



e-ISSN: 2319-8753 | p-ISSN: 2347-6710

IJRSET

International Journal of Innovative Research in
SCIENCE | ENGINEERING | TECHNOLOGY

A futuristic digital interface with a glowing globe in the center. The background is dark blue with various data visualizations, including bar charts, line graphs, and network diagrams. Text elements like 'BUSINESS', 'NETWORK SEARCH', and 'LADING 100%' are visible. A person's hand is seen interacting with the interface. The overall aesthetic is high-tech and data-driven.

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INNOVATIVE RESEARCH

IN SCIENCE | ENGINEERING | TECHNOLOGY

Volume 12, Issue 7, July 2023

ISSN INTERNATIONAL
STANDARD
SERIAL
NUMBER
INDIA

Impact Factor: 8.423

 9940 572 462

 6381 907 438

 ijrset@gmail.com

 www.ijrset.com

Why it is Important to Save the Biodiversity for Human Welfare

¹Dr. Yogesh Chahar & ²Dr. Saroj Chahar

¹Professor in Chemistry, S.B.D. Govt. College, Sardarshahar, Rajasthan, India

²Assistant Professor in Zoology, Mittal Girls College, Sardarshahar, Rajasthan, India

ABSTRACT: Biodiversity or biological diversity is the variety and variability of life on Earth. Biodiversity is a measure of variation at the genetic (genetic variability), species (species diversity), and ecosystem (ecosystem diversity) level.^[1] Biodiversity is not distributed evenly on Earth; it is usually greater in the tropics as a result of the warm climate and high primary productivity in the region near the equator. Tropical forest ecosystems cover less than 10% of earth's surface and contain about 90% of the world's species. Marine biodiversity is usually higher along coasts in the Western Pacific, where sea surface temperature is highest, and in the mid-latitudinal band in all oceans. There are latitudinal gradients in species diversity. Biodiversity generally tends to cluster in hotspots, and has been increasing through time, but will be likely to slow in the future as a primary result of deforestation. It encompasses the evolutionary, ecological, and cultural processes that sustain life.^[2]

More than 99.9% of all species that ever lived on Earth, amounting to over five billion species, are estimated to be extinct. Estimates on the number of Earth's current species range from 10 million to 14 million, of which about 1.2 million have been documented and over 86% have not yet been described. The total amount of related DNA base pairs on Earth is estimated at 5.0×10^{37} and weighs 50 billion tonnes. In comparison, the total mass of the biosphere has been estimated to be as much as four trillion tons of carbon. In July 2016, scientists reported identifying a set of 355 genes from the last universal common ancestor (LUCA) of all organisms living on Earth.

The age of the Earth is about 4.54 billion years. The earliest undisputed evidence of life dates at least from 3.7 billion years ago, during the Eoarchean era after a geological crust started to solidify following the earlier molten Hadean eon. There are microbial mat fossils found in 3.48 billion-year-old sandstone discovered in Western Australia. Other early physical evidence of a biogenic substance is graphite in 3.7 billion-year-old meta-sedimentary rocks discovered in Western Greenland. More recently, in 2015, "remains of biotic life" were found in 4.1 billion-year-old rocks in Western Australia. According to one of the researchers, "If life arose relatively quickly on Earth...then it could be common in the universe."^[3]

KEYWORDS: biodiversity, human welfare, earth, fossils, ecosystem, productivity, species, genes

I. INTRODUCTION

Since life began on Earth, five major mass extinctions and several minor events have led to large and sudden drops in biodiversity. The Phanerozoic aeon (the last 540 million years) marked a rapid growth in biodiversity via the Cambrian explosion—a period during which the majority of multicellular phyla first appeared. The next 400 million years included repeated, massive biodiversity losses classified as mass extinction events. In the Carboniferous, rainforest collapse led to a great loss of plant and animal life. The Permian–Triassic extinction event, 251 million years ago, was the worst; vertebrate recovery took 30 million years. The most recent, the Cretaceous–Paleogene extinction event, occurred 65 million years ago and has often attracted more attention than others because it resulted in the extinction of the non-avian dinosaurs.

Rapid environmental changes typically cause mass extinctions. The ongoing global biodiversity crisis not only involves biological extinctions, but also the loss of experience and the gradual fading of cultural knowledge and collective memory of species.^[4] The period since the emergence of humans has displayed an ongoing biodiversity reduction and an accompanying loss of genetic diversity named the Holocene extinction, and often referred to as the sixth mass extinction. The reduction is caused primarily by human impacts, particularly habitat destruction. Conversely, biodiversity positively impacts human health in many ways, although a few negative effects are studied.

"Biodiversity" is most commonly used to replace the more clearly-defined and long-established terms, species diversity and species richness.^[15] Biologists most often define biodiversity as the "totality of genes, species and ecosystems of a region".^{[16][17]} An advantage of this definition is that it presents a unified view of the traditional types of biological variety previously identified:

- taxonomic diversity (usually measured at the species diversity level)^[18]
- ecological diversity (often viewed from the perspective of ecosystem diversity)^[18]
- morphological diversity (which stems from genetic diversity and molecular diversity)^[19]
- functional diversity (which is a measure of the number of functionally disparate species within a population (e.g. different feeding mechanism, different motility, predator vs prey, etc.)^[20]) This multilevel construct is consistent with Datman and Lovejoy.

Other definitions include (in chronological order):

- An explicit definition consistent with this interpretation was first given in a paper by Bruce A. Wilcox commissioned by the International Union for the Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources (IUCN) for the 1982 World National Parks Conference.^[21] Wilcox's definition was "Biological diversity is the variety of life forms...at all levels of biological systems (i.e., molecular, organismic, population, species and ecosystem)...".^[21]
- A publication by Wilcox in 1984: Biodiversity can be defined genetically as the diversity of alleles, genes and organisms. They study processes such as mutation and gene transfer that drive evolution.^[21]
- The 1992 United Nations Earth Summit defined "biological diversity" as "the variability among living organisms from all sources, including, inter alia, terrestrial, marine and other aquatic ecosystems and the ecological complexes of which they are part: this includes diversity within species, between species and of ecosystems".^[22] This definition is used in the United Nations Convention on Biological Diversity.^[22]
- Gaston and Spicer's definition in their book "Biodiversity: an introduction" in 2004 is "variation of life at all levels of biological organization".^[23]
- The Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) defines biodiversity in 2019 as "the variability that exists among living organisms (both within and between species) and the ecosystems of which they are part."^[24]

During the last century, decreases in biodiversity have been increasingly observed. It was estimated in 2007 that up to 30% of all species will be extinct by 2050.^[39] Of these, about one eighth of known plant species are threatened with extinction.^[40] Estimates reach as high as 140,000 species per year (based on Species-area theory).^[41] This figure indicates unsustainable ecological practices, because few species emerge each year. The rate of species loss is greater now than at any time in human history, with extinctions occurring at rates hundreds of times higher than background extinction rates.^{[40][42][43]} and expected to still grow in the upcoming years.^{[43][44][45]} As of 2012, some studies suggest that 25% of all mammal species could be extinct in 20 years.^[46]

- In absolute terms, the planet has lost 58% of its biodiversity since 1970 according to a 2016 study by the World Wildlife Fund.^[47] The Living Planet Report 2014 claims that "the number of mammals, birds, reptiles, amphibians, and fish across the globe is, on average, about half the size it was 40 years ago". Of that number, 39% accounts for the terrestrial wildlife gone, 39% for the marine wildlife gone and 76% for the freshwater wildlife gone. Biodiversity took the biggest hit in Latin America, plummeting 83 percent. High-income countries showed a 10% increase in biodiversity, which was canceled out by a loss in low-income countries. This is despite the fact that high-income countries use five times the ecological resources of low-income countries, which was explained as a result of a process whereby wealthy nations are outsourcing resource depletion to poorer nations, which are suffering the greatest ecosystem losses.^[48]
- A 2017 study published in PLOS One found that the biomass of insect life in Germany had declined by three-quarters in the last 25 years.^[49] Dave Goulson of Sussex University stated that their study suggested that humans "appear to be making vast tracts of land inhospitable to most forms of life, and are currently on course for ecological Armageddon. If we lose the insects then everything is going to collapse."^[50]
- In 2020 the World Wildlife Foundation published a report saying that "biodiversity is being destroyed at a rate unprecedented in human history". The report claims that 68% of the population of the examined species were destroyed in the years 1970 – 2016.^[51]

II. DISCUSSION

Biodiversity is not evenly distributed, rather it varies greatly across the globe as well as within regions. Among other factors, the diversity of all living things (biota) depends on temperature, precipitation, altitude, soils, geography and the interactions between other species.^[52] The study of the spatial distribution of organisms, species and ecosystems, is the science of biogeography.^{[53][54]}

Diversity consistently measures higher in the tropics and in other localized regions such as the Cape Floristic Region and lower in polar regions generally. Rain forests that have had wet climates for a long time, such as Yasuní National Park in Ecuador, have particularly high biodiversity.^{[55][56]}

Terrestrial biodiversity is thought to be up to 25 times greater than ocean biodiversity.^[57] Forests harbour most of Earth's terrestrial biodiversity. The conservation of the world's biodiversity is thus utterly dependent on the way in which we interact with and use the world's forests.^[58] A new method used in 2011, put the total number of species on Earth at 8.7 million, of which 2.1 million were estimated to live in the ocean.^[59] However, this estimate seems to under-represent the diversity of microorganisms.^[60] Forests provide habitats for 80 percent of amphibian species, 75 percent of bird species and 68 percent of mammal species. About 60 percent of all vascular plants are found in tropical forests. Mangroves provide breeding grounds and nurseries for numerous species of fish and shellfish and help trap sediments that might otherwise adversely affect seagrass beds and coral reefs, which are habitats for many more marine species.^[58] Forests span around 4 billion acres (nearly a third of the earth's land mass) and are home to approximately 80% of the world's biodiversity. About 1 billion hectares are covered by primary forests. Over 700 million hectares of the world's woods are officially protected.^{[61][62]}

The biodiversity of forests varies considerably according to factors such as forest type, geography, climate and soils – in addition to human use.^[58] Most forest habitats in temperate regions support relatively few animal and plant species and species that tend to have large geographical distributions, while the montane forests of Africa, South America and Southeast Asia and lowland forests of Australia, coastal Brazil, the Caribbean islands, Central America and insular Southeast Asia have many species with small geographical distributions.^[58] Areas with dense human populations and intense agricultural land use, such as Europe, parts of Bangladesh, China, India and North America, are less intact in terms of their biodiversity. Northern Africa, southern Australia, coastal Brazil, Madagascar and South Africa, are also identified as areas with striking losses in biodiversity intactness.^[58] European forests in EU and non-EU nations comprise more than 30% of Europe's land mass (around 227 million hectares), representing an almost 10% growth since 1990.^{[63][64]}

Latitudinal gradients

Generally, there is an increase in biodiversity from the poles to the tropics. Thus localities at lower latitudes have more species than localities at higher latitudes. This is often referred to as the latitudinal gradient in species diversity. Several ecological factors may contribute to the gradient, but the ultimate factor behind many of them is the greater mean temperature at the equator compared to that of the poles.^{[65][66][67]}

Even though terrestrial biodiversity declines from the equator to the poles,^[68] some studies claim that this characteristic is unverified in aquatic ecosystems, especially in marine ecosystems.^[69] The latitudinal distribution of parasites does not appear to follow this rule.^[53] Also, in terrestrial ecosystems the soil bacterial diversity has been shown to be highest in temperate climatic zones,^[70] and has been attributed to carbon inputs and habitat connectivity.^[71]

In 2016, an alternative hypothesis ("the fractal biodiversity") was proposed to explain the biodiversity latitudinal gradient.^[72] In this study, the species pool size and the fractal nature of ecosystems were combined to clarify some general patterns of this gradient. This hypothesis considers temperature, moisture, and net primary production (NPP) as the main variables of an ecosystem niche and as the axis of the ecological hypervolume. In this way, it is possible to build fractal hyper volumes, whose fractal dimension rises to three moving towards the equator.^[73]

Biodiversity Hotspot

A biodiversity hotspot is a region with a high level of endemic species that have experienced great habitat loss.^[74] The term hotspot was introduced in 1988 by Norman Myers.^{[75][76][77][78]} While hotspots are spread all over the world, the majority are forest areas and most are located in the tropics.

Brazil's Atlantic Forest is considered one such hotspot, containing roughly 20,000 plant species, 1,350 vertebrates and millions of insects, about half of which occur nowhere else.^{[79][80]} The island of Madagascar and India are also particularly notable. Colombia is characterized by high biodiversity, with the highest rate of species by area unit worldwide and it has the largest number of endemics (species that are not found naturally anywhere else) of any

country. About 10% of the species of the Earth can be found in Colombia, including over 1,900 species of bird, more than in Europe and North America combined, Colombia has 10% of the world's mammals species, 14% of the amphibian species and 18% of the bird species of the world.^[81] Madagascar dry deciduous forests and lowland rainforests possess a high ratio of endemism.^{[82][83]} Since the island separated from mainland Africa 66 million years ago, many species and ecosystems have evolved independently.^[84] Indonesia's 17,000 islands cover 735,355 square miles (1,904,560 km²) and contain 10% of the world's flowering plants, 12% of mammals and 17% of reptiles, amphibians and birds—along with nearly 240 million people.^[85] Many regions of high biodiversity and/or endemism arise from specialized habitats which require unusual adaptations, for example, alpine environments in high mountains, or Northern European peat bogs.^[83]

Accurately measuring differences in biodiversity can be difficult. Selection bias amongst researchers may contribute to biased empirical research for modern estimates of biodiversity. In 1768, Rev. Gilbert White succinctly observed of his Selborne, Hampshire "all nature is so full, that that district produces the most variety which is the most examined."^[86]

Role and benefits of biodiversity



Summer field in Belgium (Hamois). The blue flowers are *Centaurea cyanus* and the red are *Papaver rhoeas*.

General ecosystem services

From the perspective of the method known as Natural Economy the economic value of 17 ecosystem services for Earth's biosphere (calculated in 1997) has an estimated value of US\$33 trillion (3.3x10¹³) per year.^[101]

"Ecosystem services are the suite of benefits that ecosystems provide to humanity."^[102] The natural species, or biota, are the caretakers of all ecosystems. It is as if the natural world is an enormous bank account of capital assets capable of paying life sustaining dividends indefinitely, but only if the capital is maintained.^[103] These services come in three flavors:

1. Provisioning services which involve the production of renewable resources (e.g.: food, wood, fresh water)^[102]
2. Regulating services which are those that lessen environmental change (e.g.: climate regulation, pest/disease control)^[102]
3. Cultural services represent human value and enjoyment (e.g.: landscape aesthetics, cultural heritage, outdoor recreation and spiritual significance)^[104]

There have been many claims about biodiversity's effect on these ecosystem services, especially provisioning and regulating services.^[102] After an exhaustive survey through peer-reviewed literature to evaluate 36 different claims about biodiversity's effect on ecosystem services, 14 of those claims have been validated, 6 demonstrate mixed support or are unsupported, 3 are incorrect and 13 lack enough evidence to draw definitive conclusions.^[102]

Services enhanced

Provisioning services

Greater species diversity

- of plants increases fodder yield (synthesis of 271 experimental studies).^[54]
- of plants (i.e. diversity within a single species) increases overall crop yield (synthesis of 575 experimental studies).^[105] Although another review of 100 experimental studies reports mixed evidence.^[106]
- of trees increases overall wood production (Synthesis of 53 experimental studies).^[107] However, there is not enough data to draw a conclusion about the effect of tree trait diversity on wood production.^[102]

Regulating services

Greater species diversity

- of fish increases the stability of fisheries yield (Synthesis of 8 observational studies)^[102]
- of natural pest enemies decreases herbivorous pest populations (Data from two separate reviews; Synthesis of 266 experimental and observational studies;^[108] Synthesis of 18 observational studies.^{[109][110]} Although another review of 38 experimental studies found mixed support for this claim, suggesting that in cases where mutual intraguild predation occurs, a single predatory species is often more effective^[111]
- of plants decreases disease prevalence on plants (Synthesis of 107 experimental studies)^[112]
- of plants increases resistance to plant invasion (Data from two separate reviews; Synthesis of 105 experimental studies;^[112] Synthesis of 15 experimental studies^[113])
- of plants increases carbon sequestration, but note that this finding only relates to actual uptake of carbon dioxide and not long-term storage, see below; Synthesis of 479 experimental studies)^[54]
- plants increases soil nutrient remineralization (Synthesis of 103 experimental studies)^[112]
- of plants increases soil organic matter (Synthesis of 85 experimental studies)^[112]

Services with mixed evidence

Provisioning services

Regulating services

- Greater species diversity of plants may or may not decrease herbivorous pest populations. Data from two separate reviews suggest that greater diversity decreases pest populations (Synthesis of 40 observational studies;^[114] Synthesis of 100 experimental studies).^[106] One review found mixed evidence (Synthesis of 287 experimental studies^[115]), while another found contrary evidence (Synthesis of 100 experimental studies^[112])
- Greater species diversity of animals may or may not decrease disease prevalence on those animals (Synthesis of 45 experimental and observational studies),^[116] although a 2013 study offers more support showing that biodiversity may in fact enhance disease resistance within animal communities, at least in amphibian frog ponds.^[117] Many more studies must be published in support of diversity to sway the balance of evidence will be such that we can draw a general rule on this service.
- Greater species and trait diversity of plants may or may not increase long term carbon storage (Synthesis of 33 observational studies)^[102]
- Greater pollinator diversity may or may not increase pollination (Synthesis of 7 observational studies),^[102] but a publication from March 2013 suggests that increased native pollinator diversity enhances pollen deposition (although not necessarily fruit set as the authors would have you believe, for details explore their lengthy supplementary material).^[118]

Services hindered

Provisioning services

- Greater species diversity of plants reduces primary production (Synthesis of 7 experimental studies)^[54]

Regulating services

- greater genetic and species diversity of a number of organisms reduces freshwater purification (Synthesis of 8 experimental studies, although an attempt by the authors to investigate the effect of detritivore diversity on freshwater purification was unsuccessful due to a lack of available evidence (only 1 observational study was found)^[102]

- Effect of species diversity of plants on biofuel yield (In a survey of the literature, the investigators only found 3 studies)^[102]
- Effect of species diversity of fish on fishery yield (In a survey of the literature, the investigators only found 4 experimental studies and 1 observational study)^[102]

Regulating services

- Effect of species diversity on the stability of biofuel yield (In a survey of the literature, the investigators did not find any studies)^[102]
- Effect of species diversity of plants on the stability of fodder yield (In a survey of the literature, the investigators only found 2 studies)^[102]
- Effect of species diversity of plants on the stability of crop yield (In a survey of the literature, the investigators only found 1 study)^[102]
- Effect of genetic diversity of plants on the stability of crop yield (In a survey of the literature, the investigators only found 2 studies)^[102]
- Effect of diversity on the stability of wood production (In a survey of the literature, the investigators could not find any studies)^[102]
- Effect of species diversity of multiple taxa on erosion control (In a survey of the literature, the investigators could not find any studies – they did, however, find studies on the effect of species diversity and root biomass)^[102]
- Effect of diversity on flood regulation (In a survey of the literature, the investigators could not find any studies)^[102]
- Effect of species and trait diversity of plants on soil moisture (In a survey of the literature, the investigators only found 2 studies)^[102]

Other sources have reported somewhat conflicting results and in 1997 Robert Costanza and his colleagues reported the estimated global value of ecosystem services (not captured in traditional markets) at an average of \$33 trillion annually.^[119]

Since the Stone Age, species loss has accelerated above the average basal rate, driven by human activity. Estimates of species losses are at a rate 100–10,000 times as fast as is typical in the fossil record.^[120] Biodiversity also affords many non-material benefits including spiritual and aesthetic values, knowledge systems and education.^[120]

Agriculture



Amazon Rainforest in South America

Agricultural diversity can be divided into two categories: intraspecific diversity, which includes the genetic variation within a single species, like the potato (*Solanum tuberosum*) that is composed of many different forms and types (e.g. in the U.S. they might compare russet potatoes with new potatoes or purple potatoes, all different, but all part of the same species, *S. tuberosum*).

The other category of agricultural diversity is called interspecific diversity and refers to the number and types of different species. Thinking about this diversity we might note that many small vegetable farmers grow many different crops like potatoes and also carrots, peppers, lettuce, etc.

Agricultural diversity can also be divided by whether it is 'planned' diversity or 'associated' diversity. This is a functional classification that we impose and not an intrinsic feature of life or diversity. Planned diversity includes the crops which a farmer has encouraged, planted or raised (e.g. crops, covers, symbionts, and livestock, among others), which can be contrasted with the associated diversity that arrives among the crops, uninvited (e.g. herbivores, weed species and pathogens).^[121]

Associated biodiversity can be damaging or beneficial. The beneficial associated biodiversity include for instance wild pollinators such as wild bees and syrphid flies that pollinate crops^[122] and natural enemies and antagonists to pests and pathogens. Beneficial associated biodiversity occurs abundantly in crop fields and provide multiple ecosystem services such as pest control, nutrient cycling and pollination that support crop production.^[123]

The control of damaging associated biodiversity is one of the great agricultural challenges that farmers face. On monoculture farms, the approach is generally to suppress damaging associated diversity using a suite of biologically destructive pesticides, mechanized tools and transgenic engineering techniques, then to rotate crops. Although some polyculture farmers use the same techniques, they also employ integrated pest management strategies as well as more labor-intensive strategies, but generally less dependent on capital, biotechnology, and energy.

Interspecific crop diversity is, in part, responsible for offering variety in what we eat. Intraspecific diversity, the variety of alleles within a single species, also offers us a choice in our diets. If a crop fails in a monoculture, we rely on agricultural diversity to replant the land with something new. If a wheat crop is destroyed by a pest we may plant a hardier variety of wheat the next year, relying on intraspecific diversity. We may forgo wheat production in that area and plant a different species altogether, relying on interspecific diversity. Even an agricultural society that primarily grows monocultures relies on biodiversity at some point.

- The Irish potato blight of 1846 was a major factor in the deaths of one million people and the emigration of about two million. It was the result of planting only two potato varieties, both vulnerable to the blight, *Phytophthora infestans*, which arrived in 1845^[121]
- When rice grassy stunt virus struck rice fields from Indonesia to India in the 1970s, 6,273 varieties were tested for resistance.^[124] Only one was resistant, an Indian variety and known to science only since 1966.^[124] This variety formed a hybrid with other varieties and is now widely grown.^[124]
- Coffee rust attacked coffee plantations in Sri Lanka, Brazil and Central America in 1970. A resistant variety was found in Ethiopia.^[125] The diseases are themselves a form of biodiversity.

Monoculture was a contributing factor to several agricultural disasters, including the European wine industry collapse in the late 19th century and the US southern corn leaf blight epidemic of 1970.^[126]

Although about 80 percent of humans' food supply comes from just 20 kinds of plants,^[127] humans use at least 40,000 species.^[128] Earth's surviving biodiversity provides resources for increasing the range of food and other products suitable for human use, although the present extinction rate shrinks that potential.^[100]

III. RESULTS

Human health



The diverse forest canopy on Barro Colorado Island, Panama, yielded this display of different fruit

Biodiversity's relevance to human health is becoming an international political issue, as scientific evidence builds on the global health implications of biodiversity loss.^{[129][130][131]} This issue is closely linked with the issue of climate change,^[132] as many of the anticipated health risks of climate change are associated with changes in biodiversity (e.g. changes in populations and distribution of disease vectors, scarcity of fresh water, impacts on agricultural biodiversity

and food resources etc.). This is because the species most likely to disappear are those that buffer against infectious disease transmission, while surviving species tend to be the ones that increase disease transmission, such as that of West Nile Virus, Lyme disease and Hantavirus, according to a study done co-authored by Felicia Keesing, an ecologist at Bard College and Drew Harvell, associate director for Environment of the Atkinson Center for a Sustainable Future (ACSF) at Cornell University.^[133]

The growing demand and lack of drinkable water on the planet presents an additional challenge to the future of human health. Partly, the problem lies in the success of water suppliers to increase supplies and failure of groups promoting the preservation of water resources.^[134] While the distribution of clean water increases, in some parts of the world it remains unequal. According to the World Health Organisation (2018), only 71% of the global population used a safely managed drinking-water service.^[135]

Some of the health issues influenced by biodiversity include dietary health and nutrition security, infectious disease, medical science and medicinal resources, social and psychological health.^[136] Biodiversity is also known to have an important role in reducing disaster risk and in post-disaster relief and recovery efforts.^{[137][138]}

According to the United Nations Environment Programme a pathogen, like a virus, have more chances to meet resistance in a diverse population. Therefore, in a population genetically similar it expands more easily. For example, the COVID-19 pandemic had less chances to occur in a world with higher biodiversity.^[139] A broad literature review published in 2010 by Nature (journal), Impacts of biodiversity on the emergence and transmission of infectious disease, found this to be broadly true within real environments.^[140] Although some small population exceptions were found to exist, on average a collapse in biodiversity significantly increased the spread & spillover of infectious diseases.

Biodiversity provides critical support for drug discovery and the availability of medicinal resources.^{[141][142]} A significant proportion of drugs are derived, directly or indirectly, from biological sources: at least 50% of the pharmaceutical compounds on the US market are derived from plants, animals and microorganisms, while about 80% of the world population depends on medicines from nature (used in either modern or traditional medical practice) for primary healthcare.^[130] Only a tiny fraction of wild species has been investigated for medical potential. Biodiversity has been critical to advances throughout the field of bionics. Evidence from market analysis and biodiversity science indicates that the decline in output from the pharmaceutical sector since the mid-1980s can be attributed to a move away from natural product exploration ("bioprospecting") in favour of genomics and synthetic chemistry, indeed claims about the value of undiscovered pharmaceuticals may not provide enough incentive for companies in free markets to search for them because of the high cost of development;^[143] meanwhile, natural products have a long history of supporting significant economic and health innovation.^{[144][145]} Marine ecosystems are particularly important,^[146] although inappropriate bioprospecting can increase biodiversity loss, as well as violating the laws of the communities and states from which the resources are taken.^{[147][148][149]}

Business and industry



Agriculture production, pictured is a tractor and a chaser bin

Many industrial materials derive directly from biological sources. These include building materials, fibers, dyes, rubber, and oil. Biodiversity is also important to the security of resources such as water, timber, paper, fiber, and food.^{[150][151][152]} As a result, biodiversity loss is a significant risk factor in business development and a threat to long-term economic sustainability.^{[153][154]}

Leisure, cultural and aesthetic value

Biodiversity enriches leisure activities such as birdwatching or natural history study.

Popular activities such as gardening and fishkeeping strongly depend on biodiversity. The number of species involved in such pursuits is in the tens of thousands, though the majority do not enter commerce.

The relationships between the original natural areas of these often exotic animals and plants and commercial collectors, suppliers, breeders, propagators and those who promote their understanding and enjoyment are complex and poorly understood. The general public responds well to exposure to rare and unusual organisms, reflecting their inherent value.

Philosophically it could be argued that biodiversity has intrinsic aesthetic and spiritual value to mankind in and of itself. This idea can be used as a counterweight to the notion that tropical forests and other ecological realms are only worthy of conservation because of the services they provide.^[155]

"There is now unequivocal evidence that biodiversity loss reduces the efficiency by which ecological communities capture biologically essential resources, produce biomass, decompose and recycle biologically essential nutrients... There is mounting evidence that biodiversity increases the stability of ecosystem functions through time... Diverse communities are more productive because they contain key species that have a large influence on productivity and differences in functional traits among organisms increase total resource capture... The impacts of diversity loss on ecological processes might be sufficiently large to rival the impacts of many other global drivers of environmental change... Maintaining multiple ecosystem processes at multiple places and times requires higher levels of biodiversity than does a single process at a single place and time."^[102]

It plays a part in regulating the chemistry of our atmosphere and water supply. Biodiversity is directly involved in water purification, recycling nutrients and providing fertile soils. Experiments with controlled environments have shown that humans cannot easily build ecosystems to support human needs;^[156] for example insect pollination cannot be mimicked, though there have been attempts to create artificial pollinators using unmanned aerial vehicles.^[157] The economic activity of pollination alone represented between \$2.1–14.6 billion in 2003.^[158]

IV. CONCLUSIONS

Conservation

Conservation biology matured in the mid-20th century as ecologists, naturalists and other scientists began to research and address issues pertaining to global biodiversity declines.^{[229][230][231]}

The conservation ethic advocates management of natural resources for the purpose of sustaining biodiversity in species, ecosystems, the evolutionary process and human culture and society.^{[174][229][231][232][233]}

Conservation biology is reforming around strategic plans to protect biodiversity.^{[229][234][235]} Preserving global biodiversity is a priority in strategic conservation plans that are designed to engage public policy and concerns affecting local, regional and global scales of communities, ecosystems and cultures.^[236] Action plans identify ways of sustaining human well-being, employing natural capital, market capital and ecosystem services.^{[237][238]}

In the EU Directive 1999/22/EC zoos are described as having a role in the preservation of the biodiversity of wildlife animals by conducting research or participation in breeding programs.^[239]

Protection and restoration techniques

Removal of exotic species will allow the species that they have negatively impacted to recover their ecological niches. Exotic species that have become pests can be identified taxonomically (e.g., with Digital Automated Identification SYstem (DAISY), using the barcode of life).^{[240][241]} Removal is practical only given large groups of individuals due to the economic cost.

As sustainable populations of the remaining native species in an area become assured, "missing" species that are candidates for reintroduction can be identified using databases such as the Encyclopedia of Life and the Global Biodiversity Information Facility.

- Biodiversity banking places a monetary value on biodiversity. One example is the Australian Native Vegetation Management Framework.
- Gene banks are collections of specimens and genetic material. Some banks intend to reintroduce banked species to the ecosystem (e.g., via tree nurseries).^[242]
- Reduction and better targeting of pesticides allows more species to survive in agricultural and urbanized areas.

- Location-specific approaches may be less useful for protecting migratory species. One approach is to create wildlife corridors that correspond to the animals' movements. National and other boundaries can complicate corridor creation.^[243]

Priorities for resource allocation

Focusing on limited areas of higher potential biodiversity promises greater immediate return on investment than spreading resources evenly or focusing on areas of little diversity but greater interest in biodiversity.^[244]

A second strategy focuses on areas that retain most of their original diversity, which typically require little or no restoration. These are typically non-urbanized, non-agricultural areas. Tropical areas often fit both criteria, given their natively high diversity and relative lack of development.^[245]

Protected areas

Protected areas, including forest reserves and biosphere reserves, serve many functions including for affording protection to wild animals and their habitat.^[246] Protected areas have been set up all over the world with the specific aim of protecting and conserving plants and animals. Some scientists have called on the global community to designate as protected areas of 30 percent of the planet by 2030, and 50 percent by 2050, in order to mitigate biodiversity loss from anthropogenic causes.^{[247][248]} The target of protecting 30% of the area of the planet by the year 2030 (30 by 30) was adopted by almost 200 countries in the 2022 United Nations Biodiversity Conference. At the moment of adoption (December 2022) 17% of land territory and 10% of ocean territory were protected.^[249] In a study published 4 September 2020 in *Science Advances* researchers mapped out regions that can help meet critical conservation and climate goals.^[250]

Protected areas safeguard nature and cultural resources and contribute to livelihoods, particularly at local level. There are over 238 563 designated protected areas worldwide, equivalent to 14.9 percent of the earth's land surface, varying in their extension, level of protection, and type of management (IUCN, 2018).^[251]

Forest protected areas are a subset of all protected areas in which a significant portion of the area is forest.^[58] This may be the whole or only a part of the protected area.^[58] Globally, 18 percent of the world's forest area, or more than 700 million hectares, fall within legally established protected areas such as national parks, conservation areas and game reserves.^[58]

The benefits of protected areas extend beyond their immediate environment and time. In addition to conserving nature, protected areas are crucial for securing the long-term delivery of ecosystem services. They provide numerous benefits including the conservation of genetic resources for food and agriculture, the provision of medicine and health benefits, the provision of water, recreation and tourism, and for acting as a buffer against disaster. Increasingly, there is acknowledgement of the wider socioeconomic values of these natural ecosystems and of the ecosystem services they can provide.^[252]

Forest protected areas in particular play many important roles including as a provider of habitat, shelter, food and genetic materials, and as a buffer against disaster. They deliver stable supplies of many goods and environmental services. The role of protected areas, especially forest protected areas, in mitigating and adapting to climate change has increasingly been recognized over the last few years. Protected areas not only store and sequester carbon (i.e. the global network of protected areas stores at least 15 percent of terrestrial carbon), but also enable species to adapt to changing climate patterns by providing refuges and migration corridors. Protected areas also protect people from sudden climate events and reduce their vulnerability to weather-induced problems such as floods and droughts (UNEP–WCMC, 2016).

National parks

National park is a large natural or near natural areas set aside to protect large-scale ecological processes, which also provide a foundation for environmentally and culturally compatible, spiritual, scientific, educational, recreational and visitor opportunities. These areas are selected by governments or private organizations to protect natural biodiversity along with its underlying ecological structure and supporting environmental processes, and to promote education and recreation. The International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN), and its World Commission on Protected Areas (WCPA), has defined "National Park" as its Category II type of protected areas.^[253]

National parks are usually owned and managed by national or state governments. In some cases, a limit is placed on the number of visitors permitted to enter certain fragile areas. Designated trails or roads are created. The visitors are allowed to enter only for study, cultural and recreation purposes. Forestry operations, grazing of animals and hunting of animals are regulated and the exploitation of habitat or wildlife is banned.

Wildlife sanctuary

Wildlife sanctuaries aim only at the conservation of species and have the following features:

1. The boundaries of the sanctuaries are not limited by state legislation.
2. The killing, hunting or capturing of any species is prohibited except by or under the control of the highest authority in the department which is responsible for the management of the sanctuary.
3. Private ownership may be allowed.
4. Forestry and other usages can also be permitted.

Forest reserves

There is an estimated 726 million ha of forest in protected areas worldwide. Of the six major world regions, South America has the highest share of forests in protected areas, 31 percent.^[254]

The forests play a vital role in harboring more than 45,000 floral and 81,000 faunal species of which 5150 floral and 1837 faunal species are endemic.^[255] In addition, there are 60,065 different tree species in the world.^[256] Plant and animal species confined to a specific geographical area are called endemic species. In forest reserves, rights to activities like hunting and grazing are sometimes given to communities living on the fringes of the forest, who sustain their livelihood partially or wholly from forest resources or products. The unclassed forests cover 6.4 percent of the total forest area and they are marked by the following characteristics:

1. They are large inaccessible forests.
2. Many of these are unoccupied.
3. They are ecologically and economically less important.

Approximately 50 million hectares (or 24%) of European forest land is protected for biodiversity and landscape protection. Forests allocated for soil, water, and other ecosystem services encompass around 72 million hectares (32% of European forest area).^{[257][258][259]}

Steps to conserve the forest cover

1. An extensive reforestation/afforestation programme should be followed.
2. Alternative environment-friendly sources of fuel energy such as biogas other than wood should be used.
3. Loss of biodiversity due to forest fire is a major problem, immediate steps to prevent forest fire need to be taken.
4. Overgrazing by cattle can damage a forest seriously. Therefore, certain steps should be taken to prevent overgrazing by cattle.
5. Hunting and poaching should be banned.

Zoological parks

In zoological parks or zoos, live animals are kept for public recreation, education and conservation purposes. Modern zoos offer veterinary facilities, provide opportunities for threatened species to breed in captivity and usually build environments that simulate the native habitats of the animals in their care. Zoos play a major role in creating awareness about the need to conserve nature.

Botanical gardens

In botanical gardens, plants are grown and displayed primarily for scientific and educational purposes. They consist of a collection of living plants, grown outdoors or under glass in greenhouses and conservatories. Also, a botanical garden may include a collection of dried plants or herbarium and such facilities as lecture rooms, laboratories, libraries, museums and experimental or research plantings.

Role of society

Transformative change

In 2019, a summary for policymakers of the largest, most comprehensive study to date of biodiversity and ecosystem services, the Global Assessment Report on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services, was published by the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services (IPBES). It stated that "the

state of nature has deteriorated at an unprecedented and accelerating rate". To fix the problem, humanity will need a transformative change, including sustainable agriculture, reductions in consumption and waste, fishing quotas and collaborative water management.^{[260][261]}

Citizen science

Citizen science, also known as public participation in scientific research, has been widely used in environmental sciences and is particularly popular in a biodiversity-related context. It has been used to enable scientists to involve the general public in biodiversity research, thereby enabling the scientists to collect data that they would otherwise not have been able to obtain. An online survey of 1,160 CS participants across 63 biodiversity citizen science projects in Europe, Australia and New Zealand reported positive changes in (a) content, process and nature of science knowledge, (b) skills of science inquiry, (c) self-efficacy for science and the environment, (d) interest in science and the environment, (e) motivation for science and the environment and (f) behaviour towards the environment.^[262]

Volunteer observers have made significant contributions to on-the-ground knowledge about biodiversity, and recent improvements in technology have helped increase the flow and quality of occurrences from citizen sources. A 2016 study published in *Biological Conservation*^[263] registers the massive contributions that citizen scientists already make to data mediated by the Global Biodiversity Information Facility (GBIF). Despite some limitations of the dataset-level analysis, it is clear that nearly half of all occurrence records shared through the GBIF network come from datasets with significant volunteer contributions. Recording and sharing observations are enabled by several global-scale platforms, including iNaturalist and eBird.^{[264][265]}

Legal status



A great deal of work is occurring to preserve the natural characteristics of Hopetoun Falls, Australia while continuing to allow visitor access.

International

- United Nations Convention on Biological Diversity (1992) and Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety;
- Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species (CITES);
- Ramsar Convention (Wetlands);
- Bonn Convention on Migratory Species;
- UNESCO Convention concerning the Protection of the World's Cultural and Natural Heritage (indirectly by protecting biodiversity habitats)
- UNESCO Global Geoparks
- Regional Conventions such as the Apia Convention
- Bilateral agreements such as the Japan-Australia Migratory Bird Agreement.

Global agreements such as the Convention on Biological Diversity, give "sovereign national rights over biological resources" (not property). The agreements commit countries to "conserve biodiversity", "develop resources for sustainability" and "share the benefits" resulting from their use. Biodiverse countries that allow bioprospecting or collection of natural products, expect a share of the benefits rather than allowing the individual or institution that discovers/exploits the resource to capture them privately. Bioprospecting can become a type of biopiracy when such principles are not respected.^[266]

Sovereignty principles can rely upon what is better known as Access and Benefit Sharing Agreements (ABAs). The Convention on Biodiversity implies informed consent between the source country and the collector, to establish which resource will be used and for what and to settle on a fair agreement on benefit sharing.

On the 19 of December 2022, during the 2022 United Nations Biodiversity Conference every country on earth, with the exception of the United States and the Holy See, signed onto the agreement which includes protecting 30% of land and oceans by 2030 (30 by 30) and 22 other targets intended to reduce biodiversity loss.^{[249][267][268]} The agreement includes also recovering 30% of earth degraded ecosystems and increasing funding for biodiversity issues.^[269]

European Union

In May 2020, the European Union published its Biodiversity Strategy for 2030. The biodiversity strategy is an essential part of the climate change mitigation strategy of the European Union. From the 25% of the European budget that will go to fight climate change, large part will go to restore biodiversity and nature based solutions.

The EU Biodiversity Strategy for 2030 include the next targets:

- Protect 30% of the sea territory and 30% of the land territory especially Old-growth forests.
- Plant 3 billion trees by 2030.
- Restore at least 25,000 kilometers of rivers, so they will become free flowing.
- Reduce the use of Pesticides by 50% by 2030.
- Increase Organic farming. In linked EU program From Farm to Fork it is said, that the target is making 25% of EU agriculture organic, by 2030.^[270]
- Increase biodiversity in agriculture.
- Give €20 billion per year to the issue and make it part of the business practice.

Approximately half of the global GDP depend on nature. In Europe many parts of the economy that generate trillions of euros per year depend on nature. The benefits of Natura 2000 alone in Europe are €200 – €300 billion per year.^[271]

National level laws

Biodiversity is taken into account in some political and judicial decisions:

- The relationship between law and ecosystems is very ancient and has consequences for biodiversity. It is related to private and public property rights. It can define protection for threatened ecosystems, but also some rights and duties (for example, fishing and hunting rights). Law regarding species is more recent. It defines species that must be protected because they may be threatened by extinction. The U.S. Endangered Species Act is an example of an attempt to address the "law and species" issue.
- Laws regarding gene pools are only about a century old.^[272] Domestication and plant breeding methods are not new, but advances in genetic engineering have led to tighter laws covering distribution of genetically modified organisms, gene patents and process patents.^[273] Governments struggle to decide whether to focus on for example, genes, genomes, or organisms and species.

Uniform approval for use of biodiversity as a legal standard has not been achieved, however. Bosselman argues that biodiversity should not be used as a legal standard, claiming that the remaining areas of scientific uncertainty cause unacceptable administrative waste and increase litigation without promoting preservation goals.^[274]

India passed the Biological Diversity Act in 2002 for the conservation of biological diversity in India. The Act also provides mechanisms for equitable sharing of benefits from the use of traditional biological resources and knowledge.

REFERENCES

1. "What is biodiversity?" (PDF). United Nations Environment Programme, World Conservation Monitoring Centre.
2. ^ Tracy, Benjamin F. (2000). *Plant Ecology*. 149 (2): 169–180. doi:10.1023/a:1026536223478. ISSN 1385-0237. S2CID 26006709 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/a:1026536223478>. {{cite journal}}: Missing or empty |title= (help)
3. ^ "Excite News - Hints of life on what was thought to be desolate early Earth". apnews.excite.com. 23 October 2015. Archived from the original on 23 October 2015. Retrieved 5 September 2022.

4. ^ Jarić, Ivan; Roll, Uri; Bonaiuto, Marino; Brook, Barry W.; Courchamp, Franck; Firth, Josh A.; Gaston, Kevin J.; Heger, Tina; Jeschke, Jonathan M.; Ladle, Richard J.; Meinard, Yves; Roberts, David L.; Sherren, Kate; Soga, Masashi; Soriano-Redondo, Andrea (May 2022). "Societal extinction of species". *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*. 37 (5): 411–419. doi:10.1016/j.tree.2021.12.011. hdl:10138/358259. PMID 35181167. S2CID 246894136.
5. ^ Harris, J. Arthur (1916). "The Variable Desert". *The Scientific Monthly*. 3 (1): 41–50. JSTOR 6182.
6. ^ Dasmann, Raymond F. (1967). "A Different Kind of Country". *Kirkus Reviews*. Retrieved 7 August 2022.
7. ^ Brown, William Y. Brown (9 August 2011). "Conserving Biological Diversity". *Brookings Institution*. Retrieved 7 August 2022.
8. ^ Terborgh, John (1974). "The Preservation of Natural Diversity: The Problem of Extinction Prone Species". *BioScience*. 24 (12): 715–722. doi:10.2307/1297090. JSTOR 1297090.
9. ^ Soulé, Michael E.; Wilcox, Bruce A. (1980). *Conservation biology: an evolutionary-ecological perspective*. Sunderland, Mass: Sinauer Associates. ISBN 978-0-87893-800-1.
10. ^ "Robert E. Jenkins". *Nature.org*. 18 August 2011. Archived from the original on 19 September 2012. Retrieved 24 September 2011.
11. ^ Wilson, E. O. (1988). *Biodiversity*. National Academy Press. p. vi. doi:10.17226/989. ISBN 978-0-309-03739-6. PMID 25032475.
12. ^ Tangle, Laura (1985). "A New Plan to Conserve the Earth's Biota". *BioScience*. 35 (6): 334–336+341. doi:10.1093/bioscience/35.6.334. JSTOR 1309899.
13. ^ Wilson, E.O. (1 January 1988). *Biodiversity*. National Academies Press. ISBN 978-0-309-03739-6. online edition Archived 13 September 2006 at the Wayback Machine
14. ^ *Global Biodiversity Assessment: Summary for Policy-makers*. Cambridge University Press. 1995. ISBN 978-0-521-56481-6. Annex 6, Glossary. Used as source by "Biodiversity", Glossary of terms related to the CBD Archived 10 September 2011 at the Wayback Machine, Belgian Clearing-House Mechanism. Retrieved 26 April 2006.
15. ^ Walker, Brian H. (1992). "Biodiversity and Ecological Redundancy". *Conservation Biology*. 6 (1): 18–23. doi:10.1046/j.1523-1739.1992.610018.x.
16. ^ Tor-Björn Larsson (2001). *Biodiversity evaluation tools for European forests*. Wiley-Blackwell. p. 178. ISBN 978-87-16-16434-6. Retrieved 28 June 2011.
17. ^ Davis. *Intro To Env Engg (Sie)*, 4E. McGraw-Hill Education (India) Pvt Ltd. p. 4. ISBN 978-0-07-067117-1. Retrieved 28 June 2011.
18. ^ Sahney, S.; Benton, M.J.; Ferry, Paul (2010). "Links between global taxonomic diversity, ecological diversity and the expansion of vertebrates on land". *Biology Letters*. 6 (4): 544–547. doi:10.1098/rsbl.2009.1024. PMC 2936204. PMID 20106856.
19. ^ Campbell, AK (2003). "Save those molecules: molecular biodiversity and life". *Journal of Applied Ecology*. 40 (2): 193–203. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2664.2003.00803.x.
20. ^ Lefcheck, Jon (20 October 2014). "What is functional diversity, and why do we care?". *sample(ECOLOGY)*. Retrieved 22 December 2015.
21. ^ Wilcox, Bruce A. 1984. In situ conservation of genetic resources: determinants of minimum area requirements. In *National Parks, Conservation and Development, Proceedings of the World Congress on National Parks*, J.A. McNeely and K.R. Miller, Smithsonian Institution Press, pp. 18–30.
22. ^ D. L. Hawksworth (1996). *Biodiversity: measurement and estimation*. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological Sciences*. Vol. 345. Springer. p. 6. doi:10.1098/rstb.1994.0081. ISBN 978-0-412-75220-9. PMID 7972355. Retrieved 28 June 2011.
23. ^ Gaston, Kevin J.; Spicer, John I. (13 February 2004). *Biodiversity: An Introduction*. Wiley. ISBN 978-1-4051-1857-6.
24. ^ Bélanger, J.; Pilling, D. (2019). *The State of the World's Biodiversity for Food and Agriculture (PDF)*. Rome: FAO. p. 4. ISBN 978-92-5-131270-4.
25. ^ Mora, Camilo; Tittensor, Derek P.; Adl, Sina; Simpson, Alastair G. B.; Worm, Boris; Mace, Georgina M. (23 August 2011). "How Many Species Are There on Earth and in the Ocean?". *PLOS Biology*. 9 (8): e1001127. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1001127. PMC 3160336. PMID 21886479.
26. ^ Wilson, J. Bastow; Peet, Robert K.; Dengler, Jürgen; Pärtel, Meelis (1 August 2012). "Plant species richness: the world records". *Journal of Vegetation Science*. 23 (4): 796–802. doi:10.1111/j.1654-1103.2012.01400.x. S2CID 53548257.

27. ^ Appeltans, W.; Ahyong, S. T.; Anderson, G; Angel, M. V.; Artois, T.; and 118 others (2012). "The Magnitude of Global Marine Species Diversity". *Current Biology*. 22 (23): 2189–2202. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2012.09.036. PMID 23159596.
28. ^ "Numbers of Insects (Species and Individuals)". Smithsonian Institution.
29. ^ Galus, Christine (5 March 2007). "Protection de la biodiversité : un inventaire difficile". *Le Monde* (in French).
30. ^ Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, Census of Marine Life (CoML) News.BBC.co.uk
31. ^ Hawksworth, D. L. (24 July 2012). "Global species numbers of fungi: are tropical studies and molecular approaches contributing to a more robust estimate?". *Biodiversity and Conservation*. 21 (9): 2425–2433. doi:10.1007/s10531-012-0335-x. S2CID 15087855.
32. ^ Hawksworth, D (2001). "The magnitude of fungal diversity: The 1.5 million species estimate revisited". *Mycological Research*. 105 (12): 1422–1432. doi:10.1017/S0953756201004725. S2CID 56122588.
33. ^ "Acari at University of Michigan Museum of Zoology Web Page". *Insects.ummz.lsa.umich.edu*. 10 November 2003. Retrieved 21 June 2009.
34. ^ "Fact Sheet – Expedition Overview" (PDF). J. Craig Venter Institute. Archived from the original (PDF) on 29 June 2010. Retrieved 29 August 2010.
35. ^ Mirsky, Steve (21 March 2007). "Naturally Speaking: Finding Nature's Treasure Trove with the Global Ocean Sampling Expedition". *Scientific American*. Retrieved 4 May 2011.
36. ^ "Article collections published by the Public Library of Science". *PLoS Collections*. doi:10.1371/issue.pcol.v06.i02 (inactive 31 December 2022). Archived from the original on 12 September 2012. Retrieved 24 September 2011.
37. ^ McKie, Robin (25 September 2005). "Discovery of new species and extermination at high rate". *The Guardian*. London.
38. ^ Bautista, Luis M.; Pantoja, Juan Carlos (2005). "What species should we study next?". *Bulletin of the British Ecological Society*. 36 (4): 27–28. hdl:10261/43928.
39. ^ Gabriel, Sigmar (9 March 2007). "30% of all species lost by 2050". *BBC News*.
40. ^ Reid, Walter V. (1995). "Reversing the loss of biodiversity: An overview of international measures". *Arid Lands Newsletter*. *Ag.arizona.edu*.



INNO SPACE
SJIF Scientific Journal Impact Factor
Impact Factor: 8.423



ISSN INTERNATIONAL
STANDARD
SERIAL
NUMBER
INDIA



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INNOVATIVE RESEARCH

IN SCIENCE | ENGINEERING | TECHNOLOGY

9940 572 462 **6381 907 438** **ijirset@gmail.com**



www.ijirset.com

Scan to save the contact details