

Volume 13, Issue 10, October 2024



Impact Factor: 8.524









www.ijirset.com |A Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Referred Journal| e-ISSN: 2319-8753| p-ISSN: 2347-6710|

Volume 13, Issue 10, October 2024

|DOI: 10.15680/IJIRSET.2024.1310028|

Review on Predicting Crop Yields with Machine Learning

Dr. Dinesh. D. Patil, Prof. Yogesh. S. Patil, Ms. Samiksha B. Shirsath

Associate Professor, Department of Computer Engineering, SSGBCOET, Bhusawal, India Assistant Professor, Department of Computer Engineering, SSGBCOET, Bhusawal, India P.G. Student, Department of Computer Engineering, SSGBCOET, Bhusawal, India

ABSTRACT: Crop prediction is a critical component of modern agriculture, enabling farmers to make informed decisions about crop selection and cultivation practices. Machine learning techniques have revolutionized this process by leveraging historical data, weather patterns, and various environmental factors to predict the most suitable crops for a given region. The proposed system begins with the collection of comprehensive data, including historical crop yields, weather conditions, soil properties, and geographic information for a specific area. Machine learning algorithms such as SVM, Random Forests and KNN are indispensable tools in the realm of agriculture. By analysing vast datasets, these models contribute to informed decision-making, helping farmers choose the most suitable crop varieties for future cultivation based on a comprehensive understanding of the underlying patterns and relationships in the data.

KEYWORDS: Crop Yield prediction, machine learning, Support Vector Machine, Neural Network

I. INTRODUCTION

Agriculture is the backbone of many economies around the world, providing food, fiber, and raw materials for various industries. As the global population continues to grow, the demand for agricultural products is increasing, making efficient and sustainable farming practices more crucial than ever. Crop prediction, the process of forecasting which crops are best suited for a specific region and when to plant them, plays a pivotal role in ensuring the success and sustainability of agriculture. Machine learning, a subset of artificial intelligence, has emerged as a powerful tool in this domain by leveraging data-driven techniques to make accurate predictions and informed decisions for crop selection and cultivation practices.

Traditional methods of crop selection and planting have typically relied on the knowledge and experience of farmers, local climate patterns, and historical practices. While these approaches have served well in the past, they may not be sufficiently equipped to address the challenges of modern agriculture, including climate change, shifting weather patterns, and the need for optimized resource management. This is where machine learning steps in to revolutionize the way we approach crop prediction.

Crop prediction using machine learning involves the utilization of historical data, including past crop yields, weather information, soil properties, geographic factors, and various environmental parameters. This data is used to train machine learning models, enabling them to identify intricate patterns, relationships, and dependencies among different variables. Once these models are trained, they can make predictions about which crop varieties are best suited for a particular region and when they should be planted to maximize yield and quality.

The power of machine learning in crop prediction is not limited to historical data alone. Real-time data sources, such as current weather conditions, satellite imagery, and IoT-based sensor networks, can be integrated into the models to provide up-to-date and accurate information for decision-making. This dynamic approach ensures that farmers and agricultural stakeholders have access to timely recommendations, enabling them to adapt to changing conditions and optimize their farming practices.

The proposed agricultural decision support system incorporates a range of machine learning algorithms, including Support Vector Machines (SVM), Random Forests, k-Nearest Neighbors (KNN), and neural networks. This system aims to enhance farming practices by utilizing diverse datasets encompassing soil composition, climate conditions, and





www.ijirset.com |A Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Referred Journal| e-ISSN: 2319-8753| p-ISSN: 2347-6710|

Volume 13, Issue 10, October 2024

|DOI: 10.15680/IJIRSET.2024.1310028|

historical crop performance. Through careful data preprocessing, feature engineering, and ensemble modeling, the system provides precise recommendations for optimal crop varieties based on localized conditions. The user-friendly interface allows farmers to easily access and interpret the recommendations, fostering informed decision-making.

II. LITERATURE SURVEY

1. "Machine Learning Approaches for Crop Yield Prediction and Nitrogen Status Estimation in Precision Agriculture"[13]

This paper explores the application of machine learning techniques, including random forests and support vector machines, for crop yield prediction and nitrogen status estimation. It focuses on the integration of remote sensing data and real-time sensor information to enhance prediction accuracy.

2. "A Review of Remote Sensing-Based Crop Mapping and Classification"[14]

This review paper provides an overview of remote sensing technologies and their use in crop mapping and classification. It discusses how machine learning models, particularly convolutional neural networks (CNNs) and deep learning, are applied to process satellite and drone imagery for accurate crop prediction.

3. "Machine Learning for Crop Disease Detection and Classification: A Survey"[9]

This survey paper explores the use of machine learning for crop disease detection. It discusses various image-based disease detection methods and the application of deep learning algorithms for accurate and early diagnosis of crop diseases.

4. "Crop Recommendation System for Precision Agriculture Using Machine Learning"[1]

This research focuses on building a crop recommendation system for precision agriculture. The paper utilizes machine learning algorithms to provide personalized crop recommendations based on historical data, soil conditions, and climate information.

5. "Crop Yield Prediction Using Machine Learning: A Systematic Literature Review"[7]

This systematic literature review summarizes the state of the art in crop yield prediction using machine learning. It covers various models, data sources, and approaches, offering insights into the strengths and limitations of different methods.

6. "IoT-Based Smart Agriculture: A Review"[2]

This review paper discusses the integration of the Internet of Things (IoT) in agriculture and its impact on crop prediction. It highlights how sensor networks and real-time data collection enhance machine learning models for more precise predictions.

algorithm.

III. METHODOLOGY

System Architecture:




Fig1: System Architecture





www.ijirset.com |A Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Referred Journal| e-ISSN: 2319-8753| p-ISSN: 2347-6710|

Volume 13, Issue 10, October 2024

|DOI: 10.15680/IJIRSET.2024.1310028|

Methodology:

1. Data Collection:

- a. Collect historical data on crop yields, weather conditions, and soil properties for the target region.
- b. Integrate real-time data sources such as weather forecasts, satellite imagery, and IoT sensor data.
- c. Utilize geographic information system (GIS) data to capture geographical factors.

2. Data Preprocessing:

- a. Clean and preprocess the collected data, addressing missing values, outliers, and inconsistencies.
- b. Standardize or normalize numerical features to ensure uniform scales.
- c. Encode categorical variables using techniques like one-hot encoding.

3. Feature Engineering:

- a. Extract relevant features from the data, including seasonality, temperature indices, precipitation patterns, and soil quality indicators.
- b. Create additional features if needed, such as growth stage indicators and pest and disease risk scores.

4. Data Splitting:

- a. Divide the dataset into training, validation, and test sets to evaluate model performance.
- b. Consider techniques like cross-validation to optimize hyperparameters and avoid overfitting.

5. Machine Learning Model Selection:

- a. Choose appropriate machine learning algorithms based on the nature of the problem. Common choices include:
 - i. Random Forests
 - ii. Support Vector Machines
 - iii. Gradient Boosting
 - iv. Neural Networks
 - v. Decision Trees

6. Model Training:

- a. Train the selected machine learning models on the training dataset using historical data and features.
- b. Tune hyperparameters and validate model performance on the validation set.

7. Model Evaluation:

- a. Evaluate model performance using various metrics, such as mean absolute error (MAE), root mean square error (RMSE), or R-squared.
- b. Consider using domain-specific evaluation criteria, such as crop-specific yield metrics.

8. Crop Recommendation and Decision Support:

- a. Use the trained model to make crop recommendations based on real-time and historical data.
- b. Provide farmers with actionable insights on optimal crop selection, planting times, and resource management.

Models:

1. Linear Regression:

Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) method is used to estimate the coefficients ($\beta\beta$) that minimize the sum of squared residuals ($\epsilon\epsilon$) Assumptions such as linearity, homoscedasticity, and normality of residuals are checked to ensure the validity of the model.

$$f(X) = w^T X + b$$

Loss: $L(f, X, y) = (f(X) - y)^2$

2. Polynomial Regression:

Higher-order polynomial functions are fitted to capture non-linear relationships between predictors and crop yield. Model complexity is controlled using techniques like regularization (e.g., Ridge, Lasso) to prevent overfitting.





www.ijirset.com |A Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Referred Journal| e-ISSN: 2319-8753| p-ISSN: 2347-6710|

Volume 13, Issue 10, October 2024

|DOI: 10.15680/IJIRSET.2024.1310028|

3. Decision Trees:

Mathematical Formulation:Decision trees partition the feature space into regions based on threshold values of predictors.

 $f(X) = \sum (wi * \phi i(X))$ $\phi i(X) = 1$ if $X \in Ri$, 0 otherwise $Loss: L(f, X, y) = (f(X) - y)^2$

Recursive partitioning algorithm (e.g., CART ID3) is used to construct decision trees by selecting splits that maximize information gain or minimize impurity. Pruning techniques are applied to prevent overfitting and improve generalization performance.

4. Random Forests:

Mathematical Formulation: Random forests aggregate predictions from multiple decision trees by averaging or voting.

- $f(X) = (1/m) * \sum (fi(X))$
- fi(X) = decision tree model
- Loss: $L(f, X, y) = (f(X) y)^2$

Bootstrap aggregation (bagging) is used to train multiple decision trees on random subsets of the data, reducing variance and improving robustness. Feature importance measures (e.g., Gini importance) are computed to assess the contribution of predictors to the model.

5. Support Vector Machines (SVM):

Mathematical Formulation: SVM finds the hyperplane that maximizes the margin between different classes (in classification) or predicts the target variable with maximum margin (in regression).

$$f(X) = w^T X + b$$

- Loss: L(f, X, y) = $|f(X) - y| + \lambda * ||w||^2$

Kernel functions (linear, polynomial, radial basis function) are selected to map input features into high-dimensional space, where non-linear relationships can be captured. Regularization parameters (C, gamma) are tuned to balance model complexity and generalization performance.

6. Neural Networks:

Mathematical Formulation: Neural networks consist of interconnected nodes (neurons) organized in layers, where each neuron applies a non-linear activation function to weighted inputs.

```
f(X) = \sigma(W^T X + b)

\sigma: activation function

Loss: L(f, X, y) = (f(X) - y)^2
```

Backpropagation algorithm is used to iteratively adjust weights and biases in the network to minimize the loss function (e.g., mean squared error) on the training data. Model architecture (number of layers, neurons per layer, activation functions) is optimized using techniques like grid search or random search.

IV. SYSTEM DESIGN

1. Data Visualization and Exploration:

This step involves visually exploring and analyzing the dataset to uncover patterns, trends, and anomalies. Through interactive tools and graphical representations, users gain insights and identify areas for further investigation.

2. Data Cleaning:

Data cleaning refers to the process of identifying and rectifying errors, inconsistencies, and missing values in the dataset. It ensures that the data is accurate, reliable, and properly formatted, leading to more robust analyses and reliable outcomes.

3. Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA):

EDA involves analyzing and summarizing the main characteristics of the dataset, such as its distribution, central tendencies, and correlations between variables. It helps in understanding the underlying structure of the data and uncovering initial insights before formal modeling.





www.ijirset.com |A Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Referred Journal| e-ISSN: 2319-8753| p-ISSN: 2347-6710|

Volume 13, Issue 10, October 2024

|DOI: 10.15680/IJIRSET.2024.1310028|

4.Data Modeling:

Data modeling is the creation of a visual representation of the relationships and structures within the dataset. It helps in understanding how different variables interact and contribute to the overall pattern or outcome, facilitating better decision-making.

5. Train-Test Data Splitting:


Splitting the dataset into training and testing sets involves dividing the data into two separate subsets to train and evaluate the machine learning model. The training set is used to train the model, while the testing set is used to assess its performance on unseen data, ensuring that the model generalizes well.

6.Model Development:

Model building entails developing a mathematical representation or algorithm that captures the relationship between the input features and the target variable. It aims to create a predictive model that accurately predicts outcomes based on new input data.

7. Model Evaluation:

Model evaluation involves assessing the performance of the machine learning model using various evaluation metrics. This step helps in understanding how well the model generalizes to new data, identifying areas of improvement, and determining its suitability for the intended task or application.



V. RESULT ANALYSIS

The dataset used is specifically focusing on the optimal conditions for growing crops. Each record in the dataset provides detailed information about various soil and environmental parameters, as well as the crop label. Here's a detailed description of each feature in the dataset:

I. Features

1. N (Nitrogen)

- a. Description: This column represents the amount of nitrogen present in the soil, measured in parts per million (ppm). Nitrogen is a crucial nutrient for plant growth and is a key component of chlorophyll, the compound that plants use in photosynthesis.
- b. Example Values: 90, 85, 60

2. P (Phosphorus)

- a. Description: This column indicates the phosphorus content in the soil, measured in parts per million (ppm). Phosphorus is essential for energy transfer within plants, root development, and the maturation of plants.
- b. Example Values: 42, 58, 55

3. K (Potassium)

a. Description: This column shows the potassium level in the soil, also measured in parts per million (ppm). Potassium is important for the regulation of various physiological processes in plants, including water uptake and enzyme activation.





www.ijirset.com |A Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Referred Journal| e-ISSN: 2319-8753| p-ISSN: 2347-6710|

Volume 13, Issue 10, October 2024

|DOI: 10.15680/IJIRSET.2024.1310028|

b. Example Values: 43, 41, 44

4. Temperature

- a. Description: This column records the ambient temperature in degrees Celsius. Temperature significantly affects plant growth, photosynthesis, and crop yield.
- b. Example Values: 20.88, 21.77, 23.00

5. Humidity

- a. Description: This column represents the relative humidity of the environment, measured as a percentage. Humidity affects transpiration rates in plants and can influence disease prevalence.
- b. Example Values: 82.00, 80.32, 82.32
- 6. pH
- a. Description: This column indicates the pH level of the soil. The pH scale ranges from 0 to 14, with 7 being neutral. Soil pH affects nutrient availability and microbial activity in the soil.
- b. Example Values: 6.50, 7.04, 7.84

7. Rainfall

- a. Description: This column provides the amount of rainfall received, measured in millimeters (mm). Rainfall is a critical factor for water supply to crops and affects soil moisture levels.
- b. Example Values: 202.94, 226.66, 263.96

8. Label

- a. Description: This column specifies the type of crop for which the data is relevant. In the given dataset, all the records are labeled as 'rice', indicating that the dataset focuses on the conditions suitable for rice cultivation.
- b. Example Value: rice

1.Dataset Summary

- Total Records: 2200 (though only a portion is shown above)
- Primary Focus: This dataset is designed to analyze and predict the optimal conditions for growing rice based on various soil and environmental factors.
- Usage: The dataset can be used for agricultural research, specifically for creating models to predict rice yield based
 on the given parameters. It can also help in understanding how different factors influence rice growth and
 development.

2.Example Record

Here's a breakdown of an example record from the dataset:

- N: 90P: 42
- K: 43
- Temperature: 20.88°CHumidity: 82.00%
- pH: 6.50
- Rainfall: 202.94 mm
- Label: rice





www.ijirset.com |A Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Referred Journal| e-ISSN: 2319-8753| p-ISSN: 2347-6710|

Volume 13, Issue 10, October 2024

|DOI: 10.15680/IJIRSET.2024.1310028|




Fig: Svm Confusion Matrix

SVM Classification Report:

PI	Classification Report.						
		precision	recall	f1-score	support		
	0	1.00	1.00	1.00	18		
	1	1.00	1.00	1.00	17		
	2	1.00	1.00	1.00	22		
	3	1.00	1.00	1.00	22		
	4	1.00	1.00	1.00	22		
	5	1.00	1.00	1.00	18		
	6	0.90	1.00	0.95	19		
	7	1.00	1.00	1.00	20		
	8	0.83	0.95	0.89	21		
	9	1.00	1.00	1.00	17		
	10	1.00	0.90	0.95	20		
	11	1.00	0.89	0.94	18		
	12	1.00	1.00	1.00	19		
	13	0.92	1.00	0.96	23		
	14	1.00	1.00	1.00	24		
	15	1.00	1.00	1.00	24		
	16	1.00	1.00	1.00	20		
	17	1.00	1.00	1.00	22		
	18	1.00	1.00	1.00	18		
	19	1.00	1.00	1.00	19		
	20	0.94	0.81	0.87	21		
	21	1.00	1.00	1.00	16		

Fig: Svm Classification Report





|www.ijirset.com |A Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Referred Journal| e-ISSN: 2319-8753| p-ISSN: 2347-6710|

Volume 13, Issue 10, October 2024

|DOI: 10.15680/IJIRSET.2024.1310028|




Fig: Knn Confusion Matrix

KNN Classification Report: precision recall f1-score support 0 1.00 1.00 1.00 18 1 1.00 1.00 1.00 17 1.00 2 1.00 1.00 22 22 3 1.00 1.00 1.00 4 1.00 22 1.00 1.00 5 1.00 1.00 1.00 18 6 0.90 1.00 0.95 19 7 1.00 1.00 1.00 20 8 0.83 0.95 0.89 21 9 0.85 1.00 0.92 17 0.95 1.00 0.98 20 10 11 1.00 0.89 0.94 18 12 1.00 1.00 1.00 19 13 1.00 0.96 0.98 23 24 14 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 15 1.00 1.00 24 20 16 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 22 17 1.00 1.00 18 1.00 0.83 0.91 18 19 1.00 1.00 1.00 19 20 0.94 0.81 0.87 21 21 1.00 1.00 1.00 16

Fig: Knn Classification Report





www.ijirset.com |A Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Referred Journal| e-ISSN: 2319-8753| p-ISSN: 2347-6710|

Volume 13, Issue 10, October 2024

|DOI: 10.15680/IJIRSET.2024.1310028|




Fig: Random Forest Confusion Matrix

Random Forest	Classificati	on Report	:	
	precision	_	f1-score	support
0	1.00	1.00	1.00	18
1	1.00	1.00	1.00	17
2	1.00	0.95	0.98	22
3	1.00	1.00	1.00	22
4	1.00	1.00	1.00	22
5	1.00	1.00	1.00	18
6	0.95	1.00	0.97	19
7	1.00	1.00	1.00	20
8	0.95	1.00	0.98	21
9	1.00	1.00	1.00	17
10	1.00	1.00	1.00	20
11	0.94	0.94	0.94	18
12	1.00	1.00	1.00	19
13	1.00	1.00	1.00	23
14	1.00	1.00	1.00	24
15	1.00	1.00	1.00	24
16	1.00	1.00	1.00	20
17	1.00	1.00	1.00	22
18	1.00	1.00	1.00	18
19	1.00	1.00	1.00	19
20	1.00	0.95	0.98	21
21	1.00	1.00	1.00	16

Fig: Random Forest Classification Report





www.ijirset.com |A Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Referred Journal| e-ISSN: 2319-8753| p-ISSN: 2347-6710|

Volume 13, Issue 10, October 2024

|DOI: 10.15680/IJIRSET.2024.1310028|

accuracy			0.98	440
macro avg	0.98	0.98	0.98	440
weighted avg	0.98	0.98	0.98	440
	Fig: Svi	n Accuracy		
accuracy			0.97	440
macro avg	0.98	0.97	0.97	440
weighted avg	0.98	0.97	0.97	440
	Fig: Knn A	ccuracy Report		
accuracy			0.99	440
macro avg	0.99	0.99	0.99	440
weighted avg	0.99	0.99	0.99	440

Fig: Random Forest Accuracy Report

VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have undertaken a comprehensive exploration of the field of crop prediction using machine learning, highlighting the significant progress, challenges, and promising directions that have emerged in recent years. The importance of crop prediction in modern agriculture cannot be overstated, as it offers a data-driven approach to address the dynamic and complex challenges faced by farmers and agricultural stakeholders.

The reviewed literature demonstrates the vast potential of machine learning in crop prediction. Researchers and practitioners have leveraged a wide array of machine learning algorithms and data sources to build accurate predictive models. These models take into account historical crop yields, weather conditions, soil properties, geographic information. By doing so, they provide farmers with valuable insights for informed decision-making, optimizing crop selection, planting schedules, and resource management.

One of the notable trends in this field is the integration of deep learning techniques, particularly convolutional neural networks (CNNs), which have shown great promise in processing remote sensing data and images for crop mapping, disease detection, and yield prediction. The adoption of these advanced deep learning models marks a significant shift in the capabilities of crop prediction systems, offering higher accuracy and greater adaptability to changing environmental conditions.

Data availability, data quality, and the interpretability of machine learning models remain important considerations. region.





www.ijirset.com |A Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Referred Journal| e-ISSN: 2319-8753| p-ISSN: 2347-6710|

Volume 13, Issue 10, October 2024

|DOI: 10.15680/IJIRSET.2024.1310028|

REFERENCES

- 1. Dahikar S and Rode S V 2014 Agricultural crop yield prediction using artificial neural network approach International Journal of Innovative Research in Electrical, Electronics, Instrumentation and Control Engineering vol 2 Issue 1 pp 683-6.
- 2. Suresh A, Ganesh P and Ramalatha M 2018 Prediction of major crop yields of Tamilnadu using K-means and Modified KNN 2018 3rd International Conference on Communication and Electronics Systems (ICCES) pp 88-93 doi: 10.1109/CESYS.2018.8723956.
- 3. Medar R, Rajpurohit V S and Shweta S 2019 Crop yield prediction using machine learning techniques IEEE 5th International Conference for Convergence in Technology (I2CT) pp 1-5 doi: 10.1109/I2CT45611.2019.9033611.
- 4. Nishant P S, Venkat P S, Avinash B L and Jabber B 2020 Crop yield prediction based on Indian agriculture using machine learning 2020 International Conference for Emerging Technology (INCET) pp 1-4 doi: 10.1109/INCET49848.2020.9154036.
- 5. Kalimuthu M, Vaishnavi P and Kishore M 2020 Crop prediction using machine learning 2020 Third International Conference on Smart Systems and Inventive Technology (ICSSIT) pp926-32 doi: 0.1109/ICSSIT48917.2020.9214190.
- 6. Geetha V, Punitha A, Abarna M, Akshaya M, Illakiya S and Janani A P 2020 An effective crop prediction using random forest algorithm 2020 International Conference on System, Computation, Automation and Networking (ICSCAN) pp 1-5 doi: 10.1109/ICSCAN49426.2020.9262311
- 7. Pande S M, Ramesh P K, Anmol A, Aishwaraya B R, Rohilla K and Shaurya K 2021 Crop recommender system using machine learning approach 2021 5th International Conference on Computing Methodologies and Communication (ICCMC) pp 1066-71 doi: 10.1109/ICCMC51019.2021.9418351.
- 8. Sellam V, and Poovammal E 2016 Prediction of crop yield using regression analysis Indian Models AICECS 2021 Journal of Physics: Conference Series 2161 (2022) 012033 IOP Publishing doi:10.1088/1742-6596/2161/1/012033 Journal of Science and Technology vol 9(38) pp 1-5.
- 9. Bharath S, Yeshwanth S, Yashas B L and Vidyaranya R Javalagi 2020 Comparative Analysis of Machine Learning Algorithms in The Study of Crop and Crop yield Prediction International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT) NCETESFT 2020 vol 8 Issue 14.
- 10. Mahendra N, Vishwakarma D, Nischitha K, Ashwini and Manjuraju M. R 2020 Crop prediction using machine learning approaches, International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT) vol 9 Issue 8 (August 2020).
- 11. Gulati P and Jha S K 2020 Efficient crop yield prediction in India using machine learning techniques International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT) ENCADEMS 2020 vol 8 Issue 10.
- 12. Gupta A, Nagda D, Nikhare P, Sandbhor A, 2021, Smart crop prediction using IoT and machine learning International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT) NTASU 2020 vol 9 Issue 3.
- 13. Anna Chlingaryan, Machine Learning Approaches for Crop Yield Prediction and Nitrogen Status Estimation in Precision Agriculture Elseveir 2018 vol 151.
- 14. Priyabarta Karamkar , A Review of Remote Sensing-Based Crop Mapping and Classification , Elseveir Jan 2024 vol 33











INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INNOVATIVE RESEARCH

IN SCIENCE | ENGINEERING | TECHNOLOGY







📵 9940 572 462 💿 6381 907 438 🔀 ijirset@gmail.com

