Comparative Analysis of Video Steganography Techniques

T. Muthu Lakshmi, P. Mohamed Fathimal, P. Arockia Jansi Rani
Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, Tirunelveli, India
Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, Tirunelveli, India
Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, Tirunelveli, India

ABSTRACT: In the intricately connected world of E-commerce, secure data transmission is of prime importance. To keep the hackers unaware of secret data transmission, many techniques are used. Steganography is the process of embedding secret data into data sources such as audio, video, or image signals without changing perceptual quality. The most common techniques for embedding data is the use of least significant bit and the use of redundancy in the cover image by performing lossless compression. This paper discusses the three methods-- Least Significant Bit, Vector Quantization and Motion Vector method for embedding the secret image in a compressed video. This chapter analyses these three methods and to evaluate the performance of these methods, the various test images are used in the experiments. The results are compared in terms of visual quality of the recovered image, embedded video and compression ratio.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Steganography is the art of hiding the fact that communication is taking place, by hiding information in other information. It is the art of concealing a message in a cover without leaving a remarkable track on the original message. There are 4 different types of steganography - Text, Audio, Image and Video.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: Section II discusses the related works. Section III discusses the Least Significant Bit, Vector Quantization and Motion Vector method for embedding the secret image in a compressed video. Section IV discusses the experimental result and finally section V concludes the paper.

II. RELATED WORK

D. Fang et al [1] proposed Data hiding for digital video with phase of Motion Vector (MV). In this paper, the authors proposed an effective data-hiding scheme that embeds data in digital videos using the phase angle of the motion vector of the macro block in the inter-frame the embedded data can be extracted directly without using the original video sequences.

H. Aly et al [2] proposed Data hiding in Motion Vectors of compressed video based on their associated prediction error. It deals with data hiding in compressed video. The Motion Vectors used to encode and reconstruct both the forward predictive (P)-frame and bidirectional (B)-frames in compressed video. A greedy adaptive threshold is searched for every frame to achieve robustness while maintaining a low prediction error level. The secret message bit stream is embedded in the least significant bit of both components of the candidate motion vectors. Y. Cao et al [3] proposed Video steganography with perturbed motion estimation. In this paper, the authors proposed the approach that targets the internal dynamics of video compression. Inspired by Fridrich et al’s Perturbed Quantization (PQ) steganography, a technique called Perturbed Motion Estimation (PME) is introduced to perform motion estimation and message hiding in one step. Intending to minimize the embedding impacts, the perturbations are optimized with the hope that these perturbations will be confused with normal estimation deviations.

Cao et al [4] proposed Video steganalysis exploiting motion vector reversion-based features. In this paper, the mot MV
based methods target the internal dynamics of video compression and embed messages while performing motion estimation. The authors design a calibration-based approach and propose MV reversion-based features for steganalysis. Experimental results demonstrate that the proposed features are very sensitive to the tendency of MV reversion during calibration and can be used to effectively detect some typical MV-based steganography even with low embedding rates. Wang et al [5] proposed Video steganalysis against motion vector-based steganography by adding or subtracting one MV value. In this paper, the modification on the Least Significant Bit (LSB) of the Motion Vector is modeled. The influence of the embedding operation on the Sum of Absolute Difference (SAD) is illustrated, which allows us to focus on the difference between the actual SAD and the locally optimal SAD after the adding-or-subtracting-one operation on the motion value. Finally, because most Motion Vectors are locally optimal for most video codec’s, two feature sets are extracted and used for classification.

C. C. Chen et al [6] have proposed High capacity Side Matching Vector Quantization (SMVQ) based hiding scheme using adaptive index. In this paper, a high-capacity image-hiding scheme based on an adaptive index was proposed. Data-hiding based on VQ is a technique for hiding data in the VQ index code. Data-hiding based on Side Match Vector Quantization has been proposed for improving the compression rate of VQ-based data-hiding schemes. However, the hiding capacity of an SMVQ-based data-hiding scheme is very low since, at most, only one secret bit is hidden in one index code. According to the experimental results, a higher hiding capacity was obtained and a good-quality embedded image was preserved in the adaptive index SMVQ-based data-hiding scheme. P. Tsai [7] proposed Histogram-based reversible data hiding for vector quantization compressed images. In this paper, Reversible data hiding is required and preferable in many applications such as medical diagnosis, military, law enforcement, fine art work and so on was proposed. The author proposed to use reversible data hiding applications with a vector quantization compressed image. The histogram of the prediction VQ-compressed image is explored. The prediction VQ encoded image is identical to traditional VQ encoding. The index of prediction encoded VQ images is modified to embed secret data. Furthermore, the VQ images can be completely reconstructed by the recovery procedure.

J. D. Lee et al [8] proposed reversible data hiding of SMVQ indices. In this paper, the author proposes reversible data hiding based on histogram modifications on SMVQ indices. Chang et al [9] developed another index-modifying data-hiding scheme with recovery capability. In this paper, Indicators are added in front of the most encoded indices and Only two clusters can be used to hide secret data in their 2-bit hiding scheme, resulting in low embedding capacity and high bit rate (BR). In general, high embedding capacity can be achieved with SMVQ-based data-hiding schemes, in which a state codebook is used to encode each index, yet they require more encoding time than that of the index-modifying techniques. Shie et al. [10] developed an adaptive data hiding based on a VQ-compressed image. In this paper, SMVQ modifying technique was proposed. The image blocks are classified into embeddable and unembeddable blocks based on the variances and side-match distortions (SMDs). Although this scheme can yield a high embedding capacity, the quality of the reconstructed image reduces as the quantity of secret data increases. In addition, this scheme is a case of the irreversible information hiding.

C. C. Chen et al [11] proposed High capacity SMVQ-based hiding Scheme using adaptive index. In this paper both VQ and SMVQ are used to hide secret data, in which a 1-b indicator is always required for each index and only 1-b secret data can be embedded in each index when the VQ technique is applied. Conversely, more than one bit secret data can be embedded in each index when the SMVQ technique is applied. This scheme can provide a higher hiding capacity, yet it is also an irreversible data-hiding scheme. RonakDoshi et al [12] proposed Steganography and its applications in security using LSB coding. The simplest approach to hiding data within the video file is called least significant bit (LSB) insertion was proposed. In this method, the authors take the binary representation of the hidden data and overwrite the LSB of each byte within the cover video. If we are using 24-bit color, the amount of change will be minimal and indiscernible to the human eye.

Mr.VikasTigay [13] proposed Data Hiding in Image using least significant bit with cryptography. To increase the security of messages sent over the internet steganography is used. This paper discussed a technique used on the LSB (least significant bit) and a new encryption algorithm. By matching data to an image, there is less chance of an attacker being able to use steganalysis to recover data. Before hiding the data in an image the application first encrypts it.
III. VIDEO STEGANOGRAPHY ALGORITHMS

This section discusses the procedure of three video steganography techniques- LSB based method, VQ based steganography, block based motion vector steganography for secure transmission of a secret image. These methods use the video as a cover media for hiding the secret information.

A. Procedure for embedding Secret Image in a video

For secure data transmission with high embedding capacity, the cover video is compressed and encrypted using scalable Encryption Algorithm. The compression technique removes the redundant data’s from the video frames. Therefore, the large amount of data can be hidden in a video Embed the secret image in a video using any one of the three steganography methods- LSB, VQ Based and Motion Vector based Method.

![Video Steganography Diagram](image)

**Figure 1 System Design**

Fig 1 shows the System Design that describes about overall view for methodology of each steganography algorithm such as LSB based method, VQ based steganography, block based Motion Vector steganography and performance metrics are calculated to evaluate the above three algorithms. These methods use the video as a cover media for hiding the secret information. For achieving the secure data transmission and embedding the large amount of data it compress and encrypt the video using some compression methods. The compression technique removes the
redundant data’s from the video frames. So the large amount of data will be hid in a video. Then the secret data will be hid in a compressed video using some algorithms. These compression methods and embedding algorithms are implemented. After implementation is done the secret message is extracted.

B. Scalable encryption:

The main goal of scalable encryption is to encrypt and to reduce the amount of data to be encrypted. After encryption, it performs compression on inter frames and remove the redundant pixel valves on frames. Most of the conventional algorithms are not useful for image encryption because of the redundant data contained in an image, strong correlation between the pixel value of the image and high computational complexity of the algorithm. For this purpose, scalable encryption is used, which provide security and confidentiality for secure transmission of data through the network.

Algorithm for Scalable Encryption:

1. Input and colored video (which contains R (Red), G (Green), B (Blue) pattern).
2. Use the technique of confusion and diffusion to replace each pixel of the input video by another value generated by random number generator.
3. After generating the random numbers, that random numbers will be XOR ed with the original pixel to get an encrypted video.

C. LSB based method

Compression method for LSB algorithm

For compressing video the index-modifying technique can be applied to compress the indices. Compression accompanied by the index-modifying technique can yield a lower embedding capacity and a higher bit rate, yet less time consuming. The neighboring processed indices are employed to speed up the processes of generating state Codebooks required for encoding and hiding.

Vector quantization (VQ) has widely been used for signal processing due to its excellent compression performance. Data hiding techniques in the VQ-compressed domain can relish advantages of both data hiding and compression for a multimedia distribution, achieving a secure channel and bandwidth/space saving for data transmission/storage

Data embedding procedure:

This method is used to hide the data in compressed videos. Cover-video with the hidden messages added in them are called stego-object. One of the common techniques is based on manipulating the least-significant-bit (LSB) planes by directly replacing the LSBs of the cover-video with the secret message bits. LSB methods typically achieve high capacity. This allows a person to embed the data in the cover video and make sure that no one could detect the secret information in the cover media. The LSB method does not increase the file size, but depending on the size of the data that is to be hidden inside the cover media, that can become distorted.

Algorithm steps for LSB method

1. Determine an encrypted video (cover video).
2. Determine the secret message or data that should be embedded into the cover media.
3. Convert all the pixel values of the encrypted video from grayscale to 8-bit binary values.
4. Embed the secret message or data into the cover video by hiding the data into the LSB bit of cover video.
5. The data from the cover media is recovered with less distortion and the PSNR value is calculated.

Data-extraction procedure

At the receiver, the decoder can recover the embedded secret information and reconstruct the original VQ indices simultaneously. Initially, the first \( \log_2 (cs) \) bits are read from the code stream bs. The first index that is the base index of the first row of the original VQ-compressed image is restored by the \( \log_2 (cs) \) bits. After restoring the base index, each index can be predicted by its corresponding prediction index. Let \( rx \) and \( rv \) represent the restored index and the value retrieved from the code stream with length \( \log_2 (cs) \) bits. For an unpredictable index, the restored index \( rx \) can be obtained by

\[
rx = rv \quad (1)
\]

The encoded index can be a predictable index or unpredictable index according to its corresponding prediction value. As mentioned above, an unpredictable index can be easily restored. For a predictable index, J bits converted to a decimal value \( jv \) is read from the code stream bs, and then considered as an indicator. The encoded index can be identified as one of the following indices by the value of \( jv \).

D. Vector Quantization Based Method

Hiding the secret information into vector quantization (VQ)-compressed videos that can be lossless and the
Data embedding method using BMC

1-b indicator is required for each index and only 1-b secret data can be embedded in each index when the VQ technique is applied. More than one bit secret data can be embedded in each index when the SMVQ technique is applied. The VQ consists of three phases. They are codebook generation, encoding process, and decoding process. A codebook is generated from a set of training images. With a generated codebook, each block in an image is encoded using the index of the nearest codeword of the block such that the total storage space for an image is significantly reduced. In the decoder process, with the same codebook as that used in the encoder, the original image is restored from the indices using a table-lookup operation.

Algorithm steps

Input: A grayscale image G of size N * M; a
Output: The code stream in binary form bs with the parameters J, I, Vth, and s

Offline preprocessing:
1. Calculate the mean value, variance value, and p value for each codeword in the codebook.
2. Rearrange the codeword’s codebook in ascending order according to the mean values, variance values.

Online processing
1. Compress video G using VQ to obtain a VQ compressed image CI of size \( \frac{N}{n} \) *(M/m) where \( n \) * \( m \) denotes block size.
2. Read the next index and the p value of the prediction index, denoted as C and p, from the VQ-compressed image in the raster scan order.
3. If \( p = 0 \), \( bs = bs || c \) then, where \( || \) denotes the concatenation operation, then go to Step 7.
4. If C is a short index, then:
   - Calculate the \( BMC = s \) of C;
   - Take a, sd from the secret data stream
   - \( bs = bs || BMC = s || sd \). Go to step 7
5. If C is a middle index, then:
   - Calculate the \( BMC = m \) of C:
     \( bs = bs || \left( \frac{2}{2J-1} \right) || BMC = m \). Go to Step 7.
6. If C is a long index, then \( bs = bs || \left( \frac{2}{2J-1} \right) || C \).
7. Repeat Steps 2–7 until all of the indices in the VQ-compressed image are processed.
8. Output the code stream bs and parameters J, I, Vth and s.

Data-extraction steps

Input: The code stream in binary form bs with the parameters J, I, V-th, and s.
Output: The reconstructed VQ image G of size \( N \) * M and retrieved secret data stream.

1) Offline preprocessing:
   - Calculate the mean value, p value and variance value of each codeword in the codebook.
   - Rearrange the codeword’s in ascending order according to their means, variances, and \( Vth \).
   - Calculate the values of Ks and Km.

2) Online processing:
   - Set ptr = 1; Set restoredsd = Null.
   - If the prediction value = 0 (unprediction), then Read the next \( lo2(cs) \) bits from the code stream bs, 
     \( \text{restored\ index} = B2D(bs(ptr;ptr + log2(cs)) \) , where B2D(x) denotes the binary to the decimal operation.
   - If the prediction value = 1 (prediction), then read next J bits from the code stream bs, \( \text{indicator} = B2D(bs(ptr;ptr + J - 1)) \), ptr = ptr + 1. If the value of the indicator lies in between 0 and 2AJ – 3, then restored index = indicator + Ks restored sd0 = read the next s bits from the code stream bs, restored sd = restored sd || restored sd0.
   - If the indicator = 2AJ – 2, then read the next I bits from the code stream bs, I = B2D bs(ptr;ptr + I –
1) \text{ptr} = \text{ptr} + 1 \text{, restored index } = \text{iv }+ \text{Km}.

- If the indicator = 2\text{N} \text{= 1, then read the next } \log 2(c) \text{ bits from the code stream} \text{bs resorted index } = B2D(\text{bs} (\text{ptr: ptr}log 2(c) - 1)). \text{ptr} = \text{ptr} + \log 2(c) \text{. Repeat Steps 2-4 until all of the bits in the code stream are processed.}

- Output the reconstructed VQ image and restored the secret data.

F. Motion Vector based steganography

This method goal is to improve the security of motion vector-based steganography. This method suggests that the perfect security (undetectability) can be approached if the uncertain information, which exists in the process of motion estimation, is fully exploited and establishes a connection between single motion vectors’ embedding distortion and its associated uncertainty.

Data embedding

The sender has some raw video frames comprising of N blocks in all, and wants to communicate an $aN$-bit message $m$ to the recipient. To begin with, these raw frames are fed into a customized encoder for compression. For $i = 1$ to $N$, the $i$th block is subjected to ME processes with different $\lambda$ values, and its default MV $mvi$ together with the associated uncertainty $di$ are obtained and calculated. After that, a binary channel $P = (p_1, \ldots, pN)$ is formed by the parity check function

$$p(mvi) = \text{LSB}(h + V)$$

Where $\text{LSB}$ refers to the least significant bit of an binary integer, $h$ and $v$ denote the MV’s horizontal and vertical components, and $pi = P(mvi)$. Meanwhile, the distortion scale vector $\Gamma = \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_N$ is calculated. After the coding process, the raw frames are fed into the encoder again. For $i = 1$ to $N$, the $i$th block is subjected to a perturbed ME process, if $pi = pi$, its MV $mvi$ is left unchanged; otherwise, a full search procedure centering around $mvi$ is conducted to find a new MV as

$$\muvi = \text{arg min}_{mvi} \text{SAD}_{mvi}$$

Where $M(p_i)$ is the set of all possible MVs satisfying $P(mvi) = _p.i$. Finally, the compressed frames are obtained with MVs carrying secret message bits.

K. Data extraction

Compared to the embedding process, the message extraction is much easier. With the received compressed frames, the recipient can use a common decoder to read the MV values, then recover the binary channel $p$, finally extract the secret message using

$$\text{Ext stc} (\bar{p}) = \bar{p}H = m$$

In this method, a novel MV-based steganography is proposed, which reduces the probability of detection significantly with respect to the current best steganalytic method.

IV. Experimental Results

The video steganography schemes are implemented in Mat lab 10.0 running on Windows 8. Experiments performed on i5 Processor with 4 GB of memory. To illustrate, input secret image of size 150*100 for embedding in a video file. The criteria for the visual quality of the image is the peak signal to noise ratio, which is defined as

$$MSE = \frac{1}{mn} \sum_i \sum_j [I_{ij} - I'_{ij}]^2$$

(1) \quad \text{PSNR} = 20 \times \log_{10}(\text{max}_f/sqrt(MSE))$$

$1$-original image of size $m\times n$

$I'$-recovered image of size $m\times n$ \[ [\text{max}_f] \] - maximum intensity value that exists in the Original image (255).

The higher the PSNR value, better the quality of the reconstructed image. To test the visual quality of the image and to compare the performance of the three techniques, the test image Jet F16 with size 481*321 has been taken as the secret image while the cover (stego) video of size 1.36 MB. The average PSNR for the cover image is 54.96dB.
Table I Experimental Results of Three algorithms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Input</th>
<th>Video</th>
<th>Secret Image</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Encrypted and Compressed video with secret message</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSB based method</td>
<td>Video after extraction</td>
<td>Extracted Image</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMVQ based method</td>
<td>Video after extraction</td>
<td>Extracted Image</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MV based steganography</td>
<td>Video after extraction</td>
<td>Extracted Image</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This Table I show the experimental results of three algorithms LSB method, VQ based method and MV based steganography method.
Table II Performance analysis of three algorithms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Measures</th>
<th>LSB</th>
<th>VQ</th>
<th>MV</th>
<th>LSB</th>
<th>VQ</th>
<th>MV</th>
<th>LSB</th>
<th>VQ</th>
<th>MV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PSNR (original and Compressed video)</td>
<td>22.9408</td>
<td>22.9408</td>
<td>22.9408</td>
<td>22.9408</td>
<td>22.9408</td>
<td>22.9408</td>
<td>22.9408</td>
<td>22.9408</td>
<td>22.9408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSNR (original and Reconstructed Video)</td>
<td>33.7050</td>
<td>38.8525</td>
<td>48.8525</td>
<td>35.3421</td>
<td>38.8525</td>
<td>48.8525</td>
<td>38.8525</td>
<td>38.8525</td>
<td>48.8525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak-Signal-to-Noise Ratio (Image)</td>
<td>48.2348</td>
<td>44.3199</td>
<td>61.4798</td>
<td>44.4563</td>
<td>48.3270</td>
<td>53.9905</td>
<td>42.1880</td>
<td>45.9401</td>
<td>57.3718</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correlation</td>
<td>0.7769</td>
<td>0.8844</td>
<td>0.9945</td>
<td>0.7733</td>
<td>0.8756</td>
<td>0.9857</td>
<td>0.7673</td>
<td>0.7757</td>
<td>0.9885</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Hiding Rate(in Bytes)</td>
<td>44746</td>
<td>101434</td>
<td>101434</td>
<td>82545</td>
<td>529943</td>
<td>529943</td>
<td>70468</td>
<td>54207</td>
<td>70468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bit Error Rate</td>
<td>0.1311</td>
<td>0.0386</td>
<td>0.0185</td>
<td>0.1386</td>
<td>0.0691</td>
<td>0.0490</td>
<td>0.1657</td>
<td>0.1498</td>
<td>0.0456</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table II shows the performance analysis of three algorithms with different image formats.

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we compare the performance analysis of video steganographic algorithms. The MV based method has a low complexity and it is easy to implement. After applying the performance metrics in these three algorithms it is analyzed that MV based steganography given better results as compared with SMVQ technique and LSB Image hiding technique. So overall compression ratio of the image will be very high and the image resolution remains good for image hiding applications.
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