.		can be added at		
	prototyping.		a later date.		
		~			
		≻			
Construct Design			produced early		
Design	Agila SDLC model is a	>	Customer	A	In case of some
4 4 - 11-	Agile SDLC model is a	-			
4. Agile.	combination of iterative		satisfaction by		software deliverables,
	and incremental process		rapid,		especially the large
CONTINUOUS VISIBILITY	models with focus on		continuous		ones, it is difficult to
	process adaptability and		delivery.		assess the effort
Integrate & Test	customer satisfaction by		People and		required at the
	rapid delivery of		interactions are		beginning of the
Integrate	working software		emphasized		software development
& Test Developers Users	product. Agile Methods		Working		life cycle.
antiponality) Review	break the product into		software is	\triangleright	There is a lack of
, water to	small incremental builds.		delivered		emphasis on necessary
START	These builds are		frequently		designing and
	provided in iterations		(weeks rather		documentation.
	•		than months).	\triangleright	The project can easily
		\triangleright	Close, daily		get taken off track if the
Requirements DEVELOPMENT			cooperation		customer representative
			between business		is not clear what the
			people and		final outcome that they
B the contract			developers.		want.
Track Record & Record &		\succ	Continuous		want.
			attention to		
			technical excellence and		
		~	good design.		
		≻	Regular		
			adaptation to		
			changing		
			circumstances.		



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 5, Issue 12, December 2016



From all the above SDLC methodologiesAgile is perhaps today's the most widely used SDLC model. It uses iterative development as a basis, but uses people-centric viewpoint through user feedbacks rather than planning as the primary control mechanism. The feedback is driven by regular tests and releases of the evolving software. DevOps is an extension of Agile. Agile focuses on helping software developers create better software faster. Now that many corporations are hosting their own software in the "Cloud," there is a need for these Agile practices to be extended to the hosting (operations) side of things. DevOps focuses on helping teams create, deploy, and host software faster and more resiliently.

It is better not to fixate on any given methodology, because the needs/conditions of the company and project are likely to change regularly, and one need to be flexible in how to approach managing projects if it is to be successful

IV. TODAY'S ENVIRONMENT

The present stage of the software development is highly unstable, global and domestic markets. Customers are demanding and very difficult to please. Also the project plans cannot cope with this level of volatility.

4 Technology:

Nowadays technologies are economic and market driven, dependent and constant change. It is also growing with complexity. So it has lack of usability and nano technology.

Organization:
 Ourrent trend of organ

Current trend of organization is downsizing and restructuring. So it needs merging and budget reduction. The result is adapting new bloated processes.

Project:

Each project has vague requirements and volatile specifications with shorter schedules. The budget is also smaller. The project work is more and politically sensitive. Some of the projects are very high risk and uncertainty.

Software Created:

The result of the above lead to poor quality with schedule and cost overrun software. In some cases there is no profit at all. Finally market share loss occurs for bad reputation.

V. NEED FOR GENETIC HYBRID MODEL

No single methodology is a silver bullet, so the trick is to determine which methods work for and tune that methodology to suit for individual needs. The above mentioned pros and corns in Table 1 shows that even though agile works better there is a need for new model of project management to cope with high-level of uncertainty and ambiguity.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 5, Issue 12, December 2016

	use it and love	use it and hate	use it and no strong positive or negative	don't use
	it	it	feelings	it
Waterfall	56	129	209	361
	7.4%	17.1%	27.7%	47.8%
Scrum	390	36	214	125
	51.0%	4.7%	28.0%	16.3%
Kanban	206	10	134	400
	27.5%	1.3%	17.9%	53.3%
Devops	323	19	167	247
	42.7%	2.5%	22.1%	32.7%

Fig. 4 Survey of SDLC methodologies usage

Survey is conducted and also data from global survey is shows in the above fig 4. The methodologies and various point view of usage among the people are described in it. From the survey it is clearly shown that none of the methodology is fully used and none of them is fully outdated. There is a need for strong positive feeling of the methodology. In order to create a positive feeling among the people we created new genetic taxonomy by using GGA(Genetic Gain Algorithm)[5]. Our new genetic taxonomy along with the SDLC methodology will reduce the rework in both traditional and agile methodologies.

VI. NEED FOR GFT HYBRIDIZATION

Genetic Fault taxonomy includes all the faults from previous projects in all the SDLC methodologies. This is stored and training data set is formed by hypothesis. The gain is calculated by using DMM(Decision Making Model). Suggestions are collected by using questionnaires from various teams and are listed in the table 2.

S.no.	Team	Suggestion					
1	Research	New discoveries, Vague requirement, Simulation, Innovation oriented,					
		Creative solution					
2	People centered	Highly-talent people, Cross-functional team, Rich collaboration, Face-to-face interaction,					
		Cohesiveness					
3	adaptive	Global threats, Market threats, New customer needs, Changing scope,					
		Change in technology					
4	Flexibility	Culture, Attitude, Policies, Processes, Technologies.					
5	5 Customer friendly Customer interaction, A lot of communication, Customer demos, Customer feedbac						
		value focus, Customer satisfactions, Responsive, Sensitivity, Relationships, Contact,					
		Involvement, driven.					
6	Quick	New technology, Decision-making, Iterative delivery cycle, Time-to-market					
		Mover capability					
7	Disciplined	Lightweight strategy, Lightweight plans, Lightweight lifecycles, Security engineering, Light					
	_	requirements, Light architecture, Lightweight design.					
		Code reviews.					

VII. GENETIC FAULT TAXONOMY MODEL

It is Light, flexible, collaborative and adaptive market –centric project management model. This fault taxonomy can be hybrid with any SDLC methodologies to reduce the rework. This taxonomy includes activities and milestones that have long been part of the fault collection by Goal Question Metrics Approach[5]. Each step within the sequence is completed before moving on to the next step. Faults may be added or modified depending on the scope and type of project or task.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 5, Issue 12, December 2016



Fig.5 Genetic Fault Taxonomy

Genetic fault taxonomy is described in Fig 5. The taxonomy model is formed by collecting fault by using GQM[5]. The faults localization is done by forming root analysis of the fault. The root of the fault is identified and the Gain value is calculated by GGA[6]. According to the Gain value fault category training data set is formed. The data set is the prioritized by severity of the fault. Finally fault classification is done by DMM[6]. If the fault is deputize the previous fault the fault taxonomy is updated otherwise the fault is added in the Genetic Fault Taxonomy.

VIII. HYBRIDIZATION OF GENETIC FAULT TAXONOMY

The genetic fault taxonomy is combined with any SDLC model to reduce the rework done and also increase the quality. Various SDLC models and phase of GFA is mentioned in Table 3.

S.no	SDLC model	GFA fit phase			Rework	reduction
					result	
1	Water fall	Requirement D	Design	Unit	Good	
		Testing				
2	V model	Requirement	Design	Unit	Moderate	
		Testing				
3	Spiral model	Requirement	Design	Unit	Good	
	-	Testing	-			
4	Agile methodologies	From the beginning	From the beginning			
5	Devops	Any time			Excellent	

Table 3 GFA hybridization with SDLC models:



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 5, Issue 12, December 2016

A. ADVANTAGE OF HYBRIDIZATION:

Flexible process:

It is flexible to manage projects under uncertainty, urgency and a need for unique expertise.

- Valuable principles:
- Used to help project teams in coming to grips with a challenging environment.
- Manageability:
- It managing the flow of human thoughts ,emotions, and interactions in a way that produces business value. Reliability:

Rapidly and reliably creating value by engaging customers , continuously learning and adapting.

B. GOALS OF HYBRIDIZATION

This hybridization is formed to focus on improving quality, lead time and reduce rework with initial changes.

- Goal 1: Optimize existing processes rather than change them.
- Goal 2: Deliver high product quality to build stakeholder trust.
- Goal 3: Reduce long lead times and stabilize them.
- Goal 4: achieve sustainable pace work-life balance.
- Goal 5: Provide process slack for process improvement.
- Goal 6: Simplify workload prioritization of customer needs
- Goal 7: Provide transparency into design and operations.
- Goal 8: Strive for process maturity to improve performance.

IX. CONCLUSION

In this paper Genetic Fault Taxonomy is introduced. This model is based on principles for product development flow and mathematical hypothetical theory. GFT can be hybridizing with any SDLC models. The pragmatic principles of this hybridization are quality, reduce reworkflow, and Learn new skills. In future we plan to implement the GFT by forming bug report to effective rework reduction.

REFERENCES

- [1] ArdhenduMandal, S. C. Pal"Identifying the Reasons for Software Project Failure and Some of their Proposed Remedial through BRIDGE Process Models", International Journal of Computer Sciences and Engineering Vol.- 3(1), PP(118-126), E-ISSN: 2347-2693. Feb 2015.
- [2] Pandiyan G. and P. Krishnakumari, "An Efficient Software Defect Prediction Model Using Optimized Tabu Search Branch and Bound Procedure", ARPN Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences ISSN 1819-6608, January 2015.

[3] DeepinderKaur, "A Comparative Study of Various Distance Measures for Software fault prediction", International Journal of Computer Trends and Technology (IJCTT) – volume 17 Issue 3, November 2014.

[4] Parvinder S. Sandhu, Satish Kumar Dhiman, AnmolGoyal ,"A Genetic Algorithm Based Classification Approach for Finding Fault Prone Classes", International Journal of Computer, Electrical, Automation, Control and Information Engineering Vol:3, No:12, 2009.

[5] P.Patchaiammal, R.Thirumalaiselvi "Machine Learning Based Approach for Predicting Fault in Software Engineering by GMFPA: A Survey", International Conference on Computing and Intelligence Systems-Volume: 04, Special Issue: March 2015, Pages: 1358 – 1363.

[6]] P.Patchaiammal, R.Thirumalaiselvi "FAULT PREDICTION TECHNIQUES USING DMM AND GGA", *i-manager's Journal on Software Engineering*, Vol. 9 No. 1July - September 2014.

[7] AditiSanyal, Balraj Singh, "A Systematic Literature Survey on Various Techniques for Software Fault Prediction", International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer Science and Software Engineering, January 2014.

- [8] https://dzone.com/articles/how-774-developers-really-feel-about-agile
- [9] https://www.quora.com/Is-DevOps-an-extension-of-Agile-Or-Agile-on-Steroids
- [10] http://www.agileweboperations.com/lean-agile-devops-related
- [11] https://techbeacon.com/back-waterfall-when-agile-devops-dont-work-well
- [12]http://www.informationweek.com/devops/agile-vs-devops-10-ways-theyre-different/d/d-id/1326121
- [13] https://www.tutorialspoint.com/sdlc/sdlc_v_model.htm
- [14] https://theagileadmin.com/what-is-devops/
- [15] https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Agile_software_development
- [16] https://www.sitepoint.com/devops-by-example-tools-pros-and-cons-of-a-devops-culture/