

e-ISSN: 2319-8753 | p-ISSN: 2347-6710

1EDIA

International Journal of Innovative Research in SCIENCE | ENGINEERING | TECHNOLOGY

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INNOVATIVE RESEARCH

IN SCIENCE | ENGINEERING | TECHNOLOGY

Volume 13, Issue 11, November 2024

INTERNATIONAL STANDARD SERIAL NUMBER INDIA

Impact Factor: 8.524

9940 572 462 🔊

6381 907 438







www.ijirset.com |A Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Referred Journal| e-ISSN: 2319-8753| p-ISSN: 2347-6710|

Volume 13, Issue 11, November 2024

|DOI: 10.15680/IJIRSET.2024.1311185|

No Due Portal

Sowndarya.V, Oviya.R, Subhiksha.S, Varshini.G

Third Year Bachelor of Technology, Department of Information Technology, Sri Shakthi Institute of Engineering and

Technology, (Autonomous) Coimbatore, India

ABSTRACT: User Roles and Login: The system includes login and registration for two roles: students and faculty, each with distinct privileges and access. Student Dashboard: Students can view their subjects and the handling faculty, and request no-due certificates from each faculty member. Approval Process: Faculty members can approve or reject the no-due requests, and once all are approved, the request is sent to the HOD. Final Approval: The HOD reviews and gives a digital signature for final approval, allowing the student to proceed with end-semester exams.

I. INTRODUCTION

The No-Due Portal is a digital solution designed to automate and streamline the no-due clearance process in academic institutions. Traditionally, students are required to obtain clearance from various faculty members and departments to ensure they have met all academic and administrative requirements before they can proceed to register for end-of-semester exams. This often involves multiple rounds of manual paperwork, in-person approvals, and considerable administrative effort. The No-Due Portal addresses these challenges by digitizing the entire workflow, providing a centralized, role-based platform for students, faculty, and administrators.

Student Dashboard for Request Management: The student dashboard is designed to be an intuitive, one-stop interface: Students can monitor each subject and track the status of individual no-due requests. Automated notifications keep students updated on approvals or rejections, helping them act promptly on pending issues. The dashboard simplifies the overall experience by consolidating all no-due processes into one accessible location. Approval Workflow for Faculty: Faculty members review requests based on academic compliance (e.g., attendance, assignments, and fees):They can approve requests instantly or reject with a reason, allowing students to address any issues. This clear responsibility allocation reduces administrative burden and empowers faculty to efficiently manage requests in real-time. HOD's Final Approval and Digital Signature: The Head of Department's role is essential for final authorization: After all faculty members have approved a student's no-due requests, the HOD receives a consolidated view of the student's clearance status. The HOD's digital signature marks the completion of the process, ensuring that the student is fully eligible for end-of-semester exams or other academic milestones.

Role-Based Access and Security: The No-Due Portal is designed with specific roles for students, faculty, and administrators (such as the Head of Department). Each role has a tailored user interface and access privileges to ensure data security and streamline access to relevant features :Students: Can view enrolled courses, assigned faculty, and submit no-due requests directly from their dashboard. Faculty: Have dedicated access to view, approve, or reject requests for the subjects they handle. Head of Department (HOD): Provides the final verification and digital signature after all faculty approvals are complete. Student Dashboard for Request Management: The student dashboard is designed to be an intuitive, one-stop interface: Students can monitor each subject and track the status of individual no-due requests. Automated notifications keep students updated on approvals or rejections, helping them act promptly on pending issues. The dashboard simplifies the overall experience by consolidating all no-due processes into one accessible location. Approval Workflow for Faculty: Faculty members review requests based on academic compliance (e.g., attendance, assignments, and fees): They can approve requests instantly or reject with a reason, allowing students to address any issues. This clear responsibility allocation reduces administrative burden and empowers faculty to efficiently manage requests in real-time. HOD's Final Approval and Digital Signature: The Head of Department's role is essential for final authorization: After all faculty members have approved a student's no-due requests, the HOD receives a consolidated view of the student's clearance status.





www.ijirset.com |A Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Referred Journal| e-ISSN: 2319-8753| p-ISSN: 2347-6710|

Volume 13, Issue 11, November 2024

|DOI: 10.15680/IJIRSET.2024.1311185|

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

The no-due clearance process is a critical component in academic institutions, ensuring that students have fulfilled their academic and administrative obligations before they are allowed to sit for end-of-semester exams. Traditionally, this process has been manual, involving students physically visiting different departments to obtain clearances. However, with the rise of digital solutions in higher education, automated no-due clearance portals have emerged to streamline this procedure, enhancing both efficiency and accountability. This literature review explores existing research on no-due clearance systems, digital approval processes, and related technologies in educational settings to highlight best practices, common challenges, and the potential benefits of a no-due portal.

The Need for Automated Clearance Systems in Higher Education: Research highlights the limitations of manual clearance processes in academic institutions, noting issues such as inefficiency, administrative burden, and increased likelihood of errors.

Role-Based Access and Digital Approval Systems: A core component of no-due portals is their role-based structure, which aligns with findings in the literature that emphasize the importance of role-based access control in digital systems.

Efficiency and Accountability in Digital Approval Systems: The benefits of digital approval workflows have been widely studied, particularly in organizational and academic settings where approvals are essential for maintaining accountability.

Final Approval and Digital Signatures in Academic Workflows: The use of digital signatures in academic portals has gained popularity as institutions seek to provide secure, legally recognized, and efficient final approvals.

Impact on Student Satisfaction and Institutional Efficiency: Several studies focus on the positive impact of digital nodue systems on student satisfaction and institutional efficiency. Additionally, institutions benefit from reduced administrative costs and resource requirements, as digital systems require less personnel involvement in day-to-day operations. Moreover, a streamlined process reduces the risk of bottlenecks, particularly during exam season when the demand for clearance is high.

Challenges and Considerations in Implementing No-Due Portals: Despite the benefits, implementing a no-due portal is not without challenges. Research identifies several factors that institutions need to address for a successful implementation, including system integration with existing student information systems, training for both students and faculty, and data security (Iqbal & Qureshi, 2012). Compatibility with legacy systems is often a barrier, requiring institutions to invest in infrastructure upgrades or middleware solutions for seamless integration (Gupta et al., 2018). Furthermore, data security and privacy concerns are paramount, as student records contain sensitive information. Studies emphasize the need for robust encryption and authentication measures to prevent unauthorized access and ensure compliance with data protection regulations.

III. METHODOLOGY

The development of a no-due portal begins with Requirement Gathering and Analysis, a phase that involves identifying the specific needs of students, faculty, and administrators through interviews, surveys, and workshops. This step is essential for understanding how each role interacts with the system and for documenting the key features that will guide the portal's functionality, such as request submission, role-based approvals, and real-time tracking. Following this, an Agile Development Process is used to ensure flexibility and responsiveness to stakeholder feedback. By breaking down the project into iterative sprints, each covering a different feature (e.g., login, dashboard, or approval workflows), developers can refine and adjust components based on user feedback after each sprint, resulting in a system closely aligned with user needs.

Parallel to database design is the User Interface and User Experience Design process, which focuses on developing a user-friendly, responsive interface for all user roles. Through wireframes, prototypes, and usability testing, designers can optimize navigation and simplify workflows to make the portal intuitive, accessible, and functional for students, faculty, and administrators. With a finalized design in place, the Development of Core Functional Modules begins, each





www.ijirset.com |A Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Referred Journal| e-ISSN: 2319-8753| p-ISSN: 2347-6710|

Volume 13, Issue 11, November 2024

|DOI: 10.15680/IJIRSET.2024.1311185|

module addressing specific features like user authentication, request handling, or digital approvals. Using modular programming and RESTful API design, developers can build each module independently, making the portal adaptable and straightforward to maintain.

Testing and Quality Assurance is a critical step, where the system is evaluated through unit, integration, system, and security tests to ensure functionality, performance, and data security. User Acceptance Testing with actual users offers insights into real-world usability and potential areas for improvement.

IV. PLATFORM FEATURES AND FUNCTIONALITY

The No-Due Portal is designed to streamline and digitize the academic clearance process for students, faculty, and administrators. By offering a centralized platform with role-specific features, the portal reduces administrative overhead and enhances transparency and accountability. Below are the key features and functionalities of the no-due portal, categorized by user role and overall system capabilities.

Student Dashboard and Features

Personalized Dashboard: Students have access to a dashboard that displays their enrolled subjects, the corresponding faculty handling each subject, and the status of their no-due requests. This interface provides a central view of all required clearances, helping students manage their requests efficiently.

No-Due Request Submission: Students can submit no-due requests to specific faculty members. Each request is associated with a subject or requirement (e.g., library, labs), allowing students to request multiple clearances from one dashboard.

Real-Time Status Tracking: Students can view the real-time status of each request, tracking whether it has been approved, rejected, or is pending faculty review. This feature minimizes the need for follow-ups and keeps students informed at each stage.

Notifications and Alerts: Automated notifications inform students when their requests are approved, rejected, or escalated for further review, ensuring that students are always aware of the current status without needing to check manually.

Document and Requirement Overview: Students can access a checklist of requirements or documents needed for final clearance, such as submission of assignments, attendance percentage, or library returns, helping them full fill all obligations in a timely manner.

Faculty Dashboard and Features:

Approval Management Dashboard: Faculty members have their own dashboard where they can view pending no-due requests from students. Each request includes details of the student and subject, allowing faculty to review compliance with requirements such as attendance and assignments.

Approval and Rejection Options: Faculty can approve or reject student requests based on the student's fulfillment of specific requirements. A rejection includes a reason that is sent to the student, helping them understand any outstanding obligations.

Request History and Logs: Faculty members have access to a history of all approved and rejected requests, providing an overview of their activity. This helps them keep track of interactions and decisions, which is useful during high-volume periods, like exam season.

Automated Reminders: To ensure timely action on pending requests, the system sends automated reminders to faculty for requests that have not been addressed within a set time, reducing potential delays in the clearance process.

Head of Department (HOD) Dashboard and Features:

Final Approval Interface: The HOD has access to a comprehensive dashboard that displays no-due requests approved by all relevant faculty members. Once reviewed, the HOD can provide final approval by digitally signing the student's





www.ijirset.com |A Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Referred Journal| e-ISSN: 2319-8753| p-ISSN: 2347-6710|

Volume 13, Issue 11, November 2024

|DOI: 10.15680/IJIRSET.2024.1311185|

no-due clearance, marking it as fully authorized for exam eligibility.

Bulk Approval Option: During peak times, such as the end of the semester, the HOD can utilize a bulk approval feature to expedite the approval process for students who have already received all necessary departmental approvals.

Reports and Analytics: The HOD dashboard includes reporting tools that provide insights into the number of requests processed, average approval times, and common reasons for rejections, helping administrators optimize the process.

Administrator and System-Level Features:

User and Role Management: Administrators can manage user accounts for students, faculty, and HODs, including setting access levels, resetting passwords, and updating user information as needed. This ensures that all users have the appropriate permissions based on their roles.

Data and Security Management: The portal includes data encryption, access control, and audit logs to protect sensitive information. Administrators have tools to monitor system activity, ensuring data privacy and compliance with institutional policies.

V. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE DISCUSSION

The implementation of a no-due portal in educational institutions offers a modern, streamlined approach to managing the academic clearance process. By digitizing the traditionally paper-based no-due system, the portal enhances efficiency, transparency, and accountability across departments. With its role-based access, real-time tracking, and automated notifications, the portal reduces administrative overhead for faculty, students, and administrators alike. Additionally, features like digital signatures for final approvals and integration with Student Information Systems (SIS) ensure the process is secure, compliant, and adaptable. The no-due portal not only saves time and resources but also provides students with a clearer path to meet all necessary requirements, allowing them to proceed seamlessly with academic processes like end-semester exams.

Future Discussion: Future development of the no-due portal could explore advanced functionalities and technologies to further enhance its effectiveness. For instance, incorporating AI-based analytics could help administrators identify common reasons for request delays or rejections, allowing for proactive interventions. Another area for exploration is blockchain technology to provide immutable and verifiable records of each approval, ensuring greater trust and transparency, especially in academic verifications. Furthermore, user feedback analysis can guide improvements in UI/UX design to enhance accessibility and ease of use. As institutions increasingly move toward digital transformation, the no-due portal can evolve to become a comprehensive academic clearance solution that adapts to the changing needs of higher education, supporting students and faculty through their academic journeys with greater efficiency and security.

REFERENCES

- 1. Sandhu, R. S., Coyne, E. J., Feinstein, H. L., & Youman, C. E. (1996). "Role-based access control models." IEEE Computer, 29(2), 38-47.
- 2. Garrison, D. R., & Vaughan, N. D. (2008). Blended Learning in Higher Education: Framework, Principles, and Guidelines. San Francisco: John Wiley & Sons.
- 3. Gupta, S., Pal, A., & Patel, D. (2016). "Review on Automation of College Clearance Process." International Journal of Computer Applications, 142(11), 1-5.
- 4. Kumar, S., Rajput, A., & Sachan, K. (2017). "Digital Approval Systems: A Paradigm Shift in Documentation." Journal of Digital Innovation in Education, 3(2), 112-118.
- 5. Iqbal, M., & Qureshi, M. A. (2012). "Barriers to digital transformation in higher education: A review of the literature." Educational Technology & Society, 15(3), 145-158.
- Chen, Y.-H., & Tsou, H.-T. (2012). "Performance effects of IT capability, service process innovation, and the mediating role of service performance in IT-enabled service environments." Information & Management, 49(3-4), 168-177.
- 7. Mollah, M. B., Islam, M. R., & Islam, S. S. (2012). "Next generation of digital signatures to secure e-documents in e-governance." International Journal of Computer Science and Network Security, 12(5), 21-26.





www.ijirset.com |A Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Referred Journal| e-ISSN: 2319-8753| p-ISSN: 2347-6710|

Volume 13, Issue 11, November 2024

|DOI: 10.15680/IJIRSET.2024.1311185|

- 8. Chugh, R., & Ruhi, U. (2018). "Social media in higher education: A literature review of social media in teaching and learning." Education and Information Technologies, 23, 605–640.
- 9. Patil, S., Ganapathy, A., & Warrier, U. (2019). "Digital Transformation in University Processes: A Case Study." Journal of Education and Learning, 13(2), 98-109.
- 10. Rogerson, S., & Scott, M. (2019). "Data Privacy in Digital Education Systems." Journal of Information Ethics, 28(1), 76-89.









INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INNOVATIVE RESEARCH

IN SCIENCE | ENGINEERING | TECHNOLOGY





www.ijirset.com