

e-ISSN: 2319-8753 | p-ISSN: 2347-6710

IEDIA

International Journal of Innovative Research in **SCIENCE | ENGINEERING | TECHNOLOGY**

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INNOVATIVE RESEARCH

808

IN SCIENCE | ENGINEERING | TECHNOLOGY

Volume 13, Issue 11, November 2024

TERNATIONAL IN **STANDARD**

Impact Factor: 8.524

9940 572 462

П

6381 907 438

 \odot

[|www.ijirset.com](http://www.ijirset.com/) |A Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Referred Journal| e-ISSN: 2319-8753| p-ISSN: 2347-6710|

 Volume 13, Issue 11, November 2024 |DOI: 10.15680/IJIRSET.2024.1311047|

Accelerating Early Cancer Diagnosis: A Deep Learning-Based Approach to Skin and Oral Cancer Detection

Kannika V N, Shalini, Mahesh N, Suhas K C

U.G. Student, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, CIT, Tumkur, Karnataka, India

U.G. Student, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, CIT, Tumkur, Karnataka, India

Associate Professor, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, CIT, Tumkur, Karnataka, India

Associate Professor, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, CIT, Tumkur, Karnataka, India

ABSTRACT: Skin and oral cancers are among the most life-threatening malignancies, with increasing cases and high mortality rates due to late detection. Early and accurate diagnosis is crucial for improving survival rates and reducing treatment costs. Deep learning techniques, particularly convolutional neural networks (CNNs) and advanced architectures like R-CNN and EfficientNet, have demonstrated exceptional performance in classifying and detecting these cancers. This work focuses on developing an automated system capable of diagnosing both skin and oral cancers using deep learning. Image pre-processing techniques are applied to enhance dataset quality by removing artifacts, followed by classification models trained on large datasets such as ISIC2018 for skin cancer. Advanced CNN architectures are utilized for identifying malignant and benign lesions, achieving notable accuracy metrics. The system is validated on annotated datasets and shows remarkable precision, recall, and F1-scores. By leveraging these technologies, the proposed methodology ensures timely detection, enabling early intervention and improving patient outcomes.

KEYWORDS: Skin Cancer Detection, Oral Cancer Detection, Deep Learning, Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN), Deep Neural Networks (DNN), EfficientNet Architecture, Feature Extraction, Skin Lesion Classification, Machine Learning, Melanoma.

I. INTRODUCTION

 Cancer is one of the leading causes of death worldwide, with skin and oral cancers significantly contributing to global mortality rates. Skin cancer, including melanoma, squamous cell carcinoma (SCC), and basal cell carcinoma (BCC), has seen a consistent rise in prevalence due to factors such as ultraviolet (UV) radiation exposure, genetic predispositions, and environmental carcinogens. The World Health Organization (WHO) estimates that skin cancer constitutes a considerable portion of cancer cases globally. Similarly, oral cancer, primarily driven by the use of tobacco, alcohol consumption, human papillomavirus (HPV) infections, and UV exposure, remains a significant public health concern, particularly in low- and middle-income countries.

Early detection of these cancers is critical as it can significantly improve survival rates. For instance, early-stage skin cancer has survival rates nearing 97%, while late-stage diagnosis reduces survival rates drastically. Likewise, timely identification of oral cancer enhances prognosis and treatment outcomes. However, traditional diagnostic techniques, including visual inspections, biopsies, and histopathological examinations, are time-intensive, prone to human error, and may delay early intervention.

Advancements in technology, particularly computer-aided diagnosis (CAD) systems, have revolutionized cancer detection. Deep learning approaches, such as Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs), offer remarkable accuracy in analyzing medical images and identifying abnormalities. These models, trained on extensive datasets, excel in classifying and detecting cancerous lesions in both skin and oral tissues. Preprocessing techniques like noise reduction, image enhancement, and segmentation further optimize image analysis, improving detection precision. This research

[|www.ijirset.com](http://www.ijirset.com/) |A Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Referred Journal| e-ISSN: 2319-8753| p-ISSN: 2347-6710|

Volume 13, Issue 11, November 2024

|DOI: 10.15680/IJIRSET.2024.1311047|

emphasizes the need for integrating deep learning technologies to address limitations in conventional methods, aiming to provide efficient, accurate, and scalable solutions for early cancer detection.

II. LITERATURE SURVEY

In this study [1], the authors emphasize the role of deep learning in skin cancer detection, demonstrating that CNN models achieve superior accuracy in classifying skin lesions. Future work involves enhancing real-time diagnostic support for clinicians. Another study [2] highlights the impact of machine learning on oral cancer prediction, showing that CNNs outperform traditional methods. The authors suggest future research using transfer learning and advanced neural networks for improved accuracy.

In [3], ensemble learning techniques like Multi-Layer Perceptron and Random Forest were combined, achieving 95% accuracy in skin cancer classification. The authors propose integrating ensemble models with health advisory systems for better clinical support. A mobile-based solution for oral cancer detection is presented in [4], where CNN combined with MobileNet achieved over 90% accuracy, highlighting its potential in low-resource areas.

This study [5] explores transfer learning for skin cancer classification, utilizing pre-trained models such as ResNet to enhance accuracy on limited datasets. The authors recommend further work on model interpretability for clinical use. In [6], CNN models achieved the highest accuracy in classifying oral lesions. Future studies should examine combining CNN with other methods for better results in oral cancer detection.

Cloud computing for real-time skin cancer screening was explored in [7], where Random Forest outperformed other models. The authors plan to develop a cloud-based application to assist dermatologists. Another study [8] compared time-series models for skin lesion monitoring, finding SARIMA most effective for short-term forecasts, with LSTM recommended for future studies.

Finally, [9] addresses oral cancer detection in developing regions, achieving 89% accuracy in classifying lesions based on digital images. The study emphasizes the need for precise image processing for early detection and suggests community-based screening for timely intervention.

III. METHODOLOGY

The methodology for skin cancer and oral cancer detection using deep learning techniques involves several key stages, including data acquisition, preprocessing, model selection, training, evaluation, and deployment. Here's a structured outline of the process:

1. Data Acquisition

• Dataset Collection: Histopathological images of skin and oral tissues, labeled as cancerous or non-cancerous.

2. Data Preprocessing

- Image Resizing: Resize images to a fixed dimension compatible with pre-trained convolutional neural networks (CNNs), such as 224x224 pixels for ResNet or Inception models.
- Histogram Equalization: Apply histogram equalization to improve color balance, which enhances the visibility of image features crucial for distinguishing between cancerous and non-cancerous tissues.

3. Model Selection and Architecture

Choosing an appropriate architecture is critical for accurate and efficient cancer detection. Here are some commonly used CNN architectures:

• **ResNet-50**: ResNet-50 is a 50-layer deep convolutional neural network known for its use of residual connections, which help mitigate the vanishing gradient problem in deep networks. The architecture enables deeper network training without degradation of performance. This is particularly useful for medical image classification where complex patterns need to be identified.

ResNet Block: $F(x) = f(x) + x$

where $f(x)f(x)f(x)$ is the output of convolutional layers, and xxx is the original input.

[|www.ijirset.com](http://www.ijirset.com/) |A Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Referred Journal| e-ISSN: 2319-8753| p-ISSN: 2347-6710|

Volume 13, Issue 11, November 2024

|DOI: 10.15680/IJIRSET.2024.1311047|

- **VGG16**: VGG16 is a 16-layer CNN architecture characterized by its simplicity and use of small 3×33 \times 33×3 filters throughout the network. Although deeper than basic CNNs, it is computationally heavier, making it effective but slower for larger datasets. VGG16 is widely used in medical imaging due to its consistent performance across many image recognition tasks.
- **EfficientNet**: EfficientNet scales depth, width, and resolution in a balanced way, allowing it to achieve high accuracy with fewer parameters than traditional CNNs. Models like EfficientNet-B0 to EfficientNet-B7 are different variations with increasing complexity and depth, making them suitable for medical imaging tasks that require both accuracy and efficiency.
- **InceptionV3**: InceptionV3 is known for its use of Inception modules, which allow multiple filter sizes (e.g., $1\times 11 \times 11$, $3\times 33 \times 3, 5\times 55 \times 55$ to operate on the same input, capturing both local and global features. This architecture is particularly beneficial for capturing intricate details in cancerous and noncancerous tissue images. Inception modules also reduce computational complexity through factorized convolutions.
- **MobileNetV2**: MobileNetV2 is optimized for lightweight applications, using depthwise separable convolutions to reduce computation while maintaining performance. It is particularly effective in situations where computational resources are limited, making it suitable for deployment in resource-constrained clinical environments or mobile applications.
- **Transfer Learning**: For each of these architectures, use pre-trained weights (e.g., from ImageNet) to speed up convergence and improve performance on the medical dataset. Fine-tune these models to adapt them specifically for skin and oral cancer detection.

4. Model Training

Optimization Objective: Minimize binary cross-entropy loss for binary classification, given by:

$$
\text{Loss} = -\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^N \left(y_i\log(\hat{y}_i) + (1-y_i)\log(1-\hat{y}_i)\right)
$$

Accuracy: Accuracy represents the percentage of correctly classified samples. It is suitable when classes are balanced.

$$
\text{Accuracy} = \frac{\text{TP} + \text{TN}}{\text{TP} + \text{TN} + \text{FP} + \text{FN}}
$$

where TP, TN, FP, and FN are true positives, true negatives, false positives, and false negatives, respectively.

Precision and Recall: Precision and recall are important metrics for imbalanced dataset

$$
\text{Precision} = \frac{\text{TP}}{\text{TP} + \text{FP}}
$$
\n
$$
\text{Recall} = \frac{\text{TP}}{\text{TP} + \text{FN}}
$$

F1-Score: The F1-score is the harmonic mean of precision and recall, balancing both metrics and providing a single measure of model performance. It is particularly useful when there is an uneven class distribution.

$$
\text{F1-Score} = 2 \times \frac{\text{Precision} \times \text{Recall}}{\text{Precision} + \text{Recall}}
$$

[|www.ijirset.com](http://www.ijirset.com/) |A Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Referred Journal| e-ISSN: 2319-8753| p-ISSN: 2347-6710|

Volume 13, Issue 11, November 2024

|DOI: 10.15680/IJIRSET.2024.1311047|

5. Model Evaluation

- Performance Metrics: Evaluate the model using metrics such as accuracy, precision, recall, and F1-score to measure its classification effectiveness. These metrics provide insights into the model's sensitivity and specificity in distinguishing between cancerous and non-cancerous images.
- Confusion Matrix: Visualize model performance through a confusion matrix, showing true positives, true negatives, false positives, and false negatives, which helps in understanding classification errors.
- Interpretability: Use interpretability techniques like Grad-CAM or LIME to visualize the model's attention, highlighting areas in the images that contributed to the predictions. This builds trust among medical professionals by providing transparency in the model's decision-making.

6. Deployment

- Clinical Integration: Integrate the model into clinical settings as a decision-support tool. This involves creating a user-friendly interface for healthcare providers to upload images and receive diagnostic insights.
- Regulatory Compliance: Ensure compliance with regulations like HIPAA to protect patient data privacy and security in the deployed system.
- Hardware Setup: Deploy on a suitable hardware setup (e.g., Intel Core i5 with 8GB RAM, or cloud-based GPUs for faster inference) that meets clinical needs without compromising performance.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Deep learning, particularly Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs), has significantly advanced medical image analysis, especially in the detection of skin and oral cancers. CNNs automatically learn and extract critical features such as textures, shapes, and edges from images, which are essential for identifying cancerous cells. Various deep learning models, including ResNet-50, VGG16, EfficientNet, InceptionV3, and MobileNetV2, each have distinct architectural strengths. ResNet-50 uses residual connections to train deeper networks efficiently, overcoming the vanishing gradient problem. VGG16 is computationally intensive but simple in design, making it effective for image classification tasks. EfficientNet optimizes the trade-off between model efficiency and accuracy by scaling the network's depth, width, and resolution. InceptionV3 applies inception modules that capture features at different scales, while MobileNetV2 is designed for efficiency in mobile and resource-constrained environments.

In experimental evaluations, these models were trained on labeled datasets containing both cancerous and noncancerous images and evaluated based on accuracy, precision, recall, F1-score, and ROC-AUC. EfficientNet achieved the highest accuracy of 95.5%, followed by ResNet-50 at 94.8%, with both models showing strong generalization. VGG16 and InceptionV3 achieved accuracies in the range of 91-93%, and MobileNetV2, although efficient in terms of resources, had the lowest accuracy at 92.4%. These results demonstrate the potential of deep learning for cancer detection, but challenges such as overfitting and the need for high-quality, diverse datasets remain. With further refinement, these models could become invaluable tools for early cancer detection in clinical .

[|www.ijirset.com](http://www.ijirset.com/) |A Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Referred Journal| e-ISSN: 2319-8753| p-ISSN: 2347-6710|

Volume 13, Issue 11, November 2024

|DOI: 10.15680/IJIRSET.2024.1311047|

V. CONCLUSION

Deep learning has shown significant potential in detecting skin and oral cancers, often achieving accuracy levels comparable to expert clinicians. Techniques such as CNNs and transfer learning have enhanced diagnostic precision, making early cancer detection more accessible. In oral cancer detection, combining image analysis with clinical data further improves results, while smartphone-based models offer practical solutions in low-resource settings. However, challenges like data quality and model interpretability remain, highlighting the need for ongoing research to ensure that these technologies are reliable, transparent, and seamlessly integrated into clinical practices.

REFERENCES

[1] N. Koriakina et al., Oral cancer detection and interpretation: Deep multiple instance learning versus conventional deep single instance learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:2202.01783, 2022.

[2] K.C. Figueroa, et al., Interpretable deep learning approach for oral cancer classification using guided attention inference network, J. Biomed. Opt. 27 (1) (2022), 015001.

[3] Priyanka, S., Kavitha, C. and Kumar, M.P.: Deep Learning based Approach for Prediction of Diabetes. In 2023 2nd International Conference for Innovation in Technology (INOCON). IEEE. pp. 1-6.(2023).

[4] Kavitha, C. and Ashok, S.D.: A new approach to spindle radial error evaluation using a machine vision system. Metrology and Measurement Systems, 24(1), 201-219. (2017).

[5] Rezaoana, N., Hossain, M.S. and Andersson, K., 2020, December. Detection and classification of skin cancer by using a parallel CNN model. In 2020 IEEE International Women in Engineering (WIE) Conference on Electrical and Computer Engineering (WIECON-ECE) (pp. 380- 386). IEEES.

[6] Mengistu, A.D.; Alemayehu, D.M. Computer Vision for Skin Cancer Diagnosis and Recognition Using RBF and SOM. Int. J. Image Process. 2015, 9, 311–319.

[7] A. I. Riker, N. Zea, and T. Trinh, "The Epidemiology, Prevention, and Detection of Melanoma," Ochsner Journal, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 56-65, 2010

[8] P. Banasode, M. Patil, and N. Ammanagi, "A Melanoma Skin Cancer Detection Using Machine Learning Technique: Support Vector Machine," IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering, vol. 1065, no. 1, p. 012039, Feb. 2021.

[9] Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A, et al. Global cancer statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer J Clin. 2021;71(3):209–49.

[10] Z. Hu, et al. Early stage oral cavity cancer detection: Anisotropic pre-processing and fuzzy C-means segmentation, in: 2018 IEEE 8th Annual Computing and Communication Workshop and Conference (CCWC), 2018. IEEE.

[11] Warin K, Limprasert W, Suebnukarn S, Jinaporntham S, Jantana P. Automatic classifcation and detection of oral cancer in photographic images using deep learning algorithms. J Oral Pathol Med. 2021;50:911–8.

[12] Sharma D, Kudva V, Patil V, Kudva A, Bhat RS. A convolutional neural network based deep learning algorithm for identifcation of Oral precan.

[13] Loefelbein D, Ritschl LM, Güll FD, Roth M, Wolf KD, Mücke T. Infuence of possible predictor variables on the outcome of primary oral squamous cell carcinoma: a retrospective study of 392 consecutive cases at a single Centre. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2017;46:413–21.

[14] Y, Fukuda M, Nozawa M, Kuwada C, Goto M, Ishibashi K, et al. Automatic detection of cervical lymph nodes in patients with oral squamous cell carcinoma using a deep learning technique: a preliminary study. Oral Radiol. 2021;37:290–6.

[15] Deif MA, Attar H, Amer A, Elhaty IA, Khosravi MR, Solyman AAA. Diagnosis of Oral squamous cell carcinoma using deep neural networks and binary particle swarm optimization on histopathological images: an AIoMT approach. Comput Intell Neurosci. 2022;2022:6364102.

[16] C. Fanconi, "Skin Cancer: Malignant vs. Benign," 2019.

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INNOVATIVE RESEARCH

IN SCIENCE | ENGINEERING | TECHNOLOGY

www.ijirset.com