

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 5, Issue 5, May 2016

Common Fixed Point theorems for OWC Mappings satisfying a General Contractive Condition of Integral Type

Dr. Varsha Sharma

Assistant Professor, Dept. of Mathematics , Institute of Engineering & Science, IPS Academy , Rajendra Nagar ,

Indore, India

ABSTRACT: In this paper, we obtain a common fixed point theorem for two pairs of occasionally weakly compatible single and set-valued maps defined on a metric space satisfying a contractive condition of integral type. Our result unifies, extends and complements many results existing in the literature.

KEYWORDS: Occasionally weakly compatible maps, weakly compatible maps, common fixed point, metric space.

2000 Mathematics subject classification. 47H10, 54H25.

I. INTRODUCTION

The concepts of weak commutativity, compatibility, noncompatibility and weak compatibility were frequently used to prove existence theorems in fixed and common fixed points for single and set-valued maps satisfying certain conditions in different spaces. Generalizing the concept of commuting mappings, Sessa [10] was introduced the concept of weakly commuting mappings. He has defined that f and g to be weakly commuting, if $d(fgx, gfx) \le d(gx, fx)$ for all $x \in X$, where f and g are two self –maps of (X,d).

In 1986 Jungck [4] made more generalized commuting and weakly commuting maps called compatible maps. f and g are said to be compatible if $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(fgx_n, gfx_n) = 0$ whenever $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence in X such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} fx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} gx_n = t$ for some $t\in X$. This concept has been useful as a tool for obtaining more comprehensive fixed point theorems. Clearly, commuting maps are weakly commuting and weakly commting maps are compatible, but neither implication is reversible (see [4]). Afterwards, Jungck [5] generalized the compatibility by introducing the concept of weak compatibility. He defines f and g to be weakly compatible if f t=gt, t implies f gt = gf t. Clearly, compatibility imply weak compatibility but there exist weakly compatible maps which are not compatible.

The study of common fixed points on occasionally weakly compatible maps is new and also interesting. In the recent paper of Jungck and Rhoades [7], the concept of occasionally weakly commuting maps (owc) was introduced. In that paper, it was shown that essentially every theorem involving four maps becomes a special case of one of the results on owc maps. Branciari [3] obtained a fixed point theorem for a single valued mapping satisfying an analogue of Banach's contraction principle for an integral-type inequality. Rhoades [9] proved two fixed point thorems involving more general contractive conditions.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 5, Issue 5, May 2016

II. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper, (X,d) denotes a metric space and CB(X) the class of all nonempty bounded closed subsets of X. We take these usual notations: for $x \in X$ and $A \subseteq X$,

$$d(x,A) = \inf \left\{ d(x,y) : y \in A \right\}$$

and let H be the associated Hausdorff metric on CB (X): for every A,B in CB (X),

$$H(A,B) = \max \left\{ \sup_{x \in A} d(x, B) , \sup_{y \in B} d(A, y) \right\}.$$

In the following, we use small letters: f, g, ... to denote maps from X into X and capital letters : F,G,S,T,... for setvalued maps, that is, maps from X into CB (X) and we write fx for f(x) and Fx for F(x).

The same author with Rhoades [7] weakened the concept of weakly compatible maps by giving the new concept of occasionally weakly compatible maps. Two self-maps f and g of X are said to be occasionally weakly compatible maps (shortly owc) if there is a point x in X such that f x = gx at which f and g commute.

In their paper [8], Kaneko and Sessa extended the compatibility to the setting of single and set-valued maps as follows: $f: X \to X$ and $F: X \to CB(X)$ are said to be compatible if $f Fx \in CB(X)$ for all $x \in X$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} H(Ffx_n, f Fx_n) = 0$ whenever $(x_n)_{n \in N}$ is a sequence in X such that $f x_n \to t, Fx_n \to A \in CB(X)$ and $t \in A$.

After, in [6] Jungck and Rhoades made an extension of the concept of compatible single and set-valued maps by giving the concept of weak compatibility. Maps f and F above are weakly compatible if they commute at their coincidence points, i.e., if f Fx = F f x whenever $fx \in Fx$.

More recently, Abbas and Rhoades [1] extended the owc maps to the setting of single and set-valued maps and they proved some common fixed point theorems satisfying generalized contractive condition of integral type. Previous maps f and F are said to be owc if and only if there exists some points x in X such that $fx \in Fx$ and $f Fx \subseteq Ffx$. Clearly, weakly compatible maps are occasionally weakly compatible, However, the converse is not true in general. The example below illustrate this fact.

Example 1.1. Let $X = [1,\infty)$ with the usual metric. Define $f: X \to X$ and $F: X \to CB(X)$ by, for all $x \in X$,

$$fx = 2x + 1 \ , \ Fx = [1 \ , 2x + 1].$$

$$fx = 2x + 1 \in Fx \quad \text{ and } \ f Fx = [3 \ , 4x + 3] \subset F \ f \ x = [1 \ , 4x + 3]$$

Hence, f and F are occasionally weakly compatible but not weakly compatible.

The following property is to be needed. It is immediately proved from definition of the Hausdorff metric H.

Property 1.2. Let A and $B \in CB(X)$, then for any $a \in A$, we have

$$d(a, B) \leq H(A, B).$$



(4)

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 5, Issue 5, May 2016

Definition 1.3. Let $F: X \to 2^X$ be a set-valued map on X. A point $x \in X$ is a fixed point of F if $x \in Fx$ and is an absolutely fixed point of F if $Fx = \{x\}$.

III. MAIN RESULT

Theorem 2.1. Let f, g : $X \to X$ be mappings and F, G : $X \to CB(X)$ be set-valued mappings such that the pairs {f, F} and {g, G} are owc. Let $\psi : \mathbb{R}^5 \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be a real map satisfying the following conditions :

- (1) ψ is nondecreasing in the 1st, 4th and 5th variables, (2) if $u \in \mathbb{R}^+$ is such that $\int_0^u \varphi(t) dt \leq \int_0^{\psi(u,0,0,u,u)} \varphi(t) dt$ then u = 0.

If for all $x, y \in X$, we have

$$(*) \quad \int_{0}^{H(Fx,Gy)} \varphi(t) dt < \int_{0}^{\psi(d(fx,gy),d(fx,Fx),d(gy,Gy),d(fx,Gy),d(gy,Fx))} \varphi(t) dt$$

where $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}$ is a Lebesgue integrable mapping which is summable, nonnegative and such that, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, $\int_{0}^{\varepsilon} \varphi(t) dt > 0$ and for which the right-hand side of (*) is not zero. Then f, g, F and G have a unique common fixed point which is an absolutely fixed point for F and G.

Proof. (i) We begin to show existence of a common fixed point. Since the pairs $\{f, F\}$ and $\{g, G\}$ are owe, then there exist u, v in X such that $fu \in Fu$, $gv \in Gv$, $fFu \subseteq Ffu$ and $gGv \subseteq Ggv$. Also, using the triangle inequality and property 1.2, we obtain

$$d(fu,gv) \le H(Fu,Gv) \tag{3}$$

and

First, we show that
$$gv = fu$$
. Suppose not, then condition (*) implies that

$$\int_0^{H(Fu,Gv)} \varphi(t)dt < \int_0^{\psi(d(fu,gv),d(fu,Fu),d(gv,Gv),d(fu,Gv),d(gv,Fu))} \varphi(t)dt$$

By (1) we have

$$\int_{0}^{H(Fu,Gv)} \varphi(t) dt \leq \int_{0}^{\psi(H(Fu,Gv),0,0,H(Fu,Gv),H(Fu,Gv))} \varphi(t) dt,$$

 $d(f^2u,gv) \leq H(Ffu,Gv)$

which from (2) gives H(Fu, Gv) = 0, which from (3) implies that d(fu, gv) = 0, so fu = gv. Hence the claim follows. Again we have $d(f^2u,fu) \leq H(Ffu,Gv)$. Next, we claim that $f^2u = fu$. If not then condition (*) implies that

$$\int_{0}^{H(Ffu,Gv)} \varphi(t)dt < \int_{0}^{\psi(d(f2u,gv),d(f2u,Ffu),d(gv,Gv),d(f2u,Gv),d(gv,Ffu))} \varphi(t)dt$$
$$= \int_{0}^{\psi(d(f2u,fu), 0,0,d(f2u,Gv),d(fu,Ffu))} \varphi(t)dt.$$

By (1), we have

$$\int_{0}^{H(Ffu,Gv)} \varphi(t) dt \leq \int_{0}^{\psi(H(Ffu,Gv),0,0,H(Ffu,Gv),H(Ffu,Gv))} \varphi(t) dt \, ,$$



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 5, Issue 5, May 2016

which from (2) gives H(Ffu, Gv) = 0, by (4) we obtain $d(f^2u, fu) = 0$, so $f^2u = fu$. Hence the claim follows. Since (f, F) and (g, G) have the same role, we have : Fu = Ggv and gv = g^2v . Therefore, ffu = fu = gv = ggv = gfu, fu = $f^2u \in fFu \subset Ffu$, so fu $\in Ffu$ and fu = gfu $\in Gfu$. Then fu is a common fixed point of f, g, F and G.

(ii) Now we show uniqueness of the common fixed point. Put fu = w and let w' be another common fixed point of the four maps such that $w \neq w'$, then we have

 $d(w, w') = d(fw, g w') \le H(Fw, G w')$; by (*), we get

$$\begin{split} \int_{0}^{H(Fw,Gw')} \varphi(t) dt &< \int_{0}^{\psi(d(fw,gw'),d(fw,Fw),d(gw',Gw'),d(fw,Gw'),d(gw',Fw))} \varphi(t) dt \\ &= \int_{0}^{\psi(d(fw,gw'),0,0,d(fw,Gw'),d(gw',Fw))} \varphi(t) dt \,. \end{split}$$

By (1), we get

 $\int_{0}^{H(Fw,Gw')} \varphi(t) dt \leq \int_{0}^{\psi(H(Fw,Gw'),0,0,H(Fw,Gw'),H(Fw,Gw'))} \varphi(t) dt ,$

which from (2) gives H(Fw, Gw') = 0 and thus d(fw, gw') = d(w, w') = 0.

Therefore w = fu is a unique common fixed point of f, g, F and G.

(iii) Let $w \in$ Ffu . Using the triangle inequality and property 1.2, we have

 $d(fu,w) \le d(fu,Ffu) + H(Ffu,Gv) + d(w,Gv).$

Since $fu \in Ffu$ and H(Ffu,Gv) = 0, we have $d(w,fu) \le d(w,Gv) \le H(Ffu,Gv) = 0$. Hence w = fu and $Ffu = \{gv\} = Ggv$, this last equality is given thanks to the same role of F and G. Hence fu is an absolutely fixed point for F and G. This completes the proof of our theorem.

If we let f = g and F = G in theorem 2.1, we get the following corollary

Corollary 2.2. Let $f : X \to X$ and $F : X \to CB(X)$ such that the pair $\{f, F\}$ is owc. Let $\psi : R^5 \to R^+$ be a real map satisfying the following conditions :

(1) ψ is nondecreasing in the 1st, 4th and 5th variables ,

(2) if $u \in \mathbb{R}^+$ is such that $\int_0^u \varphi(t) dt \leq \int_0^{\psi(u,0,0,u,u)} \varphi(t) dt$ then u = 0.

If for all $x, y \in X$, we have

$$(*) \quad \int_{0}^{H(Fx,Fy)} \varphi(t) dt < \int_{0}^{\psi(d(fx,fy),d(fx,Fx),d(fy,Fy),d(fx,Fy),d(fy,Fx))} \varphi(t) dt$$

where $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}$ is a Lebesgue integrable mapping which is summable, nonnegative and such that, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, $\int_0^{\varepsilon} \varphi(t) dt > 0$ and for which the right-hand side of (*) is not zero. Then f and F have a unique common fixed point.

Now , if we let f = g in theorem 2.1 , we get the next result

Corollary 2.3. Let f be a self-mapping of a metric space (X,d) and let F, G : $X \to CB(X)$ be set-valued mappings such that the pairs {f, F} and {f, G} are owc. Let $\psi : \mathbb{R}^5 \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be a real map satisfying the following conditions :



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 5, Issue 5, May 2016

- (1) ψ is nondecreasing in the 1st, 4th and 5th variables, (2) if $u \in \mathbb{R}^+$ is such that $\int_0^u \varphi(t) dt \leq \int_0^{\psi(u,0,0,u,u)} \varphi(t) dt$ then u = 0.

If for all $x, y \in X$, we have

(*) $\int_{0}^{H(Fx,Gy)} \varphi(t) dt < \int_{0}^{\psi(d(fx,fy),d(fx,Fx),d(fy,Gy),d(fx,Gy),d(fy,Fx))} \varphi(t) dt$

where $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}$ is a Lebesgue integrable mapping which is summable, nonnegative and such that, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, $\int_{0}^{c} \varphi(t) dt > 0$ and for which the right-hand side of (*) is not zero. Then f, F and G have a unique common fixed point

Corollary 2.4. Let f, g : $X \to X$ be mappings and F, G : $X \to CB(X)$ be set-valued mappings such that the pairs {f, F} and {g, G} are owc. Let $\psi : \mathbb{R}^5 \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be a real map satisfying the following conditions :

- (1) ψ is nondecreasing in the 1st, 4th and 5th variables,
- (2) if $u \in \mathbb{R}^+$ is such that $u \leq \psi(u, 0, 0, u, u)$ then u = 0.

If for all $x, y \in X$, we have

 $H(Fx, Gy) < \psi(d(fx, qy), d(fx, Fx), d(qy, Gy), d(fx, Gy), d(qy, Fx))$ (*)

for which the right-hand side of (*) is not zero. Then f, g, F and G have a unique common fixed point which is an absolutely fixed point for F and G.

IV. CONCLUSION

Our main theorem 2.1 is a generalization of Abbas and Rhoades [[1], theorem 2.6]. Put $\varphi(t) = 1$, in theorem 2.1, we obtain the corollary 2.4, which improves Bouhadjera and Godet – Thobie [[2], theorem 2.2].

REFERENCES

[1] M. Abbas and B. E. Rhoades "Common fixed point theorems for hybrid pairs of occasionally weakly compatible mappings satisfying generalized contractive condition of integral type, "Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2007, Art.ID 54101, 9 pp.

[2] H. Bouhadjera and C. Godet-Thobie, " Common fixed point theorems for occasionally weakly compatible single and set-valued maps", hal-00273238, version 1, 2008.

[3] A. Branciari, "A fixed point theorem for mappings satisfying a general contractive condition of integral type", International journal of Mathematics and Mathematical sciences, vol.29, no. 9, pp. 531-536, 2002.

[4] G. Jungck, "Compatible mappings and common fixed points", Internat. J. Math. Sci. 9 (1986), no. 4. 771-779.

[5] G. Jungck, "Common fixed points for noncontinuous nonself maps on nonmetric spaces", Far East J. Math. Sci. 4(1996), no. 2, 199-215.

[6] G. Jungck and B. E. Rhoades "Fixed points for set-valued functions without continuity", Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 29 (1998), no. 3, 227-238.

[7] G. Jungck and B. E. Rhoades, "Fixed point theorems for occasionally weakly compatible mappings", Fixed Point Theory, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 287-296, 2006.

[8] H. Kaneko and S. Sessa" Fixed point theorems for compatible multivalued and singlevalued mappings", Internat. J. Math. Sci. 12 (1989), no.2, 257-262.

[9] B. E. Rhoades, "Two fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying a general contractive condition of integral type", International journal of Mathematics and Mathematical sciences, vol. 2003, no. 63, pp. 4007-4013, 2003.

[10] S. Sessa, "On a weak commutativity condition in fixed point considerations", Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd)(N. S.) 32 (46) (1982), 149-153.