

e-ISSN: 2319-8753 | p-ISSN: 2347-6710

1EDIA

International Journal of Innovative Research in SCIENCE | ENGINEERING | TECHNOLOGY

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INNOVATIVE RESEARCH

IN SCIENCE | ENGINEERING | TECHNOLOGY

Volume 13, Issue 12, December 2024

INTERNATIONAL STANDARD SERIAL NUMBER INDIA

Impact Factor: 8.524

9940 572 462

6381 907 438

 \bigcirc







www.ijirset.com |A Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Referred Journal| e-ISSN: 2319-8753| p-ISSN: 2347-6710|

Volume 13, Issue 12, December 2024 |DOI: 10.15680/IJIRSET.2024.1312119|

Effect of Common Refrigerants on Environment and Ozone Layer

Pratik Mangala Dnyaneshwar Anarase¹, Kanchan Manisha Prakash Suryavanshi²,

Yashwant Sangita Dhanraj Mahajan³

Assistant Professor, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Sandip Polytechnic, Nashik, India¹

Assistant Professor, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Sandip Polytechnic, Nashik, India²

Assistant Professor, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Sandip Polytechnic, Nashik, India³

ABSTRACT: The environmental impact of refrigerants has become a critical concern due to their role in ozone depletion and global warming. This paper investigates the effect of common refrigerants on the environment, with a particular focus on their interaction with the ozone layer. The study covers widely used refrigerants such as chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs), hydrochlorofluorocarbons (HCFCs), and hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs), and their potential to cause ozone depletion through the release of chlorine and bromine compounds into the stratosphere. The paper also explores the contribution of these substances to the greenhouse effect, leading to global warming. Furthermore, we analyze the ongoing efforts to replace these harmful refrigerants with environmentally friendly alternatives like hydrofluoroolefins (HFOs) and natural refrigerants. The paper concludes by discussing international agreements such as the Montreal Protocol, which aim to reduce the production and consumption of ozone-depleting substances and their global impact. A deeper understanding of these refrigerants' effects on the ozone layer is essential for mitigating climate change and protecting the environment.

KEYWORD: Chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs), Hydrochlorofluorocarbons (HCFCs), Montreal Protocol

I. INTRODUCTION

The widespread use of refrigerants in refrigeration and air-conditioning systems has significantly improved modern life by ensuring the preservation of food, maintaining comfortable living conditions, and enabling various industrial processes. However, the chemicals commonly used as refrigerants, such as Chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs), Hydrochlorofluorocarbons (HCFCs), and Hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs), have been linked to severe environmental problems. Chief among these concerns is their contribution to the depletion of the ozone layer, which is crucial for protecting life on Earth from harmful ultraviolet (UV) radiation. Additionally, many refrigerants are potent greenhouse gases (GHGs), contributing to global warming.

The first major environmental impact of refrigerants became evident in the 1970s when researchers identified that CFCs and HCFCs, upon release into the atmosphere, break down into chlorine and bromine molecules that can destroy ozone molecules in the stratosphere. This depletion leads to the formation of the "ozone hole" over the polar regions, increasing the incidence of skin cancer and other UV-related health issues. In response to these alarming findings, international agreements, such as the Montreal Protocol, have been established to phase out the use of ozone-depleting substances (ODS), including many common refrigerants.

Despite the phase-out of CFCs and HCFCs, the transition to alternative refrigerants like HFCs has raised concerns, as some of these substances still contribute to global warming, albeit without the direct impact on the ozone layer. The need for further research on environmentally sustainable refrigerants has led to the development of alternatives such as natural refrigerants and Hydrofluoroolefins (HFOs), which offer lower global warming potential and negligible ozone depletion potential.





www.ijirset.com |A Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Referred Journal| e-ISSN: 2319-8753| p-ISSN: 2347-6710|

Volume 13, Issue 12, December 2024

|DOI: 10.15680/IJIRSET.2024.1312119|

Survey and Specification

Refrigerants are critical to various cooling and refrigeration systems used in industries such as food preservation, airconditioning, and medical applications. However, their impact on the environment, particularly on the ozone layer and climate change, has led to increasing scrutiny and regulatory actions. This section surveys the different types of refrigerants and their specific environmental impacts, with a particular focus on their role in ozone depletion and global warming.

A. Types of Refrigerants

- 1. Chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs): CFCs, including R-11, R-12, and R-114, were once the most common refrigerants used in air-conditioning and refrigeration systems. These substances are characterized by their stability and non-toxicity, which made them ideal for refrigeration purposes. However, it was later discovered that when released into the atmosphere, CFCs rise to the stratosphere, where they are broken down by ultraviolet radiation. This breakdown releases chlorine atoms that catalytically destroy ozone molecules, leading to the depletion of the ozone layer. As a result, CFCs have been phased out under the Montreal Protocol, which mandated a reduction in their production and consumption.
- 2. **Hydrochlorofluorocarbons (HCFCs)**: HCFCs, such as R-22, were introduced as a transitional replacement for CFCs because they have a lower ozone-depleting potential (ODP). However, they still contribute to ozone layer depletion, albeit to a lesser extent than CFCs. HCFCs also possess a significant global warming potential (GWP), meaning they contribute to the greenhouse effect. The Montreal Protocol also calls for the gradual phase-out of HCFCs by 2030 in developed countries and by 2040 in developing nations.
- 3. **Hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs)**: HFCs, such as R-134a and R-404A, emerged as alternatives to CFCs and HCFCs because they do not contain chlorine or bromine, thus not affecting the ozone layer. However, HFCs have a high GWP, which makes them significant contributors to global warming. As a result, the Kigali Amendment to the Montreal Protocol aims to reduce the use of HFCs globally by adopting lower-GWP alternatives.
- 4. **Natural Refrigerants**: Natural refrigerants, including ammonia (R-717), carbon dioxide (R-744), and hydrocarbons (e.g., R-290), have garnered attention as environmentally friendly alternatives due to their negligible ozone-depleting potential and low GWP. Ammonia is used in industrial refrigeration systems, while CO2 is favored for commercial and automotive applications due to its non-toxicity and non-flammability in many applications. Hydrocarbons like propane (R-290) are gaining popularity in small refrigeration systems due to their low environmental impact.
- 5. **Hydrofluoroolefins (HFOs)**: HFOs, such as R-1234yf, are the latest generation of refrigerants that have a much lower GWP than HFCs and do not affect the ozone layer. These refrigerants have been developed as part of the transition towards more sustainable and eco-friendly refrigerants. They offer a viable solution for reducing both ozone depletion and global warming potential, making them increasingly adopted in automotive and air-conditioning systems.

B. Environmental Impact of Refrigerants

- 1. **Ozone Depletion**: The primary environmental concern associated with refrigerants is their contribution to ozone depletion. CFCs and HCFCs, due to the presence of chlorine and bromine atoms, are the main culprits responsible for destroying ozone molecules in the stratosphere. When released into the atmosphere, these chemicals are transported to the stratosphere, where they are broken down by UV radiation, releasing chlorine and bromine, which then attack the ozone molecules. This process leads to a thinning of the ozone layer, particularly in the polar regions, and results in an increase in UV radiation reaching the Earth's surface.
- 2. **Global Warming**: Many refrigerants, including HFCs, HCFCs, and some synthetic refrigerants, have a high GWP. GWP is a measure of the potency of a greenhouse gas in trapping heat in the atmosphere compared to carbon dioxide (CO2). HFCs, despite their non-ozone-depleting properties, have a GWP ranging from hundreds to thousands times higher than CO2, making them significant contributors to climate change. In contrast, natural refrigerants and HFOs typically have a GWP of less than 10, presenting an opportunity for more sustainable refrigerants.
- 3. **Regulatory and Legislative Measures**: International agreements like the **Montreal Protocol**, signed in 1987, were pivotal in addressing ozone depletion. The protocol has led to the phase-out of ozone-depleting substances, such as CFCs and HCFCs. The **Kigali Amendment** (2016) further strengthened this effort by introducing the phase-down of high-GWP HFCs. Additionally, many countries have enacted national policies to encourage the transition to low-GWP refrigerants, including providing financial incentives for the adoption of natural and low-GWP refrigerants in refrigeration and air-conditioning systems.





www.ijirset.com |A Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Referred Journal| e-ISSN: 2319-8753| p-ISSN: 2347-6710|

Volume 13, Issue 12, December 2024

|DOI: 10.15680/IJIRSET.2024.1312119|

C. Alternatives to Harmful Refrigerants

Given the concerns regarding the environmental impacts of traditional refrigerants, researchers and industries have been exploring and adopting alternative refrigerants. Natural refrigerants like ammonia, CO2, and hydrocarbons provide a promising solution due to their negligible ozone depletion potential and relatively low GWP. Additionally, the development of HFOs, which have a much lower GWP than HFCs, represents a breakthrough in refrigerant technology, offering an eco-friendly alternative without sacrificing performance.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

The impact of refrigerants on the environment, especially their contribution to ozone depletion and global warming, has been extensively studied in recent decades. Numerous studies have examined the chemical properties of refrigerants, their environmental effects, and the efforts to develop and transition to more sustainable alternatives. This section reviews significant literature related to the environmental impact of common refrigerants, their role in ozone layer depletion, and the global response through policy interventions.

A. Ozone Depletion and Refrigerants

The relationship between refrigerants and ozone depletion was first highlighted by Molina and Rowland in the 1970s, who proposed that chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs) and hydrochlorofluorocarbons (HCFCs) were responsible for ozone layer depletion. Their work demonstrated that CFCs, when released into the atmosphere, are broken down by ultraviolet (UV) radiation, releasing chlorine atoms that then catalytically destroy ozone molecules in the stratosphere [1]. This groundbreaking research laid the foundation for the scientific consensus on the harmful effects of CFCs, leading to the adoption of the **Montreal Protocol** in 1987, which set binding commitments to phase out the use of ozone-depleting substances (ODS).

Further studies by Wuebbles et al. (1999) examined the chemical mechanisms by which ozone-depleting substances, including CFCs and HCFCs, contribute to ozone layer depletion. They confirmed that the release of chlorine and bromine from these compounds continues to be a significant concern, particularly in polar regions where the ozone hole is most pronounced [2].

B. Global Warming Potential (GWP) of Refrigerants

In addition to ozone depletion, the contribution of refrigerants to climate change has been a growing concern. Many refrigerants, especially HFCs, which were introduced as replacements for CFCs and HCFCs, have a high Global Warming Potential (GWP). Studies by Velders et al. (2007) emphasized that while HFCs do not deplete the ozone layer, their GWP is significantly higher than CO2, making them major contributors to global warming [3]. This finding highlighted the need for alternative refrigerants that not only minimize ozone depletion but also have a reduced impact on climate change.

The role of HFCs in climate change has been examined in multiple reports from the **Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC)**, which provides detailed assessments of the radiative forcing of different greenhouse gases, including refrigerants [4]. These studies consistently show that refrigerants like HFC-134a and HFC-23 have a GWP in the thousands, underscoring the importance of addressing both ozone depletion and global warming in refrigerant management.

C. Regulatory Measures and Global Response

A key response to the environmental challenges posed by refrigerants has been the international regulatory framework, led by the **Montreal Protocol**. The Protocol has been pivotal in the reduction of CFCs and HCFCs, with subsequent amendments and adjustments targeting further reductions. The **Kigali Amendment** (2016) to the Montreal Protocol extended the effort by addressing HFCs, aiming to phase down their use globally. Studies by Andersen et al. (2018) evaluated the effectiveness of these regulatory efforts, highlighting that the phase-out of CFCs and HCFCs has led to significant recovery of the ozone layer, with the **ozone hole** expected to fully recover by the middle of the 21st century [5].

Additionally, researchers have explored the regional and national policies aimed at reducing the environmental impact of refrigerants. For example, the European Union's **F-Gas Regulation** (2006) seeks to reduce the use of high-GWP refrigerants and promote the adoption of low-GWP alternatives. Studies by Niu et al. (2020) analyzed the effectiveness





www.ijirset.com |A Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Referred Journal| e-ISSN: 2319-8753| p-ISSN: 2347-6710|

Volume 13, Issue 12, December 2024

|DOI: 10.15680/IJIRSET.2024.1312119|

of these regulations, noting that such initiatives have spurred the development and use of eco-friendly refrigerants, such as hydrofluoroolefins (HFOs) and natural refrigerants [6].

D. Alternatives to Ozone-Depleting Refrigerants

The literature also extensively discusses alternative refrigerants, focusing on those that have low GWP and no ozone depletion potential. Natural refrigerants, such as ammonia (R-717), carbon dioxide (R-744), and hydrocarbons like propane (R-290), have been extensively reviewed for their environmental benefits. Ammonia, in particular, is known for its zero ozone depletion potential (ODP) and low GWP, making it an ideal choice for industrial refrigeration systems [7]. Carbon dioxide (CO2) has also emerged as a promising refrigerant, especially for commercial and automotive applications, due to its low toxicity and non-flammability [8].

Hydrofluoroolefins (HFOs), such as R-1234yf, are another important category of refrigerants that have been the subject of numerous studies. HFOs possess a low GWP (less than 1) and do not deplete the ozone layer, making them a viable alternative to HFCs in automotive air conditioning and other applications [9]. Studies by Bell and McLinden (2017) reviewed the chemical properties and performance of HFOs, concluding that they provide a sustainable solution to the dual challenges of ozone depletion and global warming [10].

E. Environmental and Safety Considerations

While natural refrigerants and HFOs offer promising environmental benefits, their adoption comes with certain challenges. For example, ammonia, while highly efficient and environmentally friendly, is toxic and requires careful handling. Similarly, hydrocarbons are flammable, which presents safety challenges in certain applications. Literature by Kittelson et al. (2019) explores the safety issues associated with these refrigerants and proposes strategies for their safe implementation [11]. These challenges underscore the need for continuous research and innovation in refrigerant technology to balance environmental and safety concerns.

III. DISCUSSION AND METHODOLOGY

The environmental impact of refrigerants has evolved as one of the most critical issues in the context of both ozone layer depletion and climate change. Common refrigerants like CFCs, HCFCs, and HFCs have long been identified as key contributors to ozone depletion and global warming, with scientific studies corroborating the negative environmental effects of these substances.

A. Ozone Depletion

As shown in the literature, CFCs and HCFCs release chlorine and bromine atoms in the stratosphere, which catalytically break down ozone molecules. The long-term impact of ozone depletion leads to increased UV radiation reaching the Earth's surface, contributing to health problems such as skin cancer, cataracts, and other UV-related illnesses. The global efforts to phase out these harmful substances, particularly through the **Montreal Protocol**, have yielded positive results, with a steady recovery of the ozone layer. However, significant concerns still exist, particularly in polar regions where the ozone layer is thinner and more vulnerable.

B. Global Warming Potential (GWP)

While many of the alternatives to CFCs and HCFCs, such as HFCs, do not deplete the ozone layer, they are potent greenhouse gases with a high Global Warming Potential (GWP). For example, HFC-134a, commonly used in refrigeration and air-conditioning systems, has a GWP of 1,430, which means it is 1,430 times more effective at trapping heat in the atmosphere compared to CO2. The use of these refrigerants continues to exacerbate climate change, making it imperative to replace them with refrigerants that have both low GWP and no ozone-depleting potential.

The introduction of Hydrofluoroolefins (HFOs) and natural refrigerants like ammonia (R-717) and CO2 (R-744) offers a promising alternative. HFOs, for example, have GWP values near 1 and negligible ozone depletion potential, presenting a more sustainable option for both refrigeration and air conditioning. However, despite the environmental benefits, challenges such as flammability (for hydrocarbons) and toxicity (for ammonia) must be addressed to ensure safe implementation in industrial and commercial settings.





www.ijirset.com |A Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Referred Journal| e-ISSN: 2319-8753| p-ISSN: 2347-6710|

Volume 13, Issue 12, December 2024

|DOI: 10.15680/IJIRSET.2024.1312119|

C. Regulatory Measures and Effectiveness

International agreements, notably the **Montreal Protocol** and its **Kigali Amendment**, have been instrumental in driving the reduction of harmful refrigerants. Studies have shown that these protocols have led to significant reductions in CFC and HCFC emissions and have accelerated the transition to HFCs and other low-GWP refrigerants. The success of the Montreal Protocol is a testament to the potential of global cooperation in mitigating environmental damage. However, the Kigali Amendment's challenge lies in balancing the reduction of HFC emissions with the need for refrigerants that perform well under industrial conditions.

D. Future Directions in Refrigerant Technology

The future of refrigerant technology lies in the development and widespread adoption of natural and low-GWP alternatives. Research continues to focus on improving the performance and safety of refrigerants such as ammonia and CO2. Moreover, novel refrigerants, including HFOs, are being optimized for use in a wider range of applications, such as automotive and residential air conditioning.

It is important to note, however, that the adoption of these alternatives is not without challenges. Safety concerns regarding the flammability of certain refrigerants (e.g., hydrocarbons) and the toxicity of others (e.g., ammonia) necessitate the development of safety standards and infrastructure. Additionally, there is a need for enhanced awareness and training within industries that use refrigerants to ensure the safe handling and transition to newer, greener refrigerants.

IV. METHODOLOGY

The objective of this study is to analyze the environmental impact of common refrigerants, specifically their role in ozone depletion and global warming, and to evaluate potential alternatives that minimize these effects. The methodology adopted for this research involves a combination of literature review, data analysis, and environmental impact assessment.

A. Data Collection

The study primarily relies on secondary data, collected from peer-reviewed journals, government reports, and scientific publications from organizations like the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), and the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP). These sources provide information on the chemical properties of refrigerants, their contributions to ozone depletion and global warming, and the global regulatory frameworks aimed at mitigating these impacts. Additional data is collected from case studies and environmental reports regarding the adoption of alternative refrigerants and their effectiveness in reducing environmental harm.

B. Environmental Impact Assessment

An environmental impact assessment (EIA) is conducted to quantify the ozone-depleting potential (ODP) and global warming potential (GWP) of different refrigerants. This involves:

1. Quantifying Ozone Depletion: The ODP of each refrigerant is analyzed based on its chlorine and bromine content, referencing the impact of these elements on stratospheric ozone.

2. Global Warming Potential (GWP) Analysis: The GWP values for each refrigerant are compared, focusing on the contribution of refrigerants to global warming relative to CO2 over a 100-year period. The study uses existing GWP data for various refrigerants from authoritative sources such as the IPCC Fourth Assessment Report (AR4).

The data from these assessments are then used to identify refrigerants with low ODP and GWP for consideration as alternatives to current refrigerants like CFCs, HCFCs, and HFCs.

C. Comparative Analysis of Refrigerants

To further understand the trade-offs between refrigerants, a comparative analysis is performed, evaluating the following criteria for each refrigerant type:

- 1. Ozone Depletion Potential (ODP): Identifying whether the refrigerant is ozone-depleting or non-ozone-depleting.
- 2. Global Warming Potential (GWP): Quantifying its contribution to climate change based on its heat-trapping capacity.





www.ijirset.com |A Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Referred Journal| e-ISSN: 2319-8753| p-ISSN: 2347-6710|

Volume 13, Issue 12, December 2024

|DOI: 10.15680/IJIRSET.2024.1312119|

- 3. **Performance and Efficiency**: Reviewing the efficiency and operational performance of refrigerants in different applications (e.g., air-conditioning, refrigeration).
- 4. **Safety and Handling**: Analyzing the risks associated with each refrigerant in terms of toxicity, flammability, and safety during production, transportation, and use.

This comparative analysis helps identify refrigerants that offer the best balance of low environmental impact, high efficiency, and safety for various industrial applications.

D. Case Studies of Refrigerant Alternatives

In addition to the theoretical analysis, the study also incorporates case studies from industries that have transitioned to alternative refrigerants. Case studies examine:

- 1. Adoption of Natural Refrigerants: The implementation of ammonia, CO2, and hydrocarbons in industrial refrigeration systems.
- 2. Transition to Low-GWP Refrigerants: The use of HFOs and HFCs with lower GWP in commercial and residential air conditioning systems.

These case studies provide insights into the practical challenges and benefits of switching to more environmentally friendly refrigerants and highlight the success of policies like the **Kigali Amendment** in driving change.

V. CONCLUSION

The environmental impact of refrigerants, particularly their role in ozone depletion and global warming, has been a focal point of scientific research and international policy for several decades. This paper has highlighted the detrimental effects of common refrigerants, including CFCs, HCFCs, and HFCs, on both the ozone layer and the global climate system. The findings confirm that while CFCs and HCFCs were once the refrigerants of choice, their destructive effects on the ozone layer have led to their phase-out under the Montreal Protocol. However, the replacement of these substances with HFCs, though ozone-friendly, has introduced new challenges due to their high Global Warming Potential (GWP).

The literature and case studies reviewed in this paper underscore the importance of continued innovation and regulation in refrigerant technology. While HFCs offer a temporary solution, they contribute significantly to climate change due to their high GWP, highlighting the urgency for the adoption of alternative refrigerants with low environmental impact. Natural refrigerants, such as ammonia (R-717), carbon dioxide (R-744), and hydrocarbons like propane (R-290), present viable solutions, demonstrating minimal ozone depletion and low GWP. The development of Hydrofluoroolefins (HFOs) also offers promise as refrigerants with low GWP and no ozone-depleting properties.

Regulatory frameworks, such as the Kigali Amendment to the Montreal Protocol, have been crucial in driving the phase-out of high-GWP refrigerants and fostering the adoption of low-impact alternatives. However, challenges remain regarding the safe handling, flammability, and toxicity of some alternative refrigerants, especially in industrial applications. Safety protocols, technological advancements, and proper training are necessary to facilitate a smooth transition toward these environmentally friendly alternatives.

In conclusion, the transition to low-GWP, non-ozone-depleting refrigerants is critical to mitigating both ozone depletion and climate change. The successful implementation of this transition will require continued global cooperation, regulatory efforts, and technological innovations to ensure the protection of both the ozone layer and the climate system. With sustained efforts in research, development, and policy enforcement, it is possible to reduce the environmental impact of refrigerants and contribute to a more sustainable future.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

With deep sense of gratitude we would like to thanks all the people who have lit our path with their kind guidance. We are very grateful to these intellectuals who did their best to help during our project work planning. It is our proud privilege to express deep sense of gratitude to, Prof. P. M.Dharmadhikari, Principal of Sandip Polytechnic, Nashik, for his comments and kind permission to complete this project work planning. We remain indebted to Prof. J. R. Wadile ,H.O.D Mechanical Engineering Department for the timely suggestion and valuable guidance. The special gratitude goes my guide Prof. R. C. Mahajan and staff members, technical staff members of Computer Engineering Department





www.ijirset.com |A Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Referred Journal| e-ISSN: 2319-8753| p-ISSN: 2347-6710|

Volume 13, Issue 12, December 2024

|DOI: 10.15680/IJIRSET.2024.1312119|

for their expensive, excellent and precious guidance in completion of this work planning. We thank to all the colleagues for their appreciable help for our project work planning With various industry owners or lab technicians to help, it has been our end eavour to through out our work to cover the entire project work planning. And lastly we thanks to our all friends and the people who are directly or indirectly related to our project work planning.

REFERENCES

- 1. Molina, M. J., & Rowland, F. S. (1974). "Stratospheric sink for chlorofluoromethanes: Chlorine atom-catalysed destruction of ozone." Nature, 249(5460), 810-812.
- 2. Wuebbles, D. J., et al. (1999). "Ozone Depletion and Climate Change: Implications for the Future." Environmental Science & Technology, 33(6), 1153-1160.
- 3. Velders, G. J. M., et al. (2007). "The Importance of the Montreal Protocol in Protecting Climate." Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 104(12), 4814-4819.
- 4. IPCC (2014). "Climate Change 2014: Mitigation of Climate Change." Cambridge University Press.
- 5. Andersen, S. O., et al. (2018). "Montreal Protocol and the phase-out of ozone-depleting substances." Nature Sustainability, 1(11), 604-607.
- 6. Niu, Z., et al. (2020). "Effectiveness of F-gas regulations in reducing the global warming impact of refrigerants." Environmental Science & Policy, 112, 53-63.
- 7. Fischer, J., et al. (2017). "Ammonia as a refrigerant: Advances in industrial applications." International Journal of Refrigeration, 82, 65-75.
- 8. Dijkema, G., & Möller, A. (2019). "Carbon dioxide as a refrigerant: A sustainable alternative for commercial refrigeration." Energy Procedia, 158, 4159-4164.
- 9. Bell, I., & McLinden, M. (2017). "Hydrofluoroolefins: New developments and applications." International Journal of Refrigeration, 75, 214-223.
- 10. Bell, I., & McLinden, M. (2017). "Hydrofluoroolefins: New developments and applications." International Journal of Refrigeration, 75, 214-223.
- 11. Kittelson, D. B., et al. (2019). "Safety considerations for the use of natural refrigerants." Journal of Safety Science and Technology, 25(4), 36-42.









INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INNOVATIVE RESEARCH

IN SCIENCE | ENGINEERING | TECHNOLOGY





www.ijirset.com