Comparison of Digital Image watermarking Methods DWT & DWT-DCT on the Basis of PSNR
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Abstract: The authenticity & copyright protection are two major problems in handling digital multimedia. The Image watermarking is most popular method for copyright protection by discrete Wavelet Transform (DWT) which performs 2 Level Decomposition of original (cover) image and watermark image is embedded in Lowest Level (LL) sub band of cover image. Inverse Discrete Wavelet Transform (IDWT) is used to recover original image from watermarked image. And Discrete Cosine Transform (DCT) which convert image into Blocks of M bits and then reconstruct using IDCT. In this paper we have compared watermarking using DWT & DWT-DCT methods performance analysis on basis of PSNR, Similarity factor of watermark and recovered watermark.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Digital Image Watermarking is a technique which provide solution for Copyright, image authentication and other issues. Watermarking deals with decomposing original image called Cover image using some wavelet Transform[1] and embedding watermark into one of the sub band (LL, LH, HL, HH) the obtained image is called watermarked image (Stego Image) this image have transmitted through Channel Where various noise Affect watermarked Image [2],[3],[4]. At receivers Side embedded watermark has extracted from watermarked image [5]. For watermark embedding Discrete Wavelet Transform (DWT) has used, DWT is a Wavelet Transform which use Dyadic Filters to decompose M×N Image in to N Levels, we can embed watermark into one of the sub band, For Extraction of Watermark Inverse Discrete Wavelet Transform (IDWT) is used[6] Commonly used frequency-domain transforms include the Discrete Wavelet Transform (DWT), the Discrete Cosine Transform (DCT) and Discrete Fourier Transform (DFT). However, DWT[7] has been used in digital image watermarking more frequently due to its excellent spatial localization and multi-resolution characteristics, which are similar to the theoretical models of the human visual system[8]. Further performance improvements in DWT-based digital image watermarking algorithms could be obtained by combining DWT with DCT[9]. The idea of applying two transform is based on the fact that combined transforms could compensate for the drawbacks of each other, resulting in effective watermarking. In this paper, we will describe a digital image watermarking algorithm based on comparing two methods; DWT and DWT-DCT. Watermarking is done in DWT by level1 decomposition & calculating the wavelets coefficients of carefully selected DWT lowest sub-bands, in case of DWT-DCT method level1 decomposition of cover image & calculating the wavelets coefficients of carefully selected DWT lowest sub-bands followed by the application of the DCT transform of Watermark on the selected LL sub-bands. Analysis of Image has based on Performance Parameters like Signal to Noise Ratio (SNR) of original & watermarked Image[7] Peak signal to Noise ratio(PSNR) & Daubichis 3 wavelet has used to analyze watermarking[15].

II-DISCRETE WAVELET TRANSFORM (DWT)

Discrete Wavelet transform (DWT) is a mathematical tool for hierarchically decomposing an image. It is useful for processing of non-stationary signals. The transform is based on small waves, called wavelets, of varying frequency and limited duration. Wavelet transform provides both frequency and spatial description of an image. Unlike conventional Fourier transform, temporal information is retained in this transformation process. Wavelets are created by translations and dilations of a fixed function called mother wavelet. This section analyses suitability of DWT for image watermarking and gives advantages of using DWT as against other transforms. For 2-D images, applying DWT corresponds to processing the image by 2-D filters in each dimension. The filters divide the input image into four non-overlapping multi-resolution sub-bands LL, LH, HL and HH. The sub-band LL represents the coarse-scale DWT coefficients while the sub-bands LH, HL
and HH1 represent the fine-scale of DWT coefficients. To obtain the next coarser scale of wavelet coefficients, the sub-band LL1 is further processed until some final scale N is reached. When N is reached we will have 3N+1 sub-bands consisting of the multi-resolution sub-bands LLx and LHx, HLx and HHx where x ranges from 1 until N. Due to its excellent spatio-frequency localization properties, the DWT is very suitable to identify the areas in the host image where a watermark can be embedded effectively. In general most of the image energy is concentrated at the lower frequency sub-bands LLx and therefore embedding watermarks in these sub-bands may degrade the image significantly. Embedding in the low frequency sub-bands, however, could increase robustness significantly. On the other hand, the high frequency sub-bands HHx include the edges and textures of the image and the human eye is not generally sensitive to changes in such sub-bands. This allows the watermark to be embedded without being perceived by the human eye.

![Fig.1 DWT Decomposition of Image Using 1-Level Pyramid](image)

**III- DISCRETE COSINE TRANSFORM (DCT)**

*The DCT transform:* The discrete cosine transforms is a technique for converting a signal into elementary frequency components [9]. It represents an image as a sum of sinusoids of varying magnitudes and frequencies. With an input image, x, the DCT coefficients for the transformed output image, y, are computed according to Eq. 1 shown below. In the equation, x, is the input image having N x M pixels, x(m,n) is the intensity of the pixel in row m and column n of the image, and y(u,v) is the DCT coefficient in row u and column v of the DCT matrix.

\[
y(u, v) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{M}} \sqrt{\frac{2}{N}} \alpha_u \alpha_v \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x(m, n) \\
\cos \left(\frac{2m+1}\pi u\right) \cos \left(\frac{2n+1}\pi v\right)
\]  

(1)

Where alpha u and alpha v are given by:

\[
\alpha_u = \begin{cases} 
1 & u = 0 \\
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & u = 1, 2, ..., N - 1 
\end{cases}
\]

\[
\alpha_v = \begin{cases} 
1 & v = 0 \\
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & v = 1, 2, ..., N - 1 
\end{cases}
\]

The image is reconstructed by applying inverse DCT operation according to Eq. 2:

\[
y(u, v) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{M}} \sqrt{\frac{2}{N}} \alpha_u \alpha_v \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x(m, n) \\
\cos \left(\frac{2m+1}\pi u\right) \cos \left(\frac{2n+1}\pi v\right)
\]

(2)
The popular block-based DCT transform segments image non-overlapping blocks and applies DCT to each block. These results in giving three frequency sub-bands: low frequency sub-band, mid-frequency sub-band and high frequency sub-band. DCT-based watermarking is based on two facts. The first fact is that much of the signal energy lies at low-frequencies sub-band which contains the most important visual parts of the image. The second fact is that high frequency components of the image are usually removed through compression and noise attacks. The watermark is therefore embedded by modifying the coefficients of the middle frequency sub-band so that the visibility of the image will not be affected and the watermark will not be removed by compression.

a) Algorithm for DWT Gray Image Watermarking:
1. **Watermark Embedding:**
   Watermark Embedding Process consist of decomposing Original 256×256 image into 1-level sub bands using DWT which generate Four sub-bands (LL, LH, HL, HH) out of which LL (Lowest Level) has selected for watermark embedding as it contain maximum energy. The Watermark of 128×128 is embedded into LL, Obtained image is called Watermarked Image, Figure (1) shows watermark embedding process.

   ![Diagram](image)

   Figure (1): shows watermark Embedding & Extraction using DWT algorithm

2. **Watermark Extraction:**
   Watermark extraction is a process of removing watermark from watermarked image its opposite process of Watermarking. Inverse Discrete Wavelet Transform is used for Extraction of Watermark with Daubechies (db1) filter as shown in Figure (1). The Watermarked image is again decomposed using level 1 IDWT then DWT of image is obtained, DWT image is compared with Original image and watermark is extracted from watermarked image.

b) Algorithm for DWT-DCT watermarking
In this algorithm for watermark embedding and extraction two popular methods are combined DWT & DCT this method is explained below:

1. **Watermark Embedding**:
   Watermark Embedding Process in DWT-DCT method is somehow same as DWT method of decomposing Original 256×256 image into 1-level sub bands using DWT which generate Four sub-bands (LL, LH, HL, HH) out of which LL (Lowest Level) has selected for watermark embedding as it contain maximum energy. The DCT of Watermark 128×128 is calculated and then DCT transformed watermark is embedded into LL, then Inverse DWT is calculated of obtained image, obtained image is called Watermarked Image, in order to convert time to spatial domain Figure (2) shows watermark embedding process.
2. Watermark Extraction

For extracting watermark DWT of watermarked image is calculated and as this detection is non blind detection original and watermarked are correlated so obtain Watermark.

c) Parameters Used:

For analysis of Best method out of DWT & DWT-DCT we have used PSNR and MSE, Similarity Factor.

1. Peak Signal to Noise Ration (PSNR):

PSNR is the ratio between the maximum possible power of a signal and the power of corrupting noise that affects the fidelity of its representation. Because many signals have a very wide dynamic range, PSNR is usually expressed in terms of the logarithmic decibel (dB) scale.

\[ PSNR = 10 \log \left( \frac{255^2}{E} \right) dB \]

Where \( E \) is Mean Square Error, \( f(i,j) \) is pixel value of original image \( f'(i,j) \) of watermarked image and its logarithmic unit is dB Given by Formula:

\[ E = \frac{1}{M \times N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{M} (f(i,j) - f'(i,j))^2 \]

2. Similarity Factor (SF):

Similarity Factor is measure of the similarity of pixel intensities between the original image and the watermarked image. This helps us to calculate the changes in the perceptual quality of the image more precisely. The formula for SIM is shown below:

\[ SF = \frac{\sum_i \sum_j I(i,j) * I_w(i,j)}{\sum_i \sum_j I_w(i,j)^2} \]

Where \( I(I, j) \) is the original image and \( I_w(i, j) \) is the watermarked image. The similarity factor should be equal to 1.
IV- SIMULATION & RESULTS

1. **DWT method:**

   The watermark Embedding and Extraction process has been shown in figure (1) & figure(2). Algorithms for Watermark Embedding use original (256×256) gray Image called “Cameraman” and watermark Image is also gray image (128×128) called “ watermark”. The Scaling Factor (α) of DWT lies between 0.01 to 0.09 and Quality Factor (Q) lies between 1 to 9 as shown in table (1).

![Figure (5) “Cameraman”](image)

Figure (5) shows the original image (gray) cameraman which is 256×256. the watermark used for embedding is shown in figure (6).

![Figure (6) watermark image](image)

Figure (6) is a watermark image of size 128X128. which embedded in to LL sub band after decomposing. The watermarked image & extracted watermark is shown in figure (7).

![Figure (7) watermarked image & Extracted watermark](image)
2. DWT-DCT method

The watermark Embedding and Extraction process has been shown in figure (8) & figure(9). Algorithms for Watermark Embedding use original (256×256) gray Image called “Cameraman” and watermark Image is also gray image (128×128) called “ autumn”. The Scaling Factor (α) of DWT lies between 0.01 to 0.09 and Quality Factor (Q) lies between 1 to 9 as shown in table (1).

Figure (8) “Cameraman”

Figure (8) shows the original image (gray) cameraman which is 256×256. the watermark used for embedding is shown in figure (9).

Figure (9) watermark image

Figure (9) is a watermark image of size 128X128. which than this watermark is DCT transformed & embedded in to LL sub band after decomposing. DCT transformed & The watermarked image & extracted watermark is shown in figure (10).

The extracted watermark is shown in figure(11)
The value of PSNR, MSE & SF is shown by table (1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.no.</th>
<th>Scaling Factor</th>
<th>PSNR(dB)</th>
<th>SF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>58.392598dB</td>
<td>0.3467</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>51.466942dB</td>
<td>0.9350</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V-CONCLUSION

To simulate results we have used MATLAB @R2009b in this paper we have compared DWT & DWT-DCT method whose value for PSNR is 58.39dB for DWT-DCT method and 51.46dB for DWT method so, we have concluded that DWT-DCT method is best technique for level one watermark embedding.
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