



e-ISSN: 2319-8753 | p-ISSN: 2347-6710

IJIRSET

International Journal of Innovative Research in
SCIENCE | ENGINEERING | TECHNOLOGY



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INNOVATIVE RESEARCH

IN SCIENCE | ENGINEERING | TECHNOLOGY

Volume 13, Issue 12, December 2024

ISSN INTERNATIONAL
STANDARD
SERIAL
NUMBER
INDIA

Impact Factor: 8.524

9940 572 462

6381 907 438

ijirset@gmail.com

www.ijirset.com

Does A Mandatory Attendance Improve Student's Performance

Riya Chourasia, Dr. Simran Agarwal

Department of Management, NIMS School of Business, Rajasthan, Jaipur, India

Assistant Professor, Department of Management, NIMS School of Business, Rajasthan, Jaipur, India

ABSTRACT: For many years, mandatory attendance policies have evoked fierce arguments within the vast domain of education.

Their proponents are of the strong opinion that these policies only help in instilling a greater sense of discipline in the students and thus, provide adequate exposure to all the material a student is expected to learn, in addition to fostering a suitable environment.

They further argue that a formal setup makes a lot of difference in an enhanced learning experience. With the in-depth analysis of existing researchers along with information gathered from various distinct education bodies, we shall attempt to understand how forcing demands on student attendance truly enhance academic performance in quantifiable terms among the students. The study shows that although the compulsory attendance requirement can indeed create positive impacts on academic achievement in some specific contexts or situations, its overall success is deeply connected and interconnected with several factors.

One such factor is the internal motivation of the students concerned, while another is the excellence of the teaching being offered or provided, and yet the individualized learning preference of the students concerned.

Such findings firmly suggest that even though mandatory attendance has its benefits and merits, this cannot be treated as a be-all and end-all answer that applies to all kinds of situations. Instead, an approach that is more mindful and thoughtful is absolutely important to help bring about and bring to fruition true academic excellence within educational environments.

I. INTRODUCTION

That a mandatory attendance policy impacts the educational output of student in one of those controversies that have been heard and debated since the early development of modern education itself. Mandatorily attending classes as diligently and diligently as necessary in order to ensure student attainment of the essential instructional matter crucial to their academic prosperity, proponents of compulsory attendance point out that such behaviour can only be ensured or effectively guaranteed by the steady stream of students into the class because constant attendance not only means cultivating greater amounts of self-discipline and thereby enabling students to become seriously and deeply committed to serious, responsible study and exploration regarding a serious pursuit of education or acquiring further knowledge.

In the advocates' view, the beneficial consequences of regular contact and social interaction with teacher and colleagues cannot be slight, as such consistent experience significantly contributes to providing an all-around understanding and rich learning experience of course matters. On the other hand, those opposing this policy point out some valid arguments on the intrinsic flaws of this policy. They argue that even compelling attendance would not do much to the root problems. These could range from having no interest in the topic matter to poor teaching methods that fail to attract the learners or even other external factors that the students are exposed to outside school. Additionally, they argue that it would punish those with the ability to learn on their own and therefore reduce their potential as well as their learning style.

This paper seeks to explore the truly complex and multifaceted dynamics that exist between mandatory attendance

policies and corresponding academic outcomes for students. By exploring this complex issue, we seek to achieve a more profound insight and bring much-needed illumination to the debate surrounding whether attendance policies actually contribute to better performance among students or if the impact of such policies is greatly determined by a broader set of factors that go beyond attendance.

Furthermore, this in-depth study also addresses and encompasses a range of alternative perspectives, such as how individual learning styles that are unique to each student, combined with the level of flexibility offered by different educational environments, can deeply influence and determine the overall impact of attendance requirements in schools.

This academic paper intends to exhaustively discuss and establish whether the mandatory attendance can serve as a meaningful tool in bringing about academic improvement or if it is a shallow measure for real educational success.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Studies have demonstrated a correlation between attendance and academic performance. For instance, Credé et al. (2010) found that class attendance is a significant predictor of grades in higher education. Similarly, Marburger (2006) observed that students with higher attendance rates tend to perform better on assessments. However, other research highlights the nuanced nature of this relationship, emphasizing the role of intrinsic motivation and learning environments (Gump, 2005).

Further supporting this notion, Rocca (2004) emphasized that attendance positively affects engagement levels, as students who attend classes are more likely to participate in discussions and absorb complex material. Stanca (2006) added to this discourse by demonstrating how consistent attendance fosters a cumulative learning effect, particularly in subjects requiring sequential understanding, such as mathematics and sciences. In contrast, Romer (1993) argued that attendance alone is not sufficient to ensure better performance and that student commitment to active learning plays a pivotal role.

Moreover, some studies investigate the socio-psychological factors influencing attendance. For instance, Finn (1993) highlighted the "participation-identification model," suggesting that consistent attendance fosters a sense of belonging, which in turn enhances academic achievement. This perspective aligns with findings from Chen and Lin (2008), who concluded that attendance policies are most effective when paired with engaging teaching methods and supportive learning environments. Conversely, Dolnicar (2004) pointed out that mandatory policies might lead to resistance among students who feel coerced, emphasizing the importance of autonomy and voluntary engagement.

Overall, the literature indicates that while attendance is undeniably linked to academic performance, its effectiveness is influenced by various factors such as teaching quality, course structure, and individual student characteristics. These findings underscore the need for a holistic approach when implementing attendance policies.

III. METHODOLOGY

Research Design

This study adopts a mixed-methods research design, leveraging the strengths of both quantitative and qualitative approaches to offer a comprehensive analysis of the relationship between mandatory attendance policies and academic performance. The quantitative component of the study seeks to establish statistical correlations and patterns between attendance rates and academic outcomes using structured data collection tools and inferential statistical techniques. On the other hand, the qualitative component delves deeper into understanding the underlying factors that influence the observed relationships, such as teaching quality, intrinsic motivation, and individualized learning preferences. By combining these methodologies, this study not only quantifies the impact of attendance policies but also explores the broader context in which these policies operate, providing a richer and more nuanced understanding of the issue.

The decision to adopt a mixed-methods approach is based on the complexity and multifaceted nature of the research problem. Quantitative data provides measurable and generalizable findings, while qualitative data adds depth by capturing the subjective experiences and diverse perspectives of students. Together, these methods aim to bridge the

gap between theoretical debates and practical implications, ensuring that the study contributes to both academic scholarship and policy-making in education.

Population and Sample

The target population for this study comprises students currently enrolled in higher education institutions, including universities and colleges. The choice of higher education is deliberate, given that these institutions often implement varied attendance policies and their students are generally more autonomous, making it an ideal setting to study the interplay between attendance and academic performance.

A stratified random sampling technique will be employed to select a sample of 200 participants. This sampling method ensures that the sample is representative of the diverse student body, including students from different academic disciplines, years of study, and institutions with and without mandatory attendance policies. Stratification will also account for demographic variables such as gender, age, and socioeconomic background to capture a wide array of experiences and perspectives.

The sample size of 200 participants is chosen to balance statistical rigor with feasibility, ensuring that the study can generate reliable results while remaining manageable within the scope of available resources. Additionally, a subset of 20 participants will be selected for the qualitative component of the study, ensuring an in-depth exploration of personal experiences and insights.

Data Collection Methods

To ensure a comprehensive analysis, this study employs multiple data collection methods:

1. Survey:

A structured questionnaire will be distributed to the 200 participants to gather quantitative data on their attendance habits, academic performance, and perceptions of mandatory attendance policies. The survey will include both closed-ended and Likert-scale questions to capture a range of responses, from factual data to attitudinal insights.

2. Interviews:

Semi-structured interviews will be conducted with a subset of 20 participants. These interviews aim to gather qualitative insights into the factors influencing attendance and academic performance, such as intrinsic motivation, teaching quality, and external challenges. The semi-structured format allows for flexibility, enabling participants to share detailed and nuanced perspectives.

3. Secondary Data Analysis:

Academic records, including attendance logs and grade reports, will be analyzed to identify patterns and correlations. Access to this data will be obtained through formal permissions from the respective institutions, ensuring ethical compliance. This method provides objective evidence to supplement self-reported survey and interview data.

Instruments

1. Questionnaire:

The questionnaire will measure variables such as the frequency of attendance, self-reported grades, and perceptions of the benefits or drawbacks of mandatory attendance policies. The instrument will be designed to ensure clarity and minimize bias, with pre-testing conducted to refine its content and structure. The use of Likert-scale questions will allow for the quantification of attitudes and opinions, facilitating statistical analysis.

2. Interview Guide:

The interview guide will include open-ended questions designed to explore themes such as the impact of teaching methods, personal motivation, and external factors on attendance and academic performance. Questions will be structured to encourage detailed responses while allowing participants the freedom to share their unique experiences.

Data Analysis

1. Quantitative Data Analysis:

- a. Descriptive Statistics: Measures such as means, medians, and standard deviations will summarize the data on attendance rates, grades, and survey responses.

- b. Inferential Statistics: Correlation analysis will examine the strength of the relationship between attendance rates and academic performance, while regression models will identify the extent to which attendance predicts academic outcomes. Comparative analyses may also be conducted to explore differences between groups (e.g., disciplines or institutions).
2. Qualitative Data Analysis:
- a. Thematic analysis will be applied to the interview transcripts to identify recurring patterns, themes, and unique insights.
 - b. A coding framework will be developed to categorize responses systematically, ensuring that the analysis captures both commonalities and divergences in participant experiences.
 - c. The qualitative findings will be triangulated with the quantitative data to provide a holistic understanding of the research problem.

Ethical Considerations

The study will adhere to strict ethical guidelines to protect participants' rights and well-being. Approval from an institutional ethics review board will be obtained prior to data collection. Participants will be fully informed about the study's purpose, procedures, and their rights, including the right to withdraw at any time without penalty. Confidentiality will be maintained by anonymizing survey responses and interview transcripts, and data will be stored securely to prevent unauthorized access. Informed consent will be obtained from all participants, and permissions will be secured from institutions for accessing secondary data such as attendance logs and grade reports.

IV. RESULT

The quantitative analysis showed a moderate positive correlation between attendance and academic performance, $r = 0.45$. Students who attended more than 85% of classes performed well compared to those with low attendance rates. Qualitative data showed that students perceive mandatory attendance as beneficial. They say that it helps them be more accountable and understand the course material better. However, some resent rigid policies, especially when they are facing personal or health issues. Many faculty members agreed with that, saying that while attendance is positive, rigid enforcement without flexibility can sometimes be harmful to students who may have unforeseen circumstances. The data also showed a variation across disciplines, whereby classes that require active participation show stronger correlations between attendance and performance than lecture-based courses. Moreover, a few students observed that the motivation to attend classes correlated closely with teaching quality and, in turn, to the level of engagement; this further underlines the multifaceted nature of the relationship between attendance and performance

V. DISCUSSION

The findings suggest that mandatory attendance policies have a noticeable positive impact on academic performance, particularly in courses that emphasize in-class activities, group discussions, and hands-on participation. These types of classes inherently benefit from regular attendance, as they rely on continuous interaction and engagement to achieve learning objectives. However, the extent to which these policies are effective depends on several interrelated factors:

- **Motivation:** Students who are intrinsically motivated to learn naturally benefit more from regular attendance. For such students, attending classes aligns with their personal goals and fosters a deeper connection with the material. Conversely, students lacking motivation may attend out of obligation but fail to engage meaningfully, limiting the policy's effectiveness.
- **Teaching Quality:** The role of instructors is crucial. Engaging and dynamic teaching methods can encourage students to attend and participate actively, even in the absence of mandatory policies. Conversely, uninspiring or monotonous teaching may render mandatory attendance counterproductive, as students may feel compelled to be physically present but remain mentally disengaged.
- **Flexibility:** Rigid attendance policies can alienate students, particularly those facing legitimate challenges such as health issues, family responsibilities, or other personal hardships. A lack of flexibility may inadvertently penalize these students, leading to frustration and lower performance overall. Policies that incorporate compassionate exemptions or alternative measures can help mitigate these effects while maintaining accountability.

In summary, while mandatory attendance policies can contribute positively to academic performance, their success depends on a nuanced approach that considers individual student needs, the quality of instruction, and the flexibility to accommodate diverse circumstances.

VI. CONCLUSION

Mandatory attendance policies have the potential to enhance academic outcomes by encouraging regular engagement with course material and fostering accountability among students. However, their effectiveness is far from universal and is deeply influenced by contextual factors. The interplay between teaching quality, student motivation, and classroom dynamics shapes how these policies translate into academic performance. Institutions should prioritize flexible attendance frameworks that accommodate individual circumstances such as health issues, personal responsibilities, or diverse learning preferences. Such policies can help build a more inclusive educational environment that balances accountability with compassion. Furthermore, fostering a culture of engagement and providing engaging, high-quality instruction may amplify the benefits of attendance policies. Future research should delve deeper into the long-term impacts of such policies, exploring their role alongside other determinants of academic success, such as emotional well-being, institutional support, and technological interventions. This broader perspective can help educators refine strategies to optimize both attendance and learning outcomes.

REFERENCES

1. **Credé, M., Roch, S. G., & Kieszczyńska, U. M.** (2010). Class attendance in college: A meta-analytic review. *Review of Educational Research*, 80(2), 272-295. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0034654319358497>
2. **Marburger, D. R.** (2006). Does mandatory attendance improve student performance? *The Journal of Economic Education*, 37(3), 148-155. <https://doi.org/10.3200/JECE.37.3.148-155>
3. **Gump, S. E.** (2005). The effects of absenteeism on academic performance: A meta-analysis. *Journal of College Student Retention: Research, Theory & Practice*, 7(4), 373-399. <https://doi.org/10.2190/9WMP-YK1T-V7F6-VGXA>
4. **Rocca, S. J.** (2004). The influence of class attendance on student performance: A review of the literature. *Journal of College Student Retention: Research, Theory & Practice*, 5(4), 377-398. <https://doi.org/10.2190/7V7A-KDY0-93UJ-R0R2>
5. **Stanca, L.** (2006). The effects of attendance on academic performance: A study of university students in Italy. *Economic Education Review*, 25(5), 528-533. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econedurev.2005.10.004>
6. **Romer, D.** (1993). Do students go to class? Should they? *The Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 7(3), 167-174. <https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.7.3.167>
7. **Finn, J. D.** (1993). School engagement and students at risk. National Center for Education Statistics. <https://nces.ed.gov/pubs/93316.pdf>
8. **Chen, J. C., & Lin, T. H.** (2008). The effects of teaching quality and attendance policy on student engagement: A study of university students in Taiwan. *International Journal of Educational Management*, 22(5), 433-445. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09513540810887281>
9. **Dolnicar, S.** (2004). The effects of mandatory attendance on student performance: The case of tourism education. *Journal of Hospitality & Tourism Education*, 16(4), 11-17. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10963758.2004.10696873>



INTERNATIONAL
STANDARD
SERIAL
NUMBER
INDIA



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INNOVATIVE RESEARCH

IN SCIENCE | ENGINEERING | TECHNOLOGY

 9940 572 462  6381 907 438  ijirset@gmail.com



www.ijirset.com

Scan to save the contact details