



e-ISSN: 2319-8753 | p-ISSN: 2347-6710

IJRSET

International Journal of Innovative Research in
SCIENCE | ENGINEERING | TECHNOLOGY

A futuristic digital interface with a glowing Earth globe in the center. The interface is overlaid on a dark blue background with a blurred image of a person's hands reaching out. Various data elements are visible, including a 'LOADING 100%' progress bar, 'MEDIA' text, 'BUSINESS' and 'NETWORK SEARCH' labels, and several charts and graphs. The overall aesthetic is high-tech and data-driven.

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INNOVATIVE RESEARCH

IN SCIENCE | ENGINEERING | TECHNOLOGY

Volume 12, Issue 10, October 2023

ISSN INTERNATIONAL
STANDARD
SERIAL
NUMBER
INDIA

Impact Factor: 8.423

 9940 572 462

 6381 907 438

 ijrset@gmail.com

 www.ijrset.com

Analysis of Corrosion Inhibitors on Reinforced Steel for Different Concrete Grades

Shubham Thombre¹, Trupti Narkhade², Padmakar Salunke³

M.E. Student, Department of Structural Engineering, MGM College of Engineering and Technology, Kamothe, Maharashtra, India¹

Associate Professor, Department of Structural Engineering, MGM College of Engineering and Technology, Kamothe, Maharashtra, India²

Associate Professor, Department of Structural Engineering, MGM College of Engineering and Technology, Kamothe, Maharashtra, India³

ABSTRACT: In this paper it represents the experimental results of that the surface application of the organic and inorganic corrosion inhibitors which do increase the efficiency of resistance from corrosion to reinforced concrete steel structure with help of further increase of grade of concrete. In the result analysis, it is observed that the control specimen i.e., without any application of corrosion inhibitors doesn't provide any resistance to corrosion. While among the organic and inorganic corrosion inhibitors the organic (Neem Powder) provided the highest efficiency to corrosion inhibition. Also, the organic inhibitor (Neem Powder) provided the highest efficiency to corrosion inhibition when applied to a reinforced steel with higher grade of concrete in these tests i.e., M50. Among all the grades of concrete the M50 grade reinforced steel concrete beam with 4 coats of organic corrosion inhibitors (Neem Powder), displayed highest efficiency of 53%. The results also showed that inorganic corrosion inhibitors (Zinc Powder) even with 4 coats of application to the reinforced steel concrete beam with M50 grade concrete provided only 10% of efficiency to corrosion inhibition. In weight loss measurement the control specimen dose shows clear corrosion inhibition as compared to surface applied corrosion inhibitors Neem and Zinc on reinforced steel in all grade of concrete beam. This is due to chloride ingress, the chloride ions reacting with the ferrous ions to form ferric chloride and finally ferric chloride combining with the hydroxyl ions to form hydrated ferric hydroxide as corrosion product. On the other hand, the surface applied organic corrosion inhibitors Neem powder 4 coats dose show a very less weight loss as compared to control specimen i.e. 0.1 grams in 42 days at the highest grade of M50 in these tests. The surface applied inorganic corrosion inhibitors zinc powder 4 coats also showed less weight loss as compared to control specimen. As per all the above tests conducted and their results achieved it is to concluded that the organic and inorganic corrosion inhibitors with reference to the used grade of concrete can provide an efficient resistance to corrosion inhibition in reinforced steel in various structures like RCC, Buildings, Bridges, Commercial buildings, Girders, piers, abutments etc. In can be used in various harsh environment conditions and in cost efficient way subjected to grade of concrete.

KEYWORDS: Text detection, Inpainting, Morphological operations, Connected component labelling.

I. INTRODUCTION

As per NACE international (National Association of Corrosion Engineers), "a corrosion inhibitor is a substance when added to an environment, either continuously or intermittently to prevent corrosion by forming a passive film on the metal." In other processing industries, inhibitors are the first line of defence against corrosion.

The use of corrosion inhibitors can delay the onset of chloride-induced corrosion, prolong the time to initiation of corrosion, and thereby reduce the corrosion rate. Calcium nitrite is the most commonly used anodic corrosion inhibitor for reinforced concrete. This inhibitor is a passivating inhibitor, which forms a passive film on the surface of steel and significantly reduces the corrosion rate of steel in chloride contaminated concrete. Recently, organic inhibitors gained more attraction in the construction industry due to their promising application as admixtures in reinforced concrete with improved protection efficiency and low cost. Organic inhibitors are used as an admixed corrosion inhibitor or migrating corrosion inhibitors (MCI). Migrating inhibitors are also called as surface applied inhibitors. Both admixed and migrating corrosion inhibitors prevent steel corrosion by forming a thin layer of protective barrier film on the surface of

the rebar through adsorption mechanism. Amines and alkanol amines and their salts are used as organic inhibitors in concrete. It has been reported that the alkanol amine-based inhibitors could be able to decrease the corrosion rate of the carbonation induced corrosion effect only for the chloride-induced corrosion of reinforcement. Furthermore, the results indicated that penetrating corrosion inhibitors when applied to the surface of existing or new structures can able to reduce the corrosion rate below $0.1 \mu\text{cm}/994$. There are various methods of incorporating corrosion inhibitors in concrete. Among the different methods available, the application of surface applied corrosion inhibitors on steel reinforcement bars embedded in concrete is relatively a newer concept. The mechanism of the inhibitor is that it is chemically adsorbed on the surface of the metal and forms a thin protective layer with inhibitor effect or by combination between inhibitor ions and metallic surface.

Previously, there has been whole lot of research on surface-applied corrosion inhibitors (SACI) directly applied to existing concrete structures which has been suffered by corrosion due to mostly chloride attacks. Similarly, many researchers have studied the effect of corrosion inhibitors when directly mixed in the mixing process of fresh concrete. This paper reviews the corrosion inhibitor, corrosion prevention process and the recent advances on the inhibiting effect of various green corrosion inhibitors on steel reinforcement bars embedded in concrete.

II. RELATED WORK

There are many authors who have studied the effects of corrosion inhibitors on reinforced steel, following is the summary of some authors' study. [1] Haleem et al. investigated the pitting corrosion behaviour of phosphates, tungstate, and molybdates in chloride-contaminated Ca (OH) solution and found that pitting corrosion current reaches steady state values, which depend on the way of introducing the inhibiting anions in the solution. Studies revealed that sodium phosphate forms a protective layer on the surface of the steel in chloride-contaminated synthetic concrete pore solution. Besides pretreatment of steel with 0.5 M inhibitor solution for 72 h forms a protective layer which further enhanced the protection efficiency. [2] Ormellese et al. reported that amines and alkanol amines had poor corrosion inhibition effect on steel in chloride containing alkaline solutions. They further observed that polycarboxylates are more efficient against pitting corrosion. Comparison of carboxylates with amines/alkanol amines and amino acids were investigated in the same study, and the results are summarized in Predeferrri's diagram showing pitting potential vs. chloride concentration. From the figure, it is evident that amines/alkanol amines had only moderate effectiveness, while carboxylates showed the best behaviour at low and medium chloride levels. It was reported that amines were reported to reduce the compressive strength of cement paste and the reducing effect increased with increasing dosage.

III. METHODOLOGY

In the present experimental work, the inhibitors were used in the form of coats applied on the Rebar. The grade of concrete used was M20, M30, M40 and M50. To check the grade of concrete compressive strength of cubes was tested. Further to check the effect of corrosion inhibitors Half-cell potential and Weight loss measurements were carried out. These are the direct methods for checking the rate of corrosion Increase in weight loss shows the degradation of bras. Beam specimens of size 100x100x600mm inserted with 25 mm bars were used. The specimens were cured in normal water for 15 days and for 42 days in saline environment. Half-cell potential readings were taken after an interval of 7 days. Neem powder and Zinc powder were used as inhibitors. Weight loss measurements method is then used to determine the corrosion inhibition on the used steel bars.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

In the present research work, five beam moulds of size 100 x 100 x 600 mm for each grade of concrete were fabricated for the casting of specimens. A total of 20 specimens were casted for the experimental procedure for each concrete grade mix i.e., M50, M40, M30 and M20. At the time of casting, the interior of mould is lubricated so that the specimens can be easily removed after 24 hours. Further two mild steel bars are used in each specimen for reinforcement which are weighted on weighing machine for weight loss measurement tests before reinforcing it into the specimens. These two bars of 25mm and length 800mm are then placed in each specimen with a cover of 20 mm from sides and 20 mm cover from the bottom of the mould. After filling the mould with a layer of concrete up to 20 mm, the steel bars are then placed and the rest of the mould is filled to the top with proper compaction. After 24 hours of casting the specimens are carefully removed from the mould. The specimens are then cured in potable water for 15 days. After



normal curing period the specimens are shifted to saline tank containing 3.5 % NaCl solution for further curing. This is done so the chloride ions will penetrate the concrete surface reaching for the steel bars thereby initiating corrosion.

The most useful variable measured in corrosion monitoring is the potential of corroding metal i.e., E_{corr} . The difference in voltage between rebar with concrete around it and a reference electrode gives the corrosion potential. Half-cell potentiometer consisting of copper sulphate half-cell as reference electrode is used to monitor the corrosion potential in the present research work. The instrument gives the readings in milli-Volts. Lesser the voltage less will be the corrosion potential and hence the risk of metal getting corroded is less and vice versa. The corrosion potential readings are taken after every 7 days while the specimens are in saline curing. The graphs are shown below present the corrosion potential verses the exposure in days for concrete specimens with and without coated bars cured in 3.5% NaCl solution. After the testing of half-cell potentiometer on the reinforced concrete beam specimens the reinforced steel bars are then removed with care by breaking each specimen in CTM machine and are cleaned with emery paper for further weight loss measurement tests.


Following are the details below shown in tabular form for the results obtained from the half-cell potentiometer tests done on reinforced steel in concrete beam samples i.e., specimens: -

M20															
Nos of Days	Control specimens (mV)		Avera ge	Neem 2 Coats (mV)		Avera ge	Zinc 2 Coats (mV)		Avera ge	Neem 4 Coats (mV)		Avera ge	Neem 2 Coats (mV)		Avera ge
	Bar 1	Bar 2		Bar 1	Bar 2		Bar 1	Bar 2		Bar 1	Bar 2		Bar 1	Bar 2	
7	-98	-100	-99	-100	-98	-99	-228	-230	-229	-98	-97	-97.5	-260	-261	-260.5
14	-194	-220	-207	-164	-163	-163.5	-304	-306	-305	-141	-143	-142	-334	-331	-332.5
21	-301	-307	-304	-211	-206	-208.5	-367	-370	-368.5	-181	-179	-180	-416	-412	-414
28	-411	-424	-417.5	-258	-254	-256	-450	-451	-450.5	-229	-231	-230	-446	-448	-447
35	-521	-501	-511	-340	-351	-345.5	-509	-510	-509.5	-276	-278	-277	-498	-503	-500.5
42	-602	-599	-600.5	-409	-414	-411.5	-592	-595	-593.5	-334	-333	-333.5	-535	-532	-533.5
M30															
Nos of Days	Control specimens (mV)		Avera ge	Neem 2 Coats (mV)		Avera ge	Zinc 2 Coats (mV)		Avera ge	Neem 4 Coats (mV)		Avera ge	Neem 2 Coats (mV)		Avera ge
	Bar 1	Bar 2		Bar 1	Bar 2		Bar 1	Bar 2		Bar 1	Bar 2		Bar 1	Bar 2	
7	-95	-94	-94.5	-96	-97	-96.5	-224	-227	-225.5	-92	-94	-93	-255	-256	-255.5
14	-111	-118	-114.5	-152	-156	-154	-299	-300	-299.5	-128	-124	-126	-313	-312	-312.5
21	-286	-294	-290	-201	-209	-205	-351	-354	-352.5	-177	-175	-176	-403	-400	-401.5
28	-377	-382	-379.5	-240	-248	-244	-439	-441	-440	-202	-205	-203.5	-431	-429	-430
35	-469	-480	-474.5	-311	-310	-310.5	-496	-500	-498	-258	-255	-256.5	-476	-477	-476.5
42	-587	-582	-584.5	-387	-382	-384.5	-571	-575	-573	-289	-287	-288	-514	-515	-514.5
M40															
Nos of Days	Control specimens (mV)		Avera ge	Neem 2 Coats (mV)		Avera ge	Zinc 2 Coats (mV)		Avera ge	Neem 4 Coats (mV)		Avera ge	Neem 2 Coats (mV)		Avera ge
	Bar 1	Bar 2		Bar 1	Bar 2		Bar 1	Bar 2		Bar 1	Bar 2		Bar 1	Bar 2	
7	-92	-90	-91	-94	-92	-93	-211	-214	-212.5	-88	-90	-89	-236	-238	-237
14	-109	-106	-107.5	-139	-142	-140.5	-272	-274	-273	-111	-110	-110.5	-300	-297	-298.5
21	-277	-279	-278	-198	-196	-197	-335	-330	-332.5	-135	-131	-133	-387	-383	-385

28	-351	-355	-353	-230	-231	-230.5	-402	-399	-400.5	-179	-181	-180	-402	-403	-402.5
35	-483	-491	-487	-299	-300	-299.5	-465	-459	-462	-225	-227	-226	-444	-445	-444.5
42	-564	-566	-565	-361	-358	-359.5	-555	-550	-552.5	-267	-265	-266	-500	-505	-502.5
M50															
Nos of Days	Control specimens (mV)		Average	Neem 2 Coats (mV)		Average	Zinc 2 Coats (mV)		Average	Neem 4 Coats (mV)		Average	Neem 2 Coats (mV)		Average
	Bar 1	Bar 2		Bar 1	Bar 2		Bar 1	Bar 2		Bar 1	Bar 2		Bar 1	Bar 2	
7	-88	-90	-89	-90	-89	-89.5	-201	-200	-200.5	-82	-83	-82.5	-216	-217	-216.5
14	-101	-99	-100	-120	-123	-121.5	-246	-250	-248	-98	-100	-99	-268	-261	-264.5
21	-245	-231	-238	-164	-161	-162.5	-308	-309	-308.5	-122	-125	-123.5	-348	-345	-346.5
28	-312	-306	-309	-199	-201	-200	-384	-388	-386	-159	-161	-160	-387	-390	-388.5
35	-420	-432	-426	-249	-251	-250	-439	-435	-437	-200	-202	-201	-408	-410	-409
42	-512	-509	-510.5	-293	-288	-290.5	-499	-500	-499.5	-240	-236	-238	-461	-458	-459.5

Following are the details below shown in tabular and graphical form for percentage of corrosion Inhibition in specimens.

Percentage of Corrosion Inhibition						
Grade	Specimens	Control specimen	Neem 2 Coats	Zinc 2 Coats	Neem 4 coats	Zinc 4 coats
M20	Half Cell Potential (42 Days)	-600	-411	-593	-333	-533
	% Change	0	0.315	0.007	0.445	0.111
M30	Half Cell Potential (42 Days)	-584	-384	-573	-288	-514
	% Change	0	0.342	0.018	0.506	0.119
M40	Half Cell Potential (42 Days)	-565	-359	-552	-266	-502
	% Change	0	0.364	0.023	0.529	0.111
M50	Half Cell Potential (42 Days)	-510	-290	-499	-238	-459
	% Change	0	0.431	0.021	0.533	0.1



Following are the details below shown in tabular form for the results obtained from the Weight loss measurement tests done on steel bars before reinforcing and after breaking of concrete beam samples i.e., specimens: -

Weight loss Measurements of Bars in concrete beam.								
Bar No.	M20		M30		M40		M50	
	Wt. in grams Before	Wt. in grams After	Wt. in grams Before	Wt. in grams After	Wt. in grams Before	Wt. in grams After	Wt. in grams Before	Wt. in grams After
Bar1 (Control specimen)	274	273	286	285	292	291	284	283.9
Bar2 (Control specimen)	308	307	283	282	275	273.9	290	289
Bar1 (Neem 2 Coats)	275	275	269	268.6	286	285.7	275	274.6
Bar2 (Neem 2 Coats)	271	271.5	270	270	274	273.5	282	282

Bar3 (Zinc 2 Coats)	289	288.1	299	298.2	276	275.3	296	295.5
Bar4 (Zinc 2 Coats)	290	289.2	280	279.3	280	279.4	284	283.5
Bar5 (Neem 4 coats)	270	270	259	258.8	267	267.8	283	283
Bar6 (Neem 4 coats)	282	281.7	267	266.8	259	258.7	276	276.9
Bar7 (Zinc 4 coats)	279	279	266	266.5	283	283.6	274	273.6
Bar8 (Zinc 4 coats)	295	294.5	273	273.6	295	295	287	286.7



Neem Powder.



Zinc Powder.



Half-cell Potentiometer.



Wooden Concrete beam moulds.



Casting of specimens.



Curing in special Saline tanks.



Testing of specimens with Half-cell Potentiometer.



Testing of specimens with Half-cell Potentiometer.

V. CONCLUSION

With reference to the above results and analysis it is to be concluded that the surface application of the organic and inorganic corrosion inhibitors do increase the efficiency of resistance from corrosion to reinforced concrete steel structure with help of further increase of grade of concrete. Therefore it thus proves that the corrosion inhibitors are the effective way to increase the corrosion inhibition capacity for the required grade of concrete.

In the above result analysis, it is observed that the control specimen i.e., without any application of corrosion inhibitors doesn't provide any resistance to corrosion. While among the organic and inorganic corrosion inhibitors the organic (Neem Powder) provided the highest efficiency to corrosion inhibition. Also, the organic inhibitor (Neem Powder) provided the highest efficiency to corrosion inhibition when applied to a reinforced steel with higher grade of concrete in these tests i.e., M50.

Among all the grades of concrete the M50 grade reinforced steel concrete beam with 4 coats of organic corrosion inhibitors (Neem Powder), displayed highest efficiency of 53%. The results also showed that inorganic corrosion inhibitors (Zinc Powder) even with 4 coats of application to the reinforced steel concrete beam with M50 grade concrete provided only 10% of efficiency to corrosion inhibition.

In weight loss measurement the control specimen dose show clear corrosion inhibition as compared to surface applied corrosion inhibitors Neem and Zinc on reinforced steel in all grade of concrete beam. This is due to chloride ingress, the chloride ions reacting with the ferrous ions to form ferric chloride and finally ferric chloride combining with the hydroxyl ions to form hydrated ferric hydroxide as corrosion product. On the other hand the surface applied organic corrosion inhibitors Neem powder 4 coats dose show a very less weight loss as compared to control specimen i.e. 0.1 grams in 42 days at the highest grade of M50 in these tests. The surface applied inorganic corrosion inhibitors zinc

powder 4 coats also showed less weight loss as compared to control specimen.

As per all the above tests conducted and their results achieved it is to concluded that the organic and inorganic corrosion inhibitors with reference to the used grade of concrete can provide an efficient resistance to corrosion inhibition in reinforced steel in various structures like RCC, Buildings, Bridges, Commercial buildings, Girders, piers, abutments etc. In can be used in various harsh environment conditions and in cost efficient way subjected to grade of concrete.

REFERENCES

- [1] Nishant Kumar, Bisakha Chalisey, Sunil Saharan, Megha Gupta: Effect of Surface Applied Organic and Inorganic Corrosion Inhibitors on Reinforced Steel in Concrete, International Journal of Engineering and Advanced Technology (IJEAT), (2019).
- [2] G. Koch, J. Varney, N. Thompson, O. Moghissi, M. Gould, J. Payer: International measures of prevention, Application, and Economics of Corrosion Technologies Study, NACE International Report, Houston, (2016)
- [3] FHWA-RD-01-159 Report, Corrosion Costs and Preventive Strategies in the United States, (2001)
- [4] BRE Digest 444 Part 1, Corrosion of Steel in Concrete, Durability of Reinforced Concrete Structures, BRE Center for Concrete Construction, (2000)
- [5] L. Bertolini, B. Elsener, P. Pedferri, R.P. Polder: Corrosion of steel in concrete, WILEY –VCH, Weinheim (2004)
- [6] BRE Digest 444 Part 3, Corrosion of Steel in Concrete, Protection and Remediation, BRE Center for Concrete Construction, (2000)
- [7] C. Christodoulou, ... et al.: Long-term performance of surface impregnation of reinforced concrete structures with silane. Construction and Building Materials 48 (2013), pp.708-716
- [8] L. Zhichao, W. Hansen: Effectiveness of Concrete Surface Treatment Materials in Reducing Chloride Induced Reinforcement Corrosion, Cement Concrete Comp. 69 (2016): pp. 49-60
- [9] C. D. Murray, R. Deschenes, W. M. Hale: Durability of silane sealer in highly alkaline environment, ACI Materials Journal 113 (2016) 4, pp. 387-393
- [10] ACI 515.2R-13, Guide to Selecting Protective Treatments for Concrete, American Concrete Institute (2013)
- [11] A.M. Vaysburd, P.H. Emmons: Corrosion inhibitors and other protective systems in concrete repair: concepts or mis concepts, Cement & Concrete Composites, 26 (2004), pp. 255-263
- [12] V.T. Ngala, C.L. Page, M.M. Page: Corrosion inhibitor systems for remedial treatment of reinforced concrete Part2: sodium monofluorophosphate, Corrosion Science 45 (2003), pp. 1523-1537
- [13] FHWA-RD-01-097, Long-Term Performance of Corrosion Inhibitors Used in Repair of Reinforced Concrete Bridge Components, Federal Highway Administration Research and Technology (2002)
- [14] B. Elsener: Corrosion inhibitors for steel in concrete, International Congress on Advanced Materials, (2000)
- [15] M. Ormellese, M. Berra, F. Bolzoni, T. Pastore: Corrosion inhibitors for chloride induced corrosion in reinforced concrete structures, Cement and concrete Research 36 (2006), pp. 536-547
- [16] Y. Schiegg, F. Hunkeler, H. Ungricht: The effectiveness of corrosion inhibitors – a field study, 16th Congress of IABSE, (2000).

BIOGRAPHY



Mr Shubham Pandurang Thombre has completed his BE from civil engineering from Shivaji university. He is currently pursuing his ME structural engineering from MGM college of engineering and technology, kamothe, panvel. He has a total work experience of 5 years and is currently working at L&T construction company in high-speed rail project. His area of interest are concrete structures, infrastructures projects, steel structures and concrete technology.



SJIF Scientific Journal Impact Factor

Impact Factor: 8.423



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INNOVATIVE RESEARCH

IN SCIENCE | ENGINEERING | TECHNOLOGY

 9940 572 462  6381 907 438  ijirset@gmail.com



www.ijirset.com

Scan to save the contact details